

# KOPANONG LOCAL MUNICIPALITY

# 4<sup>TH</sup> GENERATION OF INTEGRATED DEVELOPMENT PLAN 2017-2022

1<sup>ST DRAFT</sup>

Private Bag X23 20 Louw Street, TROMPSBURG, 9913 Tel: 051 713 9200 Fax: 051 713 0292 Web: www.kopanong.gov.za

1 | Page

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 1. Introduction
- 1.1 Point of departure
- 1.2 Area of the IDP
- 1.3 Five year cycle of the
- 1.4 Phase of the Annual process
- 2. Legal Requirements
- 3. Preparation for the process
- 4. Organisational arrangements
- 4.1 Activities and outputs
- 4.2 Structured participation
- 4.3 Ward Committees
- 4.4 Kopanong Local Municipality's IDP Representatives Forum
- 5. Roles and responsibilities
- 5.1 Activities and outputs
- 5.2 Proposed distribution of roles and responsibilities between the municipality and external role-players
- 5.3 Proposed distribution of roles and responsibilities within the Municipality
- 6. Intergovernmental alignment
- 7. IDP content
- 7.1 Legally required content of a five year IDP
- 7.2 Suggested table of contents
- 7.3 Annual revisions of the five year IDP (Annual Plans)
- 8.

8.1Legal requirements

8.2Purpose of a review (Annual Plan)

8.3What the review is not

8.4IDP source documents

9.

9.1Annexure A (IDP and Budget Time Schedule)

9.2Conclusion

10. Approval or adoption

# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

# **CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND**

#### 1.1 INTRODUCTION

An Integrated Development Plan is a master plan for an area that gives an overall framework for development. It aims to co-ordinate the work of local and other spheres of government in a coherent plan to improve the quality of life for all the people living in an area. It takes into account the existing conditions and problems and resources available for development. It looks at economic and social development for the area as a whole.

The IDP is the key instrument to achieve developmental local governance for centralised, strategic, participatory, implementation orientated, coordinated and integrated development. Preparing an IDP is not only a legal requirement in terms of the legislation but it is actually the instrument for realizing municipalities' major developmental responsibilities to improve the quality of life of the citizens. It seeks to speed-up service delivery by securing a buy-in of all relevant players and provides government departments and other social partners with a clear framework of the municipality's development trajectory to harness implementation efforts.

There are a few main reasons why a municipality should have an IDP:

- Utilisation of scarce resources
- □ Expedite delivery of services
- Attract additional funds
- Strengthens democracy
- Promotes co-ordination between local, provincial and national government

#### 1.2 LEGISLATIVE CONTEXT

According to Section 152 and 153 of the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, local government is in charge of the development process in municipalities and the municipal planning. The constitutional mandate to relate its management, budgeting and planning functions to its objectives gives a clear indication of the intended purpose of municipal integrated development planning. The constitution also demands that local government improve intergovernmental coordination and cooperation to ensure integrated development across all three levels of government. Together with other organs of state, it must contribute to the progressive realisation of the fundamental rights contained in Sections 24, 25, 27 and 29 of the Constitution.

The White Paper on Local Government gives municipalities the responsibility to work with communities and groups of communities to find sustainable ways to their social, economic and material needs in order to improve their quality of life.

In terms of the Local Government Municipal System Act 32 of 2000, Section 25(1) each municipal council must, within a prescribed period after the start of its elected term, adopt a single, inclusive and strategic plan for the development of the municipality which, inter alia, links, integrates and co-ordinates plans and takes into account proposals for the development of the municipality and aligns the resources and capacity of the municipality. As far as the status of the Integrated Development Plan (IDP) is concerned, Section 35 of the Act clearly states that an integrated development plan by the council of a municipality is the principal strategic instrument, which guides and informs all planning and development, and all decisions with regard to planning, management and development in the municipality.

The IDP of a Municipality may be amended if and when circumstances require the amendment but must be reviewed annually in terms of Section 34 of the Municipal Systems Act. Both amendment and review of the plan must be in accordance with a prescribed process which process is described in the Process Plan. Furthermore, the annual review is in response to an assessment of its performance measurements and to an extend that changing circumstances so demand.

#### Section 28 (Adoption of process)

Each municipal council, within a prescribed period after the start of its elected term, must adopt a process set out in writing to guide the planning, drafting, adoption and review of its integrated development plan.

The municipality must through appropriate mechanisms, processes and procedures established in terms of Chapter 4, consult the local community before adopting the process.

A municipality must give notice to the local community of particulars of the process it intends to follow.

#### Section 29 (Process to be followed)

The process followed by a municipality to draft its integrated development plan, including its consideration and adoption of the draft plan, must –

be in accordance with a predetermined programme specifying time frames for the different steps;

through appropriate mechanisms, processes and procedures established in terms of Chapter 4, allow for -

the local community to be consulted on its development needs and priorities;

the local community to participate in the drafting of the integrated development plan; and

organs of state, including traditional authorities, and other role-players to be identified and consulted on the drafting of the integrated development plan;

provide for the identification of all plans and planning requirements binding on the municipality in terms of national and provincial legislation; and

be consistent with any other matters that may be prescribed by regulation.

A district municipality must –

plan integrated development for the area of the district municipality as a whole but in close consultation with the local municipalities in that area;

align its integrated development plan with the framework adopted in terms of section 27; and

draft its integrated development plan, taking into account the integrated development processes of, and proposals submitted to it by the local municipalities in that area.

A local municipality must -

align its integrated development plan with the framework adopted in terms of section 27; and draft its integrated development plan, taking into account the integrated development processes of, and proposals submitted to it by the district municipality.

# PREPARATION FOR THE PROCESS

The result of the preparation process should not only be a document (the Process Plan), but also a well prepared council and management leadership collective, that is confident about the task ahead.

The Process Plan outlines the following issues:

Organisational arrangements are established and the membership of committees and forums is clarified.

Roles and responsibilities are clarified and internal human resources allocated accordingly.

The legal requirements, principles and functions of community and stakeholder participation during the IDP process – who has to be involved, consulted and informed, at which stage of the process and by which means?

Mechanisms and procedures for alignment with external stakeholders such as other municipalities, districts and other spheres of government – such alignment should be coordinated at district level.

An example of a table of contents for the IDP is provided.

Legislation and policy requirements that have to be considered in the course of the IDP process are provided – the list contains documents, guidelines, plans and strategies from the provincial and national spheres of government.

#### NOTE:

The preparation task for IDP is a task of municipal management – the preparation process should contribute to the institutional preparedness of the municipality for the IDP process.

Nobody else, therefore, can make the management decisions involved in it, except the Municipal Manager.

# ORGANISATIONAL ARRANGEMENTS

Activities and outputs

The municipality needs to establish a set of organisational arrangements to: Institutionalise the participation process; effectively manage outputs; and give affected parties access to contribute to the decision making process.

NOTE: The municipality should consider existing arrangements, use and adapt them if necessary, and avoid duplication of mechanisms – this section deals with the organisational structure and the terms of reference for each of the arrangements and / or structures.

#### Structured participation

The IDP process and the participation of the community in this process have to be structured and considerate of the Kopanong Local Municipality's geographical area of 15643 square kilometers and its population of 49171. It is not possible to allow for direct participation of people in an unstructured way.

The directive from national government on how community participation should be structured is the ward committee system. Most of the IDP liaison with communities will be done through ward committees and ward constituency public meetings.

Although ward committees provide for representation of communities on a geographical basis, there are also a number of sector interests not covered by ward committees that play a major role within the municipal area, such as education, business and agriculture. Liaison with, and involvement of such sector groups is therefore also crucial in order to get a full picture of the current reality in our area. Liaison with sector groups will be done mainly through the Kopanong Local Municipality's IDP Representative Forum and workshops.

#### Ward committees

The role of the Ward Committees with respect to the IDP is to:

- 1. Assist the Ward Councillor in identifying challenges and needs of residents.
- 2. Provide a mechanism for discussion and negotiation between the stakeholders within the ward.
- 3. Advise and make recommendations to the Ward Councillor on matters and policy affecting the ward.
- 4. Disseminate information in the ward.

- 5. Ensure constructive and harmonious interaction between the municipality and community.
- 6. Interact with other forums and organisations on matters affecting the ward.
- 7. Draw up a ward plan that offers suggestions on how to improve service delivery in the particular ward.
- 8. Monitor the implementation process concerning its area.

NOTE: The chairperson of the Ward Committee is the Ward Councillor of that particular ward.

Kopanong Local Municipality's IDP Representatives Forum

The Kopanong Local Municipality's IDP Representative Forum is a political structure which institutionalises and guarantees representative participation in the IDP processes.

The Forum will comprise of the following members and / or offices:

- 1. The Mayor and members of the EXCO, and the Speaker,
- 2. All eight (8) Ward Councillors, at least one (1) Councillor per political party represented in Council,
- 3. Two (2) members from each ward committee (16 members),
- 4. The Municipal Manager and officials attached to his / her office (IDP, Organisational Performance Management System, Local Economic Development, Internal Audit and Risk Management), the CFO and all other Directors,
- 5. Two (2) representatives of the South African Municipal Workers Union (SAMWU) and one (1) representative of the Independent Municipal and Allied Trade Union (IMATU),
- Representative each from Organised Business, Organised Agriculture and Civil Society Organisations, delegated government officials, and any other role-player(s) or stakeholder(s) co-opted onto the Forum for one or more meetings or for a specific purpose by the EXCO or Mayor.

The role and responsibilities of the IDP Representatives Forum with respect to the IDP is to:

- 1. Provide an organisational mechanism for discussion, negotiation and decision making between the stakeholders inclusive of municipal government;
- 2. Represent constituency interest in the IDP process;
- 3. Participate in the process of setting and monitoring "key performance indicators";
- 4. Promote coordination and alignment of activities vertically and horizontally;
- 5. Information assimilation/dissemination forum.

NOTE: The sole purpose of the Kopanong IDP Representatives Forum will be to advise the Executive Committee and Mayor on matters relating to the IDP. It will not have any decision making powers.

Kopanong Local Municipality's IDP and Budget Steering Committee

The Kopanong Local Municipality's IDP and Budget Steering Committee is an inclusive technical committee representative of all municipal departments or divisions. The Committee will comprise of the following members and / or offices:

- 1. Municipal Manager and officials attached to the office (IDP, Organisational Performance Management System, Local Economic Development, Internal Audit and Risk Management), the CFO, all other Directors or senior managers,
- 2. Office Manager or representative from the office of the Mayor,
- 3. Office Manager or representative from the office of the Speaker,
- 4. The Mayor and other members of the EXCO,
- 5. The Speaker, and any other role-player(s) or stakeholder(s) co-opted onto the committee by the Municipal Manager for one or more meetings or for a specific purpose.

The role and responsibilities of the IDP and Budget Steering Committee with respect to the IDP is to:

- 1. Serves as the communication mechanism between the Kopanong Local Municipality, sector departments and all other strategic partners;
- 2. Ensure the validity and technical correctness of the information presented;
- 3. Coordinate and align matters of mutual concern between sector departments and all other municipalities within the district;
- 4. Serve as the mechanism through which consultation and coordination with provincial departments and other external parties e.g. parastatals will take place;
- 5. Facilitate the integration of policies, objectives, strategies and projects;
- 6. Facilitate discussions and comments on inputs from consultants or other specialists;
- 7. Comment on technical aspects of sector plans;
- 8. Information assimilation and dissemination on regional development planning issues.

NOTE: The sole purpose of the IDP and Budget Steering Committee will be to advise the Municipal Manager and management on matters relating to the IDP. It will not have any decision making powers.

Every department, and every unit within a department, has to develop implementation and action plans based on the overall strategic plan of government. Apart from the Annual Performance Plan, every directorate also has to develop a Service Delivery Improvement Plan.

The relationship between national planning instruments such as the NSDP, provincial plans such as Provincial Growth and Development Strategies (PGDS) and municipal plans (IDP's) must be determined in the context of a set of intergovernmental planning principles.

These include:

- □ All spheres and organs of state should promote coordinated and integrated planning;
- □ National Development priorities and principles should inform planning for all spheres;
- Each sphere has its own distinct development tasks and related planning tasks corresponding to the scale of operations and the area jurisdiction; and

□ The necessary mutual alignment between National Priorities or guidelines, sectoral planning requirements and local needs, conditions and resources must be conducted in the spirit of cooperative governance whereby the plans of one sphere should support those in another;

□ The shared and common platform critical to alignment is made possible through a coherent set of National Spatial guidelines based on the twin concepts of development potential need;

□ The normative principles and guidelines embodied in the NSDP provide the central organizing concept for facilitating alignment and serve as the mechanism and basic platform for t better coordination and alignment of government programmes.

A: Vision and Mission

Vision (refers to an idealised view of where or what an organisation would like to be in the future - "where we are going")

"By 2030 the Kopanong Local Municipality should be a vibrant, sustainable and successful municipality which provides quality services."

Mission (refers to an organisation's present business scope and purpose - "who we are, what we do and why we are here")

To promote a working relationship with stakeholders and communities.

- To promote and provide effective and efficient administration, political leadership to ensure a safer and healthier environment.
- To promote a shared and integrated delivery of services.

To uphold the principles of good governance in a transparent and accountable manner.

To promote sound financial management and increase revenue base.

**Slogan** (refers to a brief statement used to express a principle, goal, or ideal)

"Unity, Integrity and Prosperity"

#### **B: Demographic Profile of the Municipality**

#### 1: Population size

The last population census was held in 2011 and its information from the 2011 Census has been used to update this Integrated Development Plan. According to Census 2011, Kopanong Local Municipality has a total population of 49171 compared to 56079 in 2001 – thus demonstrating a growth rate of -1.2%. Of the total population 35143 are Black African; 8958 are Coloured; 211 are Indian or Asian, 4630 are White and the other population groups making up the remaining 228. Furthermore, 24083 males and 25087 females make up the total 49171 population – the ratio is 96.0.

Statistics South Africa

Community Survey 2016

Table 1

for Person Weight

| DEMOGRAPHICS DATA    | CENSUS 2011           |
|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Total municipal area | 15190 km <sup>2</sup> |

|                        | (44,5% – largest surface area of the four local municipalities in the Xhariep district) |
|------------------------|---|
| Population             | 49 999  |
| Age Structure          |   |
| 0-14 Children (Male)   | 6369  |
| 0-14 Children (Female) | 6638  |
| 15-34 Youth (Male)     | 9520  |
| 15-34 Youth (Female)   | 9282  |
| 35-64 Adults (Male)    | 6943  |
| 35-64 Adults (Female)  | 6284  |
| 65+ Elderly (Male)     | 1979  |
| 65+ Elderly (Female)   | 2984  |
| Total Male             | 24812   |
| Total Female           | 25188   |
| Total Population       | 49 999  |
| Households             | 15 643  |

#### Literacy

A simple definition of literacy is the ability to read and write, which has been translated into the successful completion of a minimum of 7 years of formal education. Since most learners start school at the age of (seven) 7 years, the literacy rate is calculated as the proportion of those fourteen (14) years and older who have successfully completed a minimum of seven (7) years of formal education. The table below illustrate the level of education in Kopanong municipality and including school attendance and non-attendance.

#### Educational levels

Educational levels reflect what skills are available to society and the labour market. The level of education provides an indication of the income potential and standard of living of residents in a particular geographic area. This also reflects negatively on the economy of the town due to high rate of literacy and unemployment. Lack of tertiary school been FET College or Satellite University

| Highest Level of Education Community Survey 2016                                     |      |
|--|------|
| No schooling   | 3640 |
| Grade 0  | 1448 |
| Grade 1/Sub A/Class 1  | 1285 |
| Grade 2/Sub B/Class 2  | 1278 |
| Grade 3/Standard 1/ABET 1  | 2311 |
| Grade 4/Standard 2   | 1998 |
| Grade 5/Standard 3/ABET 2  | 1900 |
| Grade 6/Standard 4   | 2716 |
| Grade 7/Standard 5/ABET 3  | 2657 |
| Grade 8/Standard 6/Form 1  | 3836 |
| Grade 9/Standard 7/Form 2/ABET 4/Occupational certificate NQF Level 1                | 3387 |
| Grade 10/Standard 8/Form 3/Occupational certificate NQF Level 2                      | 4141 |
| Grade 11/Standard 9/Form 4/NCV Level 3/ Occupational certificate NQF Level 3         | 3606 |
| Grade 12/Standard 10/Form 5/Matric/NCV Level 4/ Occupational certificate NQF Level 3 | 8016 |
| NTC I/N1   | -    |
| NTCII/N2   | 25   |
| NTCIII/N3  | 44   |
| N4/NTC 4/Occupational certificate NQF Level 5  | 35   |
| N5/NTC 5/Occupational certificate NQF Level 5  | 64   |
| N6/NTC 6/Occupational certificate NQF Level 5  | 66   |
| Certificate with less than Grade 12/Std 10   | 87   |

| Diploma with less than Grade 12/Std 10  | 45  |  |  |
|---|-----|--|--|
| Higher/National/Advanced Certificate with Grade 12/Occupational certificate NQF | 271 |  |  |
| Diploma with Grade 12/Std 10/Occupational certificate NQF Level 6               | 503 |  |  |
| Higher Diploma/Occupational certificate NQF Level 7                             | 267 |  |  |
| Post-Higher Diploma (Master's   | 147 |  |  |
| Bachelor's degree/Occupational certificate NQF Level 7                          | 476 |  |  |
| Honours degree/Post-graduate diploma/Occupational certificate NQF Level 8       |     |  |  |
| Master's/Professional Master's at NQF Level 9 degree                            | 64  |  |  |
| PHD (Doctoral degree/Professional doctoral degree at NQF Level 10)              | -   |  |  |
| Other   | 271 |  |  |

Created on 11 November 2016

Space-Time Research Web page: www.str.com.au

Space-Time Research Online support: support@str.com.au Super CROSS Copyright © 1993-2016 Space Time Research Pty Ltd. All rights reserved.

The Table below shows that females are more than male as results of more babies born are girls than boys; which has negative impact on the employment sector:

| Gender |        | Total  | Sex ratio (Males per 100 Females) |  |  |
|--------|--------|--------|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| Male   | Female | Iotai  | Sex ratio (males per roor emales) |  |  |
| 24812  | 25188  | 49 999 | 99                                |  |  |

#### Table 1 Geography hierarchy 2011 by Population group and Sex

| Local       | BI   | Black African |       | Coloured |      | Indian/Asian |    |     |     | White |      | Total |       |       |       |
|-------------|------|---------------|-------|----------|------|--------------|----|-----|-----|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Municipalit | Mal  | Fem           | Total | Ма       | Fem  | Tot          | Ма | Fem | Tot | Ма    | Fem  | Tot   | Male  | Femal | Total |
| у           | е    | ale           |       | le       | ale  | al           | le | ale | al  | le    | ale  | al    |       | е     |       |
| FS162:      | 1859 |               | 3689  | 405      |      |              |    |     |     | 212   |      |       |       |       |       |
| Kopanong    | 4    | 18296         | 0     | 5        | 4617 | 8672         | 33 | -   | 33  | 9     | 2275 | 4404  | 24812 | 25188 | 49999 |

The above shows that blacks and coloured are most pre-dominating than any other ethnic group of which is the most disadvantaged in terms of employment.

#### Electricity

Across the municipality, 14489 (92.6%) of households are making use of electricity as a form of lighting, 0.1% use gas, 0.7% paraffin, 5.8% candles, 0.6% solar and 0.2% do not have access at all. The use of other forms of lighting except electricity is predominant in informal dwellings and "RDP" houses build without electricity supply. Given the increased attention placed on energy efficiency and 'green' initiatives, this is an area to be prioritised by the municipality. The municipality's 92.6% access to electricity compares favourably with the aggregated district figure of 41817 (92.2%).

Electrification Project: The Municipality applied 768 electrification connections for 2015/16 financial year. On the 30th March 2015, DoE has allocated an amount of R1.6 million. The allocation made available by DoE can only cater for 133 houses according to the current rate of R12 000.00 per house and the remainder is 635 out of 768 backlogs. The DoE has allocated R5000.000.00 for 411 electricity connection

The Municipality has prioritized location in Jagersfontein, Gariep Dam and Springfontein to be electrified as these locations has been without electricity since from 2012. This is the completed RDP houses contracted by DHS and the beneficiaries are dwelling in this house. All 67 houses were electrified in Gariep Dam, 56 houses in Jagersfontein were connected to the grid and including 10 in Springfontein. Municipality backlogs is 635 including green fields.

|                                    | Household access to electricity |                              |  |   |           |                      |         |       |                             |           |  |  |  |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|--|---|-----------|----------------------|---------|-------|-----------------------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| District and Local<br>municipality | In-house<br>conventional meter  | In-house<br>prepaid<br>meter | Connected to<br>other source<br>which<br>household pays<br>for (e.g. con | Connected to<br>other source<br>which<br>household is<br>not paying for | Generator | Solar home<br>system | Battery | Other | No access to<br>electricity | Total     |  |  |  |
| FS162: Kopanong                    | 1525                            | 15507                        | 296  | 72  | 28        | 25                   | -       | 287   | 672                         | 1841<br>2 |  |  |  |

# **SECTION: C**

# C. Powers and Functions OF the Municipal

Local government is assigned specific powers and functions that are unique and appropriate to the lower sphere of government. Similar to the position on national and provincial spheres, local government powers and functions are constitutionally entrenched and protected and cannot be unilaterally taken away by another sphere of government. Albeit constitutionally protected, the powers and functions of municipalities are not absolute and are subject to both constitutional and national legislative requirements.

Chapter 3 of Municipal Systems Act, 2000 states that a municipality has all the functions and powers assigned to it in terms of the Constitution, and must exercise them subject to Chapter 5 of the Municipal Structures Act, 1998. Furthermore, a municipality is empowered by legislation to do anything reasonably necessary for, or incidental to, the effective performance of its functions and the exercise of its powers.

Against this legislative directive, we understand and interpret our powers and functions aligned to the objects of local government as set out in section 152 of the Constitution as follows:

|    | LEGISLATION   | FUNCTIONS AND POWERS   |
|----|---|--|
| Α. | <b>OBJECTS OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT</b> (section 152 of the<br>Constitution of the Republic of South Africa)   | <ol> <li>To provide democratic and accountable government for<br/>local communities.</li> <li>To ensure the provision of services to communities in a<br/>sustainable manner.</li> <li>To promote social and economic development.</li> <li>To promote a safe and healthy environment.</li> <li>To encourage the involvement of communities and<br/>community organizations in the matters of local<br/>government.</li> </ol> |
| B. | FUNCTIONS AND POWERS OF MUNICIPALITIES (section<br>83 (1) of the LG: Municipal Structures Act)<br>POWERS AND FUNCTIONS OF MUNICIPALITIES (section<br>156 of the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa) | <ol> <li>To provide democratic and accountable government for<br/>local communities.</li> <li>To ensure the provision of services to communities in a<br/>sustainable manner.</li> <li>To promote social and economic development.</li> <li>To promote a safe and healthy environment.</li> <li>To encourage the involvement of communities and<br/>community organizations in the matters of local government.</li> </ol>     |
| C. | FUNCTIONS AND POWERS OF MUNICIPALITIES (section<br>83 (1) of the LG: Municipal Structures Act)<br>MUNICIPAL FISCAL POWERS AND FUNCTIONS (section<br>229 of the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa)  |  |
| D. | DIVISION OF FUNCTIONS AND POWERS BETWEEN<br>DISTRICT AND LOCAL MUNICIPALITIES (section 84 (3)(a)<br>of the LG: Municipal Structures Act)<br>The minister may, by notice in the <b>Government Gazette</b> ,    | <ol> <li>Section 84 (1) (b) – potable water.</li> <li>Section 84 (1) (c) – bulk supply of electricity.</li> <li>Section 84 (1) (d) – domestic waste water and sewage disposal systems.</li> <li>Section 84 (1) (i) – municipal health services.</li> </ol>   |

|    | LEGISLATION   | FUNCTIONS AND POWERS   |
|----|---|--|
|    | and after consultation with the Cabinet member responsible<br>for the functional area in question, and after consulting the<br>MEC for local government in the province and, if applicable,<br>subject to national legislation, authorise a local municipality<br>to perform a function or exercise a power mentioned in<br>subsection (1) (b), (c), (d) or (i) in its area or any aspect of<br>such function or power.   |  |
| E. | NOTICE OF AUTHORISATION IN TERMS OF SECTION 85<br>(1) OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT: MUNICIPAL<br>STRUCTURES ACT, 1998 – PROVINCIAL GAZETTE (11<br>APRIL 2008)<br>The MEC for local government in a province may, subject to<br>the other provisions of this section, adjust the division of<br>functions and powers between a district and a local<br>municipality as set out section 84 (1) or (2), by allocating,<br>within a prescribed policy framework, any of those functions<br>or powers vested – (a) and (b). | <ul> <li>As listed in:</li> <li>Section 84 (1) (e) – social waste disposal sites.</li> <li>Section 84 (1) (f) – municipal roads.</li> <li>Section 84 (1) (i) – municipal health services.</li> <li>Section 84 (1) (j) – fire fighting services.</li> <li>Section 84 (1) (n) – municipal public roads (– relating to the above functions).</li> </ul> |

#### D. PROCESS FOLLOWED TO DEVELOP THE IDP

According to Section 152 and 153 of the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, local government is in charge of the development process in municipalities and the municipal planning. The constitutional mandate to relate its management, budgeting and planning functions to its objectives gives a clear indication of the intended purpose of municipal integrated development planning. The constitution also demands that local government improve intergovernmental coordination and cooperation to ensure integrated development across all three levels of government. Together with other organs of state, it must contribute to the progressive realisation of the fundamental rights contained in Sections 24, 25, 27 and 29 of the Constitution.

The White Paper on Local Government gives municipalities the responsibility to work with communities and groups of communities to find sustainable ways to their social, economic and material needs in order to improve their quality of life.

In terms of the Local Government Municipal System Act 32 of 2000, Section 25(1) each municipal council must, within a prescribed period after the start of its elected term, adopt a single, inclusive and strategic plan for the development of the municipality which, inter alia, links, integrates and co-ordinates plans and takes into account proposals for the development of the municipality and aligns the resources and capacity of the municipality. As far as the status of the Integrated Development Plan (IDP) is concerned, Section 35 of the Act clearly states that an integrated development plan by the council of a municipality is the principal strategic instrument, which guides and informs all planning and development, and all decisions with regard to planning, management and development in the municipality. In summary, the IDP and Budget Process Plan involve:

- 1. A preparation phase where departments assessed their situation and identified projects that are needed. The community went through the same process
- 2. A planning phase taking the strategic directives into account, departments developed more detailed business plans that contain project proposals with costs. Community needs were taken into account but some of the needs that require further investigation are not necessarily registered as projects.
- 3. An evaluation phase the project proposals from the various departments are evaluated through a budget assessment process that assesses if the projects are in line with council priorities and strategies. A draft Medium Term Income and Expenditure Framework (MTEF)(Budget) and IDP are prepared and approved before outreach and participation processes begin.

- 4. A participation process the draft Budget and IDP was discussed across the Municipal Area and community members had the opportunity to engage with the projects and budgets proposed for the Municipality and their areas. A comment period is provided and communities and interested parties provide comments on the draft IDP and budget.
- 5. A finalization phase taking the comments into account and final IDP and Budget must be prepared and submitted to council for approval

# E.SPATIAL DEVELOPMENT RATIONAL

The name of the municipality is derived from the Sesotho word "kopanong" meaning "a meeting place or a place where people are invited". The name earmarks unity and seeks to encourage cooperation. Kopanong Local Municipality is an administrative area situated in the South Western part of the Free State province. The Kopanong Local Municipality (FS162) was established in 2000 following the amalgamation of the nine towns and local administrations of Bethulie, Edenburg, Fauresmith, Gariepdam, Jagersfontein, Phillipolis, Reddersburg, Springfontein and Trompsburg (seat of both Kopanong Local Municipality and Xhariep District Municipality). The amalgamation also incorporated the first successful land claim in the Free State during November 1998, namely the Bethany Farm near Edenburg.

The municipality is situated in the Xhariep District and has a surface area of 15190 square kilometres (km<sup>2</sup>), which is 11.7% of the Free State and 44.5% of the total surface area of the district. It is also the largest municipality of the four local municipalities in the district.

Basic agricultural products are exported from the area for processing and re-imported into the area as consumer products. Historical events that took place in the municipality, aspects of the natural and man-made environment and local activities may form the basis for promoting tourism as a significant economic activity. Some of these events, both man-made and natural environment aspects are: 'Lake Gariep' and the Gariep Water Festival; the game reserve at Lake Gariep; the Orange River Ravine from the Gariep Dam wall to the Van der Kloof Dam wall; Jagersfontein Open Mine (the BIG HOLE); the 'Tiger Project' in Philippolis; battlefields of significant battles conducted during the Anglo-Boer War, e.g. Mostert's Hoek; Philippolis 'Witblits' Festival; historical buildings in Philippolis, e.g. the Dutch Reformed Church, library, old jail, the house where Lourens van der Post was born, Adam Kok's house (the Griqua leader) and a kraal and structure where gunpowder was kept; and Fauresmith horse endurance marathon.

The performance of the main economic sectors is as follows: Agriculture (38%), general government (23%), finance (13.3%), trade (10.2%). Kopanong Local Municipality is well positioned between the Northern Cape, Eastern Cape and the Mangaung Metropolitan Municipality en route to Gauteng province. The Trans-Gariep tourism route attracts visitors and there is a great tourism potential at Gariep Dam, Bethulie, Philippolis, Jagersfontein and Fauresmith.

The municipal area is predominantly agricultural, although very few value-adding activities take place. There is therefore potential for job creation in this sector, but agriculture and trade skills need to be developed. A constraint on economic growth is the accessibility of Colesberg and its strength as a service centre – Gariepdam and Phillipolis people conduct most of their economic activities in Colesberg.





Kopanong Local Municipality is situated in the centre of the Xhariep District, and shares a boundary with Letsemeng Local Municipality to the east through Koffiefontein, Mohokare Local Municipality to the west through Smithfield, Mangaung Metropolitan Municipality to the north through Bloemfontein; and also bordering both the Eastern Cape and Northern Cape to the south. The N1 and N6 routes transgresses the area to the south and links Bloemfontein, Reddersburg, Edenburg, Trompsburg, Springfontein and Gariepdam en route to the Eastern Cape, Northern Cape and Western Cape respectively.

All the 9 towns are connected with tarred road infrastructure through the national and provincial routes to reach the head office of the municipality in Trompsburg. The R704 and R706 links Koffiefontein (seat of Letsemeng Local Municipality), Fauresmith and Jagersfontein en route to Bloemfontein to Trompsburg, whilst the R704 links Phillipolis in a south-north direction.

The municipal area also accommodates Bethany which is part of a national land restitution case north of Trompsburg and near Edenburg on the N1.

**BETHULIE** was established in 1863 and is known for the 1.2km-long DH Steyn Bridge, a combined road and rail bridge which connects the Free State to the Cape. Access to the town is gained from the R701 route between Gariepdam and Smithfield. It is also home to the Tussen-die-Riviere Reserve and the nearby Klaversfontein Angora Rabbit Farm. Historically, Bethulie was the site of the largest concentration camp erected by the British during the Anglo-Boer War. The Pellissier House Museum, originally built in the 1830s, depicts the history of the area, including the Anglo-Boer war. It also contains some of the thousands of fossils and San utensils which were found in the valleys that are now covered by the Gariep Dam. Bethulie also has a Burgher Monument and Graveyard, a Horse Memorial and a monument to Louw Wepener who died in 1865 while storming Moshoeshoe's mountain stronghold at Thaba Bosiu.

**EDENBURG** was established or laid out on the farm Rietfontein on 24 February1862. Edenburg was proclaimed a town on 4 March 1863, and received municipal government in 1891. The town is situated approximately 40 km north of Trompsburg and approximately 83 km south-west of Bloemfontein near the N1. Access to the town is also gained from the R717 route between Trompsburg and Reddersburg. The name is said to be either of biblical origin (reference to the Garden of Eden in the Bible) or an adaptation of Edinburgh, name of the birthplace in Scotland of the Reverend Andrew Murray, for many years the only minister in the Orange Free State.

**FAURESMITH** was established in 1849 and named after Rev Phillip Faure of the Dutch Reformed Church in the Cape Colony and then governor of the Colony and Sir Harry Smith who played a distinctive role in the early history of South Africa (1819-1834). The first plots or erven were measured out and sold in 1849, and the town acquired municipal status only on 13 December 1859. At that stage, however, the town was still under the control and management of the Dutch Reformed Church. The original church settlement was laid out on the farm Sannah's Poort, on land that belonged to Adam Kok and his Griqua people. Sannah's Poort was named after Kok's wife Sannah who once broke her leg there. Despite protests by Adam Kok the church went ahead with its plans, and the town of Fauresmith was established on Sannah's Port.

Fauresmith is set among high ridges which have squeezed the growing town into a horseshoe shape. The town remains filled with quant historical features and attractions which lie carefully juxtaposed with the modern elements of a developing infrastructure. It is the second oldest town in the Free State and is the only town in South Africa, and one of only three in the world where the railway line ran down the centre of the main road until the late 1980s. Winters in Fauresmith are extremely cold with temperatures often below zero. Two years before the founding of the town, the meddling of the British government in the affairs of the Free State led to the military clash between Boer and Briton at the Battle of Boomplaats (Sir Harry Smith and Boers under Andries Pretorius clashed), about 30 km from the current Fauresmith.

**GARIEPDAM** is situated on the Orange River approximately 62 km south of Trompsburg alongside the N1 route between Bloemfontein and Colesberg. The main social and economic function of the town is to serve as a key regional tourist destination. It is the site of one of the country's most outstanding engineering projects with a vast expanse of water of some 375 square kilometres, thus making it an excellent venue for water sport and recreation such as swimming and yachting. The Nature Reserve surrounding the Dam is a sanctuary inter alia for a large population of springbok.

The town Gariepdam is perhaps better known to most for the manmade Gariep Dam (which is the largest dam in South Africa with a radius of 360 square kilometres) which forms part of the Orange River Development Scheme. It is the youngest town in the Free State and was officially registered as a municipality in 1994 (the town's official status as the Verwoerd Dam Municipality was proclaimed on 21 April 1994 and the name was officially changed on 4 October 1996 to Gariepdam). The town was originally erected in the late 1960s for the construction workers building the Gariep Dam, and after the dam's official opening in 1972 its potential as a tourist haven was recognised. Significantly, Gariep Dam was voted the Volksblad Tourism Town of the Year for 2010. It is a major attraction as the site of the first hydro-electric power station built by Eskom and boasts of the 13km of passages and halls within the walls of the Gariep Dam that make for interesting tours. The dam is extremely popular for angling and water sport, and the annual Gariep Dam Water Sport Festival draws visitors from far and wide every February. Surrounding the dam is the Free State's largest nature reserve, the Gariep Dam Nature Reserve, which has the largest population of springbok in the country as well as the scarce Cape Mountain Zebra and klipspringer.

The sheer magnificence of this more than 100km long and 24km wide dam is indeed sufficient to testify to the exceptional engineering and success of Africa's largest water supply scheme. As part of the great Orange River project which was started in 1928, the dam was named after Dr Hendrik Verwoerd (apartheid architect and former Prime Minister of South Africa until his assassination in 1966). The Town and Kampong areas were erected in the early 1960s and the rural area became known as Oranjekrag and accommodated 3500 people all involved with the construction of the dam wall. The completion date of construction was 31 August 1969 and today there are more than 600 permanent residents living in the Town with plenty of Gariep Dam accommodation options for visitors.

Gariep Dam has two main tourist attractions in the Free State, namely the Gariep Dam Nature Reserve and the Forever Resorts Gariep - a holiday resort. It is the most central point of the Republic of South Africa and an excellent road network connecting the town to the Eastern Cape, North and South Namibia, and Botswana. The town also has a airfield with a double tarred runway and international gliding championships are held annually in December at the airfield. Overseas gliders agree that Gariep Dam is the mecca for gliding competitions to set up world records for gliding.

JAGERSFONTEIN stands on the original farm which was once the property of a Griqua, Jacobus Jagers, hence the name Jagersfontein – he sold the Farm to C.F. Visser in 1854. Founded in 1871 the town is situated on the R706, 110km south-west of Bloemfontein and magically retains that pristine individuality of a flourishing mining village of yesteryear.

A diamond rush started in 1870 after farmer J.J. de Klerk found a 50 carat (10 g) diamond – it was also the first time a diamond was found in its mother stone -blue ground or as it's now known, Kimberlite. This was about three years before diamonds were discovered 130 km away at Kimberley. Mines no longer operate in Jagersfontein, but there were many great finds, such as the 972 carat (194.4 g) Excelsior Diamond of 1893 and the 637 carat (127.4 g) Reitz Diamond of 1895. The Jagersfontein Mine is the deepest hand-excavated hole in the world. It was one of the more famous diamond mines and together with the Koffiefontein mine produced one of the clearest diamonds of all mines in the early 1900s, despite being overshadowed by the mines at Kimberley. The Reitz diamond was first named after Francis William Reitz, then state president of the Orange Free State in which Jagersfontein was located. The following year marked the Diamond Jubilee of Queen Victoria (the 60th anniversary of her coronation) so the gem was renamed the Jubilee Diamond to commemorate the occasion. It was the second town in South Africa and the first town in the Orange Free State to have electricity and piped water. In the early years, water used to be supplied with a unique system of coin-operated water pumps, using so-called Water Pennies situated on street corners. Jagersfontein was the first town in the Free State to have electricity and a piped water supply.

A diamond town of days gone by, Jagersfontein has the largest man-made diamond-hole in South Africa and the 971-carat Excelsior diamond found here, is one of the largest diamonds found in South Africa. The hole with its Open Mine Museum and Look-out Post, the Jagers Mining Village, the Roman Catholic Church built in 1881 and the original water pumps in the streets are is open for visitors are places worth seeing. The Jagersfontein mine is actually the oldest diamond mine of its kind in the world. The diamond mining was done by pick, shovel and dynamite and so the miners of yesteryear created the world's biggest vertical handmade hole. Jewel diamonds found were famous for their exceptional quality and of the ten biggest diamonds ever found in the world, two came from this mine. In 1893 a diamond of 972 carats was discovered and it was described as a stone of the purest water – today known as a blue white diamond and was called the Excelsior (present day estimate terms for the stone is worth R1.2 billion).

After 34 years of open pit mining, underground mining by means of a vertical shaft and horizontal tunnels (drifts) started in 1913. During the 100 year life span of the mine several stoppages occurred like for instance during the two world wars and the great depression. During this time Jagersfontein mine produced 9.625 million carats of diamonds mostly of jewel quality. It is estimated that due to inefficient mining methods of the past a large proportion of diamonds from this pipe was not recovered. As a result the mine was reopened in 2010 and the mine tailings are since being mined to extract diamonds which could not be discovered then.

PHILLIPOLIS is situated approximately 53 km southwest of Trompsburg. Adam Kok, a Griqua leader, settled here with his people in 1826, and was established as a protector of the mission. When the government of the Orange Free State agreed to sell the land to Britain for 400 pounds, Kok left with his people, and migrated 500km to Griqualand east. The town was founded officially in 1823 as a mission station for the local Khoi people and named after the London Missionary Society (LMS) representative John Phillip. The old church was replaced with a Dutch Reformed Church, which was consecrated in 1871. The pulpit, carved out of olive wood, has become a tourist attraction. The first school opened in 1873. As of 2014 the town has started to become a popular tourist-destination because of its historical value, architecture and rural lifestyle. The town in situated in the Kopanong Local Municipality and the Xhariep District Municipality. The first mission station in the Free State was established in Philippolis and has become the oldest mission station in the Free State. It is home to several declared national monuments and has retained its historical appearance, making it a special tourist attraction.

Sir Laurens van der Post was born here, and his birth place is a declared national monument. His ashes are part of a monument that was erected in his honour.

**REDDERSBURG** is situated approximately 65 km northeast of Trompsburg and on the N6 only 60km south of Bloemfontein. The town was established around the Reformed Church Reddersburg, which was established on the farm Thorbeck on 7 May 1859. The church counsel bought the farm Vlakfontein for 1500 pounds to establish the town which laid out two years later on 20 August 1859. The name is Afrikaans and means "Saviour's Town", from "God is our Saviour". The town was managed by the church until 1894 when it was handed over to the municipality on agreement that a hereditary tenure of 33 pounds sterling must be paid by the municipality to the church on an annual basis. During the Second Boer War, Reddersburg was the site of one of the last victories for the Boer forces over the British. Various monuments, historical buildings and memorials are to be found, as well as the Mosterthoek Battlefield.

**SPRINGFONTEIN** is situated approximately 22 km south of Trompsburg and 150km south-west of Bloemfontein on the N1. The town was established in 1904 on the farm Hartleydale, which was part of the farm Springfontein – the town was granted municipal status in 1912. It derives its name from a strong artesian spring on the farm Springfontein. The name Springfontein, which is Afrikaans for "jumping spring", stems from the existence of a spring on the farm. A village management board was established in 1904 and the town attained municipal status in 1912. Springfontein is an important railway junction on the main line to Johannesburg, being the point where the Bloemfontein line converges with the East London and Port Elizabeth lines and where a westward line to other Free State towns commences.

**TROMPSBURG** was laid out in 1891 on the farm Middelwater and attained municipal status in 1902. The town is situated approximately 108 km south of Bloemfontein and named after the owners of the farm, Jan and Bastiaan Tromp. The town was first called Jagersfontein Road, then Hamilton, in honour of Sir Hamilton John Gold-Adams (1858 â 🗆 "1920), Lieutenant Governor of the Orange River Colony from 1901 to 1910. Trompsburg is located in the Kopanong Local Municipality and the Xhariep District Municipality. Today, Tromspburg is an ideal stop-over when travelling from Johannesburg to Cape Town or Port Elizabeth.

The municipality has developed the Water Service Plan and was adopted by council on 31st March 2015 and submitted to Water and Sanitation for inputs and comments. Municipality has reviewed

its Disaster Management Plan for more information on the plan please finds the attached DM plan. Kopanong municipality will have to develop Infrastructure Investment Planning with assistance from COGTA, and MISA. Municipality is depending on the grants for the implementation of any capital project like MIG, MWIG, DoE, RBIG, ACIP and MSIG the reason is high rate of unemployment and low revenue collections.

| KEY SPATIAL ISSUE           | BRIEF DESCRIPTION  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Access to land              | The issue of access to land relates the local authorities as well as individuals and groups. As far as individuals and groups are concerned, the burning issues are access to residential land in urban areas and to agricultural land for emerging farmers. Various local authorities experience a shortage of land for residential expansion and other social functions.   |
| Land development            | Land Development relates to the availability, preparation and funding of certain key land uses such<br>as sites for housing developments, land for needed social amenities and economic activities. The<br>key issues requiring attention in this regard include: the generation of proper information of<br>projected land development needs, funding, co-operation and local capacity to evaluate<br>development applications. |
| Spatial integration         | Spatial integration has to focus on both a macro and a micro level. On a macro level there is a need for a more focused development at key nodal points to develop the region strategically within current resource constraints. On a micro level, most town areas are still geographically segregated and direct intervention within former buffer strip areas will be required to integrate communities.                       |
| Sustainable land management | The long-term sustainability of all land development practices will be the key factor in the environmental and economic future of this predominantly agricultural region. Specific attention will have to be given to the building of capacity amongst especially emerging land users and the provision of a management framework to all land users within the district.   |
| Proper distribution network | The vast distances between the various towns in the district make all communities dependent on the regional distribution roads for social as well as economic functioning. Most of these roads are however in a state of disrepair and especially the routes falling within the corridor areas will have to be upgraded and maintained as a matter of urgency.   |
| Land reform and restitution | The two land restitution cases within the municipality (namely Bethany and Oppermans) still need to be finalised and will require infrastructural intervention to provide proper infrastructure not  |

| KEY SPATIAL ISSUE | BRIEF DESCRIPTION   |
|-------------------|---|
|                   | presently available due to past neglect. The further land redistribution effort within the region will also have to be co-ordinated proactively in order to ensure legal and systematic address of the land shortage within the area.   |
| Land Conservation | Various areas along the southern border of the district, adjacent to the Orange river as well as<br>surrounding regional dams are well suited for tourism and agricultural development alike. These<br>areas are however sensitive to over utilization and pollution and will have to be protected and<br>conserved to ensure long-term benefits thereof. |

**NOTE**: The municipality is divided into six (8) overlapping wards that include adjacent farming areas and surroundings as per the Municipal Demarcation Board's determinations. Some wards are more complicated than others as demonstrated below:

| WARD | AREA COVERAGE             |  |  |  |  |  |
|------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1    | Reddersburg               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2    | Trompsburg                |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3    | Bethulie                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4    | Phillipolis and Gariepdam |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5    | Springfontein             |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6    | Jagersfontein             |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7    | Fauresmith                |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8    | Edenburg                  |  |  |  |  |  |

Data Source: Municipal Demarcation Board, 2011

| Average household size             | 3.00   |
|------------------------------------|--------|
| Female headed households           | 38.10% |
| Formal dwellings                   | 91.40% |
| Housing owned                      | 50.80% |
| Household Services                 |        |
|                                    |        |
| Flush toilet connected to sewerage | 82.10% |
| Weekly refuse removal              | 76.50% |
| Piped water inside dwelling        | 46.70% |
| Electricity for lighting           | 92.60% |
|                                    |        |

Data Source: Statistics South Africa, Census 2001 and 2011

#### Demographics

# (a) Population size

The last population census was held in 2011 and its information from the 2011 Census has been used to update this IDP.

According to Census 2011, Kopanong Local Municipality has a total population of 49171 compared to 56079 in 2001 – thus demonstrating a growth rate of -1.2%. Of the total population 35143 are Black African; 8958 are Coloured; 211 are Indian or Asian, 4630 are White and the other population groups making up the remaining 228. Furthermore, 24083 males and 25087 females make up the total 49171 population – the ratio is 96.0.

# (b) Rate of unemployment

Economically active people (those in the labour force) are those between the ages of 15 and 65 years who chose to participate in the labour market by being willing to supply their labour in exchange for an income. Being economically active does not depend on being employed; as long as there is a desire, willingness and availability to work, even if that desire does not translate into employment, then you are seen as part of the labour force.

The differences in participation levels as a result of discouragement, people who want to work but have given up hope in finding employment and therefore are not taking active steps to look for work is what is typically causing the difference between South Africa's official versus the broad/expanded unemployment definition.

| Geography by Official employment status<br>for Person weighted |          |            |                            |                                     |                        |                |
|--|----------|------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|
|  | Employed | Unemployed | Discouraged<br>work-seeker | Other not<br>economically<br>active | Age less than 15 years | Not applicable |
| Matoporong - Reddersburg                                       | 978      | 510        | 186                        | 1314                                | -                      | 1902           |
| Edenburg - Ha-Rasebei  | 4956     | 1005       | 270                        | 3252                                | -                      | 4698           |
| Ipopeng - Fauresmith   | 483      | 255        | 171                        | 1326                                | -                      | 1395           |
| Jagersfontein - Itumeleng                                      | 1008     | 471        | 309                        | 1707                                | -                      | 2232           |
| Madikgetla - Trompsburg  | 1353     | 684        | 39                         | 1389                                | -                      | 1878           |
| Phillipolis - Poding-Tse-Rolo                                  | 549      | 372        | 78                         | 1188                                | -                      | 1458           |
| Springfontein - Waterkloof                                     | 573      | 297        | 426                        | 1002                                | -                      | 1401           |
| Bethulie - Lephoi  | 1032     | 459        | 504                        | 2103                                | -                      | 2397           |
| Gariep Dam Nature Reserve - Gariepdam                          | 405      | 141        | 96                         | 345                                 | -                      | 579            |

#### (c) Skills level of the employed

The skill level of the employed indicates current availability of jobs skills necessary within the labour market. It serves as an indication to what extent the labour market would be able to accommodate workers at the different skill levels. High skill occupations include senior officials and managers, professionals, technicians and associate professionals; skilled occupations include clerks, service workers; shop and market sales workers, skilled agricultural workers, craft and related trades workers and plant and machine operators and assemblers; low skill occupations include elementary occupations (occupations requiring low levels of knowledge and experience to perform simple and routine tasks, and limited personal initiative and judgement).

#### (d)Economic structure and performance

Economic growth, as measured by Regional Gross Domestic Product (GDP-R), is driven by two components: population growth and labour productivity. Labour productivity reflects the ability for increased output from the existing quantity of labour in the economy.

#### (e)Finance and resource mobilisation

Unlike provinces, municipalities have the ability to raise revenue through property rates and tariffs on fees for services rendered such as electricity, water, sanitation and refuse removal, administration fees and penalties. However, South Africa's fiscal framework entitle municipalities to a share of nationally raised revenue through the unconditional equitable share grant and other allocations from national or provincial government in the form of conditional or unconditional grants.

## F: STATUS QUO ASSESSMENT

The administration of Kopanong Local Municipality is headed by the Municipal Manager as the accounting officer. The senior management team consist of four (4) MSA Section 56 managers that report directly to the Municipal Manager and their appointment is formalised by employment contracts. This management team's strength lies in their versatility both in terms of skills and experience. In addition, the political and administration governance are driven by the same goals which have enhanced a cordial and close relationship between the two structures.

Furthermore, a municipality has the functions and powers assigned to it in terms of sections 156 and 229 of the Constitution. These functions and powers are divided between the district municipality and the local municipalities established within its area of jurisdiction. Section 84(a) to (p) of the Structures Act defines the functions and the powers that are assigned to district municipalities. The Minister may authorize (under certain circumstances) a local municipality to perform a district function and power and the Member of the Executive Council for local government may (under certain circumstances) adjust specified functions and powers between the district and a local municipality in its area.

The MEC for Local Government adjusted the powers and functions between the Xhariep District Municipality and Kopanong Local Municipality, as published in the Provincial Gazette No. 128 of 2008 on 11 April 2008 to the extent reflected hereunder:

NOTE: The status quo assessment is indicated in relation to the following Key Performance Areas (KPAs) -

- KPA 1 Service Delivery and Infrastructure Development
- KPA 2 Local Economic Development
- KPA 3 Financial Viability
- KPA 4 Institutional Development and Organizational Development
- KPA 5 Good Governance and Public Participation

# 1.1 SERVICE DELIVERY AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT

Kopanong Local Municipality is a Water Service Authority, although the distribution part of it is done by Bloem Water and there is service level agreement pertaining to that. The main source of water for the municipality is boreholes which is ground water. We have constructed water pipeline from Fauresmith to Jagersfontein phase 1 to address problems of water pressure and phase 2 was launched in June 2016 for construction of pipeline from Kalkfontein Dam to fauresmith reservoir. Municipality has developed the Water Service Plan up to module 4 and approved by Council in November 2015 and it need to be reviewed.

Strategic Objective: Eradicate backlogs in order to improve access to services and ensure proper operations and maintenance Intended Outcome: Sustainable delivery of improved services to all households

NDP: Ensures that all people have access to clean, potable water and that there is enough water for agriculture and Industry

| WARD 1: Reddersbu           | WARD 1: Reddersburg Water Analysis and Sanitation |                                |   |                                |   |  |  |  |
|-----------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Name of settlement          | Number of   | Service Level                  | Service Level   |                                |   |  |  |  |
|                             | households  | Household with water above 500 | Sources   | No service at all<br>below 500 | required  |  |  |  |
| Reddersburg<br>& Matoporong | 2195  | 2139                           | 3 Boreholes   | 0                              | Construction of<br>2mgl reservoir<br>and 5km water<br>pipeline. |  |  |  |
| Challenges                  | Water treatment works                             |                                |   | 0                              | Maintenance of WTW  |  |  |  |
|                             | Pump station                                      | 1 existing pump<br>station     | Due to low water<br>pressure in some<br>area need<br>installation to<br>elevate tank. | 0                              | Maintenance of<br>old pump<br>stations                          |  |  |  |
| Sanitation                  |   |                                |   |                                |   |  |  |  |
| Challenges                  | 0   | 0                              | 0   | 0                              | 0   |  |  |  |

| WARD 2: Trompsburg Water Analysis and Sanitation |            |                 |                            |                   |          |  |  |
|--|------------|-----------------|----------------------------|-------------------|----------|--|--|
| Name of settlement                               | Number of  | Service Level   | Service Level Intervention |                   |          |  |  |
|  | households | Household with  | Sources                    | No service at all | required |  |  |
|  |            | water above 500 |                            | below 500         |          |  |  |

| Tropmsburg and Reddersburg                        | 1932         | 1932                    | 5 Boreholes | 0                                  |  |
|---|--------------|-------------------------|-------------|------------------------------------|--|
|   |              | 0                       | 0           | 0                                  | 0                                      |
| Challenges  | Pump station | 2 existing pump station |             | 0                                  | Maintenance of<br>old pump<br>stations |
| Sanitation  |              |                         |             |                                    |  |
| Challenges  | 0            | 0                       | 0           |                                    | Septic tank is used.                   |
| Some areas still use old clay and asbestos pipes. | 17           |                         |             | 17 household<br>without waterborne | Upgrading of<br>sewer pump<br>station  |

| WARD 3: Bethulie W                        | WARD 3: Bethulie Water Analysis and Sanitation                                       |  |              |   |   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| Name of settlement                        | Number of households   | Service Level<br>Household with<br>water above 500 | Sources      | No service at all below 500                         | Intervention<br>required                            |  |  |  |
| Bethulie & Lephoi                         | 3878   | 3842   | 14 Boreholes | 36  | Connectivity of<br>36 without water<br>to standpipe |  |  |  |
|   | 0  | 0  | 0            | 0   | 0   |  |  |  |
| challenges                                | Pump station   | 2 existing pump station                            |              | 0   | Maintenance of<br>old pump<br>stations              |  |  |  |
| sanitation                                |  |  |              |   |   |  |  |  |
| Upgrading of<br>infrastructure<br>network | 400 household  |  |              | 400 RDP houses<br>to be connected to<br>the network | Septic tank is used.                                |  |  |  |
| Challenges                                | Old existing works<br>which is over<br>capacitated, it needs<br>upgrading treatment. |  |              |   | Upgrading of<br>sewer pump<br>station               |  |  |  |

 WARD 4: Philipolis Water Analysis and Sanitation

 Name of settlement
 Number
 of
 Service Level

Intervention

|                                     | households | Household with water above 500 | Sources    | No service at all below 500 | required                                  |
|-------------------------------------|------------|--------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|---|
| Poding tse Rolo &<br>Phillipolis    | 1645       | 1495                           | Gariep dam | 150 household               | House<br>connectivity to<br>the standpipe |
| Sanitation                          |            |                                |            |                             |   |
| challenges                          |            |                                |            | 150                         | Use septic<br>tanks                       |
| Waterborne waste<br>treatment works | 0          | 0                              | 0          | Refurbishment of<br>WWTW    | Upgrading of<br>WWTW                      |

| WARD 4: Gariep Dar                  | WARD 4: Gariep Dam Water Analysis and Sanitation |                                |            |                             |                               |  |  |  |
|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Name of settlement                  | Number of  | Service Level                  |            |                             | Intervention                  |  |  |  |
|                                     | households                                       | Household with water above 500 | Sources    | No service at all below 500 | required                      |  |  |  |
| Gariep                              | 463 household                                    | 463                            | Gariep Dam | 0                           | 0                             |  |  |  |
| Low water pressure<br>in some areas | 0  | 0                              | 0          | 0                           | Upgrading of<br>pump stations |  |  |  |
| Sanitation                          |  |                                |            |                             |                               |  |  |  |
| Challenges                          | 0  | 0                              | 0          | 0                           | Maintenance of<br>WWTW        |  |  |  |

| WARD 5: Springfontein Water Analysis and Sanitation |            |                            |         |                   |          |  |
|---|------------|----------------------------|---------|-------------------|----------|--|
| Name of settlement                                  | Number of  | Service Level Intervention |         |                   |          |  |
|   | households | Household with             | Sources | No service at all | required |  |
|   |            | water above 500            |         | below 500         |          |  |

| Maphodi     | 1068                           | 1068 | 5 boreholes | 0 |  |
|-------------|--------------------------------|------|-------------|---|--|
|             |                                |      |             |   |  |
|             |                                |      |             |   |  |
|             |                                |      |             |   |  |
| Sanitations |                                |      |             |   |  |
| Challenges  | 5 household use<br>septic tank | 0    | 0           | 0 | Connectivity of<br>5 household to<br>the network |
|             |                                |      |             |   |  |

| WARD 6: Fauresmit  | WARD 6: Fauresmith Water Analysis and Sanitation |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |
|--------------------|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| Name of settlement | Number of households                             | Service Level<br>Household with<br>water above 500 | Household with Sources No service at all |   |   |  |  |  |
| Ipopeng            | 1120   | 1120   | 7 boreholes and<br>Kalkfontein dam       | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Sanitations        |  |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Challenges         | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| No bucket system   | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |

| WARD 7: Jagersfontein Water Analysis and Sanitation |                      |  |  |   |   |  |  |
|---|----------------------|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| Name of settlement                                  | Number of households | Service Level<br>Household with<br>water above 500 | lousehold with Sources No service at all require |   |   |  |  |
| Ipopeng   | 1936                 | 1936   | 5 boreholes and<br>Kalkfontein dam               | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Sanitations   |                      |  |  |   |   |  |  |
| Challenges  | 0                    | 0  | 0  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| No bucket system                                    | 0                    | 0  | 0  | 0 | 0 |  |  |

26 | Page

| WARD 8: Edenburg \ | WARD 8: Edenburg Water Analysis and Sanitation |  |                                    |                             |                          |
|--------------------|--|--|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
| Name of settlement | Number of households                           | Service Level<br>Household with<br>water above 500 | Sources                            | No service at all below 500 | Intervention<br>required |
| Ipopeng            | 1120   | 1120   | 7 boreholes and<br>Kalkfontein dam | 0                           | 0                        |
| Sanitations        |  |  |                                    |                             |                          |
| Challenges         | 0  | 0  | 0                                  | 0                           | 0                        |
| No bucket system   | 0  | 0  | 0                                  | 0                           | 0                        |

# Waste Management

All 18412 households within the municipality have 100% access to refuse removal. However, sometimes the municipality could not adhere to weekly refuse removal schedules in some of its towns or wards due to ageing yellow fleet and its constant mechanical breakdowns – notwithstanding the fact that most of the yellow fleet is not appropriate for waste removal. The strategies municipality use to reduce, re-use and recycle is that after the rehabilitation of landfill in each and every town municipality has put a container which is used for classifications of all materials like plastic in one container and etc. The collection service is done internally by municipality staff.

During the reviewing of Kopanong SDF we have identified all landfills that need to be rehabilitated and registered within Kopanong. As off now Kopanong municipality has registered all eight landfills and completed rehabilitation of Edenburg transfer station and busy with construction of Reddersburg landfill which is about 90% completion and advertised for 3 towns which is Gariep dam, Springfontein and Trompsburg. Municipality has to develop waste management plan. The table below shows frequency of refuse removals within municipality and accessibility thereof:

| Kopanong Local Municipality Refuse removal  |  |                             |   |                       |  |       |       |
|---|--|-----------------------------|---|-----------------------|--|-------|-------|
| Removed by local<br>authority/private<br>company/community<br>members at least<br>once a week | Removed by local<br>authority/private<br>company/community<br>members less often<br>than once a week | Commun<br>al refuse<br>dump | Communal<br>container/central<br>collection point | Own<br>refuse<br>dump | Dump or<br>leave<br>rubbish<br>anywhere<br>(no<br>rubbish<br>disposal) | Other | Total |
| 12846   | 1430   | 387                         | 36  | 2756                  | 749  | 206   | 18412 |

# Electricity

# NDP: Electricity grid

A total of 890 applications for household electrification have been submitted to s do not have access to waterborne sanitation (Reddersburg: 5, Trompsburg: 36, Fauresmith: 33 and Bethulie: 28). There are currently only 8 buckets to be removed in Fauresmith and the rest of the households in Reddersburg and Trompsburg are using their neighbours' toilets. The capacity of the sewerage network, pump stations and infiltration of foreign substances into the sewerage network are major causes of sewerage spillages and manholes overflow.

There is a total of 1586 street and high masts lights spread throughout the municipality as follows: Bethulie (98), Edenburg (242), Fauresmith (145), Gariep Dam (355), Jagersfontein (129), Phillipolis (157), Reddersburg (253), Springfontein (15) and Trompsburg (192). The municipality has been allocated R 5,406,335.00 from MIG for construction of high masts lights, and the project will be distributed as follows: All 9 towns have allocated 2 high mast lights each and the installation has already started. Out of the 1586 street and high masts lights only 1473 are functional (Edenburg: 239, Fauresmith: 142, Gariep Dam: 349, Jagersfontein: 101, Philippolis: 151, Reddersburg: 215, Springfontein: 15 and Trompsburg: 182) whist 114 are dysfunctional in Bethulie (19), Edenburg (3), Fauresmith (4), Gariep Dam (6), Jagersfontein (28), Phillipolis (6), Reddersburg (38) and Trompsburg (10). The old sections, settlements and newly developed areas are still very dark at night due to the absence of high mast lights. This exposes communities to crime and lack of safety. The Department of Energy has approved a business plan for another 20 high mast and the project is completed. The 66 houses in Gariep dam have been electrified and project is been completed. Municipality has to develop the energy master plan and we have requested Centlec to assist us.

# **Roads and Storm Water**

330.96 km of roads and streets are gravelled (Bethulie: 36.85 km, Edenburg: 42.8 km, Fauresmith: 13.63 km, Gariep Dam: 4.33 km, Jagersfontein: 146. 95 km, Phillipolis: 14.3 km, Reddersburg: 28.1 km, Springfontein: 19 km and Trompsburg: 25 km), 43.08 km are tarred (Bethulie: 3 km, Edenburg: 2.68 km, Fauresmith: 2 km, Gariep Dam: 16.8 km, Jagersfontein: 1.4 km, Phillipolis: 3.7 km, Reddersburg: 5.5 km, Springfontein: 8 km and Trompsburg: 0) whilst 26.13 km are paved access roads (Bethulie: 7.5 km, Edenburg: 3 km, Fauresmith: 0 km, Gariep-Dam: 0.13 km, Jagersfontein: 3.9 km, Phillipolis: 2 km, Reddersburg: 3.8 km, Springfontein: 2.5 km and Trompsburg: 3.3 km).

Storm water channels are maintained and kept clean. However, most of the gravelled roads and streets are not accessible especially during raining days and road markings are not clear in some of the main roads and streets. Some of the tarred roads have potholes and there are no storm water drainage systems. The roads will be gravelled, graded and bladed during 2015/16, whilst the potholes will be patched and resealed Municipality has to develop Integrated Development Plan and link it to the RAMS programme of the District.

# Land Reform

Kopanong Local Municipality has established a Municipal Planning Tribunal and approved the SPLUMA by-law, also through the assistance of Department of Rural and Development we (KLM& DRDLR) busy with the review of Spatial Development Plan to align it with the SPLUMA Act. Through the support of MISA municipality is currently developing the Land Use Management Scheme and review of Housing Sector Plan.

# Storm Water

The municipality will have to develop water management plan or system to address issue of storm water channel and make provision for maintenance.

# Social Services:

Housing-

Municipality has developed housing sector plan adopted it in 2014 but it need to be reviewed. Kopanong Local Municipality has three housing project that are currently in progress in Bethulie, Gariep Dam and Springfontein, the projects started in 2012 and the completion date is expected to be on the 31May 2015. The contractors working on housing projects (RDP/BNG) are progressing at the very slow pace and the municipality has no control over these projects – as a result the slow progress by contractors creates disputes in communities and sometimes leads to political unrest. The slow development of sites is the cause for non-allocation of houses for Edenburg and Fauresmith by Department of Human Settlements.

| TOWN   | ALLOCATI<br>ON | FOUNDAT<br>IONS | WALL<br>PLATES | ROOFING | COMPL<br>ETED | JOB<br>OPPORT<br>UNITIES | COMMENTS  |
|--|----------------|-----------------|----------------|---------|---------------|--------------------------|---|
| Bethulie (Zimvo.<br>Contractor)  | 110            | 110             | 110            | 99      | 103           | 35                       | Contractor was terminated   |
| Springfontein:   | 250            |                 |                |         |               |                          | 40 sites still outstanding<br>affected by powerline   |
| Mampotla Trd   | 129            | 89              | 89             | 89      | 89            | 38                       | 11 sites are on the flood line area.  |
| Suprim   | 121            | 39              | 39             | 39      | 32            | 35                       |   |
| Jagesfontein<br>(Sediti<br>Contractor                                    | 42             | 33              | 31             | 36      | 21            | 24                       | Contractor is busy with<br>casting of foundations and<br>completions<br>50 houses was electrified.  |
|  | 50             | 50              | 50             | 50      | 50            | 28                       |   |
| Bethulie<br>(Mminathoko<br>Trading and sub<br>Leviticus<br>Construction) | 100            | 21              | 5              | 0       | 0             | 35                       | Contractor is busy on site<br>and has appointed sub-<br>contractor as Leviticus   |
| Bethulie (Korean<br>couter-part)   | 300            | 160             | 120            | 60      | 31            | 60                       | Contractor is busy with<br>building of structures, and<br>foundations but the project is<br>behind schedule as it was<br>supposed to be completed in<br>august 2015 |
| Trompsburg land<br>claim &<br>individual<br>subsidy                      | 2              | 1               | 1              | 1       | 1             | 0                        | Contractor waitng for<br>Municipality to correct<br>relocation of erf beacons   |
| Edenburg   | 40             | 40              | 20             | 0       | 0             | 28                       | Contractor is on site busy with the wall plates   |

#### Education-

All roads to the schools are paved and if not paved are graded for smooth flow of traffic and accessibility of children and teachers to schools. All schools have access to basic services which is water, electricity and roads although some still owe municipality money of services.

Safety and Security- Municipality don't have traffic department is depending on the Provincial traffic department for law

Enforcement also issues of environment are the core function of the District municipality. Municipality is Working with SAPS on enforcement of by-laws and there is a monthly meeting where all stakeholders Sit to discuss issues of crime within municipality.

Municipality has install high mast light in every town especially on the so-called hot spot areas identified By the community and SAPS. We have graded roads in those areas which has been identified as hot Spot for the accessibility of those areas without tarred or paved roads.

**B.** Public Participation and Good Governance

**Strategic Objective:** Promote a culture of participatory and good governance **Intended outcome:** Entrenched culture of accountability and clean governance

| STRUTURES               | FUNCTIONAL                              | NON-FUNCTIONAL |
|-------------------------|---|----------------|
| Internal audit Unit     | In place and fuctional                  |                |
| Audit Committee         | In place and is a shared committee      |                |
| Oversight Committee     | Functional                              |                |
| Ward committees         | All ward committees are established and |                |
|                         | functional                              |                |
| Council committees      | All council committees are functional   |                |
| Supply Chain Committees | All SCM committees are established and  |                |
|                         | functional                              |                |

(b) Complaints Management System: the municipality has the electronic system in the wards and manual but the electronic system Is not operational or in used people still use the manual reporting system

(c) Fraud Prevention Plan: Municipality has developed the policy and is operational

(d) Communication Strategy: Municipality has developed the strategy and approved by council

(e) Stakeholder Mobilization/ Public Participation Strategy

# C.INSTITUTIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND TRANSFORMATION

**Strategic Objectives**: Improve organisational cohesion and effectiveness **Intended Outcome**: Improved organisational stability and sustainability

(a)Information Technology: Municipality do have the unit of IT and is functional.

(b)Availability of skills staff: Municipality have skilled staff in all the department except a professional Town Planner.

(c)Organisational structure is in place but it need to be reviewed for proper placement of staff.

(d)Vacancy rate is 0 on the management and 1% on the general workers.

(e)Skills Development Plan has been done and approved by council submitted to SETA.

(f) Human Resource Management strategy/Plan: municipality do not have the strategy.

(g) Individual Performance and Organisational Management System: municipality do have OPMS

(h) Monitoring, evaluation and reporting processes and system: municipality do have performance management framework and has developed the SDBIP for monitoring purposes

#### **D. Financial Viability**

**Strategic objective**: To improve overall financial management in the municipality by developing and implementing appropriate **Intended outcome**: improved financial management and accountability:

financial policies, procedures and systems.

- a. Tariffs policies are in place and approved by council.
- b. Rates policies are in place and approved by council.
- c. SCM policy- staffing the policy is in place and has enough staff.
- d. Staffing of the Finance and SCM units is adequate.
- e. Payment of creditors- municipality has arrears of the following Pension funds like SALA and SAMWU including Bloem water and Worksman Compensation
- f. Auditor General Findings-
- g. Financial Management systems-

#### E. Local Economic Development

Strategic Objective: Create an environment that promotes the development of the local economy and facilitate job creation Intended Outcome: Improved municipal economic viability

- a. Local Economic Development strategy- municipality do have the strategy in place but need to be reviewed.
- b. Unemployment rate is 62, 4% of the population of Kopanong Municipality. Economically active people (those in the labour force) are those between the ages of 15 and 65 years who chose to participate in the labour market by being willing to supply their labour in exchange for an income. Being economically active does not depend on being employed; as long as there is a desire, willingness and availability to work, even if that desire does not translate into employment, then you are seen as part of the labour force.
- c. Level of current economic activity-the dominant sectors is agriculture, tourism and government departments.
- d. In terms of job creation municipality give first preference to the locals on goods and services and secondly with the district.

#### CHAPTER 2: SITUATION ANALYSIS

KOPANONG LOCAL MUNICIPALITY AT A GLANCE

Geography, history and economy

The name of the municipality is derived from the Sesotho word "**Kopanong**" meaning "a meeting place or a place where people are invited". The name earmarks unity and seeks to encourage cooperation. Kopanong Local Municipality is an administrative area situated in the South Western part of the Free State province. The Kopanong Local Municipality (FS162) was established in 2000 following the amalgamation of the nine towns and local administrations of Bethulie, Edenburg, Fauresmith, Gariepdam, Jagersfontein, Phillipolis, Reddersburg, Springfontein and Trompsburg (seat of both Kopanong Local Municipality and Xhariep District Municipality). The amalgamation also incorporated the first successful land claim in the Free State during November 1998, namely the Bethany Farm near Edenburg.

The municipality is situated in the Xhariep District and has a surface area of 15190 square kilometres (km<sup>2</sup>), which is 11.7% of the Free State and 44.5% of the total surface area of the district. It is also the largest municipality of the four local municipalities in the district. Basic agricultural products are exported from the area for processing and re-imported into the area as consumer products. Historical events that took place in the municipality, aspects of the natural and man-made environment and local activities may form the basis for promoting tourism as a significant economic activity. Some of these events, both man-made and natural environment aspects are: 'Lake Gariep' and the Gariep Water Festival; the game reserve at Lake Gariep; the Orange River Ravine from the Gariep Dam wall to the Van der Kloof Dam wall; Jagersfontein Open Mine (the BIG HOLE); the 'Tiger Project' in Philippolis; battlefields of significant battles conducted during the Anglo-Boer War, e.g. Mostert's Hoek; Philippolis 'Witblits' Festival; historical buildings in Philippolis, e.g. the Dutch Reformed Church, library, old jail, the house where Lourens van der Post was born, Adam Kok's house (the Griqua leader) and a kraal and structure where gunpowder was kept; and Fauresmith horse endurance marathon.

The performance of the main economic sectors is as follows: Agriculture (38%), general government (23%), finance (13.3%), trade (10.2%). Kopanong Local Municipality is well positioned between the Northern Cape, Eastern Cape and the Mangaung Metropolitan Municipality en route to Gauteng province. The Trans-Gariep tourism route attracts visitors and there is a great tourism potential at Gariep Dam, Bethulie, and Philippolis, Jagersfontein and Fauresmith The municipal area is predominantly agricultural, although very few value-adding activities take place. There is therefore potential for job creation in this sector, but agriculture and trade skills need to be developed. A constraint on economic growth is the accessibility of Colesberg and its strength as a service centre – Gariepdam and Philippolis people conduct most of their economic activities in Colesberg.

Kopanong Local Municipality is situated in the centre of the Xhariep District, and shares a boundary with Letsemeng Local Municipality to the east through Koffiefontein, Mohokare Local Municipality to the west through Smithfield, Mangaung Metropolitan Municipality to the north through Bloemfontein; and also bordering both the Eastern Cape and Northern Cape to the south. The N1 and N6 routes transgresses the area to the south and links Bloemfontein, Reddersburg, Edenburg, Trompsburg, Springfontein and Gariepdam en route to the Eastern Cape, Northern Cape and Western Cape respectively

NOTE: The municipality is divided into Eight (8) overlapping wards that include adjacent farming areas and surroundings as per determinations. Some wards are more complicated than others as demonstrated below:

the Municipal Demarcation Board's

| AREA COVERAGE             |  |
|---------------------------|--|
| Reddersburg               |  |
| Frompsburg                |  |
| Bethulie                  |  |
| Phillipolis and Gariepdam |  |
| Springfontein             |  |
| Jagersfontein             |  |
| Fauresmith                |  |
| Edenburg                  |  |
|                           |  |

Data Source: Municipal Demarcation Board, 2011

The table below shows a decrease in population due to migration to neighbouring towns and provinces especially Gauteng Province to find a greener pasture: This has a negative impact on the allocation of grants especially equitable share as is allocated based on the population per area. This also affects services delivery as the municipality cant meets it constitutional mandate.

# StatsSA Census Record

| DEMOGRAPHICS DATA    | CENSUS 2001 | CENSUS 2011                          |
|----------------------|-------------|--------------------------------------|
| Total municipal area |             | 15190 km²                            |
|                      |             | (44,5% – largest surface area of the |

| DEMOGRAPHICS DATA                            | CENSUS 2001 | CENSUS 2011   |
|--|-------------|---|
|  |             | four local municipalities in the<br>Xhariep district) |
| Population                                   | 56079       | 49 171  |
| Age Structure                                |             |   |
| Population under 15                          |             | 29.70%  |
| Population 15 to 64                          |             | 63.50%  |
| Population over 65                           |             | 6.80%   |
| Dependency Ratio                             |             |   |
| Per 100 (15-64)                              |             | 57.40   |
| Sex Ratio                                    |             |   |
| Males per 100 females                        |             | 96.00   |
| Population Growth                            |             |   |
| Per annum                                    |             | -1.31%  |
| Labour Market                                |             |   |
| Unemployment rate (official)                 |             | 27.00%  |
| Youth unemployment rate (official) 15-<br>34 |             | 33.60%  |
| Education (aged 20 +)                        |             |   |
| No schooling                                 |             | 13.40%  |
| Higher education                             |             | 6.40%   |
| Matric                                       |             | 20.70%  |
| Household Dynamics                           |             |   |
| Households                                   |             | 15 643  |
| Average household size                       |             | 3.00  |

# (a) Poverty and vulnerability

The poverty rate is the percentage of people living in households with an income less than the poverty income. The poverty income is defined as the minimum monthly income needed to sustain a household and varies according to household size, the larger the household the larger the income required to keep its members out of poverty.

Water Quality: the municipality has been maintaining 68% of blue drop and aiming to achieve 70% by 2017-2018 financial year.

Average Blue Drop Scores per municipality – 2011

| MUNICIPALITY | AVERAGE SCORE |
|--------------|---------------|
| KOPANONG     | 68%           |

## a) Availability of Resource

What opportunities do we offer?

- Kopanong Local Municipality has abundance of land to can develop and water from Gariep Dam.
- Tourism attraction site or areas like concentration camps in Bethulie and international horse racing in fauresmith.
- Agricultural areas especially livestock farming
- Job creations like construction of Albert Nzula Academic hospital
- Mining in Jagersfontein
- Hot flat area suitable for Solar Energy.
- Constitution of Agri-park in Springfontein
- Fish hatchery in Gariep Dam and construction of Fish processing Plant in Bethulie.

#### Mscoa:

Kopanong Local Municipality has also heed to call of National treasury by aligning itself with the new system of reporting and launched the project in council by August 2015. Kopanong Municipality has established two committees to fast-track the implementation of Municipal Standard Chart of Account (Mscoa); namely project steering committee and implementation committee. The committee has tabled the risk register to council in March 2016. All municipal officials have been workshopped on 29 March 2016. The implementation committee sits on weekly basis to monitor the process and municipality has submitted IDP in Mscoa format by the 30<sup>th</sup> October 2016 to meet the deadline set by National Treasury. Kopanong has signed the MOU with SEBATA consulting as system provider and as of now we are busy with the unbundling the budget systems to be submitted to treasury on 30<sup>th</sup> November 2016 and submit ICT assessment to treasury and alignment of IDP,SDBIP and Budget.

#### G. OBJECTIVE

Kopanong Local Municipality it has aligned itself with the outcome 9 of National Development Plan and Free State Growth and Development Strategy including the Millennium Goals developing the following objectives.

Domesticating the Sustainable Development Goals (SDG's)

The statistics report on the Millennium Sustainable Development Goals has been developed to endeavour the level of South African government in complying with the goals.

South African government through its priorities has owned the MDG agenda and through clear policies complied with the SDG imperatives. It is plausible to conclude then that the South African Constitution and its development mandate explicitly takes the SDGs into account, and as a consequence there remain a greater possibility that despite many a challenge, South Africa has a plan in place and a winning chance in implementation of the MDG goals, the table below shows the link between the National Development Plan and the Sustainable Development Goals.

Linkage between South Africa's national development planning and the

| SDGs  |                            |  |  |
|---|----------------------------|--|--|
| NDP Strategic Element   | Relevant MDGs              |  |  |
| Strategic Priority 1: Speeding up growth and transforming the economy to create decent work and sustainable livelihoods                   | SDG 1, SDG 2, SDG 3, SDG 8 |  |  |
| Strategic Priority 2: Massive programme to build economic and social infrastructure   | SDG 1, SDG 3, SDG 8        |  |  |
| Strategic Priority 3: Comprehensive rural development strategy linked to land and agrarian reform and food security                       | SDG 1, SDG 2, SDG 7        |  |  |
| Strategic Priority 4: Strengthen the skills and human resource base   | SDG 2                      |  |  |
| Strategic Priority 5: Improve the health profile of all South Africans  | SDG4, SDG 5, SDG 6         |  |  |
| Strategic Priority 6: Intensify the fight against crime and corruption  | SDG 2, SDG 3               |  |  |
| Strategic Priority 7: Build cohesive, caring and sustainable  | SDG 2, SDG 3, SDG 7        |  |  |
| Strategic Priority 8: Pursuing African advancement and enhanced international cooperation   | SDG 8                      |  |  |
| Strategic Priority 9: Sustainable resource management and use   | SDG 2, SDG 3, SDG 7        |  |  |
| Strategic Priority 10: Building a developmental state, including improvement of public services and strengthening democratic institutions | SDG 1, SDG 2, SDG 3, SDG 8 |  |  |

National Development Plan (Vision 2030)

National Development Plan (NDP) inform some of the programmes of this and it cut across a broad spectrum of issues and are reflected in this IDP according to the five key performance areas of the Municipality.

National Spatial Development Perspective (NSDP)

The NSDP's objective is to promote informed economic investment profiling to guide regional growth and development planning within a socio-economic framework. It therefore acts as an indicative planning tool for the three spheres of government. The National Spatial Development Perspective also informs the Spatial Development Framework of the Municipality.

National Government Priorities

- Infrastructure development.
- Creating conditions for an inclusive economy that will reduce poverty and inequality and produce decent jobs and sustainable livelihoods.
- Access to education and training, particularly by the youth, to ensure their full participation in the economy and society.
- Better quality health care and accessibility.
- Rural development.
- Safer communities and crime reduction.

The above is underpinned by the statement of the National Executive Committee of the African National Congress.

Provincial Perspective

Provincial Growth and Development Plan (PGDP)

The PGDP also plays an important role in shaping the Municipality's IDP. The PGDP of the Free State Provincial Government is reflected as follows in the Municipality's five key performance areas:

- Agriculture and Food Security, Fighting Poverty,
- Agro-processing and Tourism are reflected in municipal Local Economic Development.
- Human Resources Development are reflected in Municipal Transformation and Organizational Development.
- Infrastructure Development is reflected in Basic Service Delivery and Infrastructure Development.

These are all underpinned by key performance areas relating to financial sustainability and good governance.

Millennium Sustainable Development Goals

The current IDP Review will also attempt to respond to goals and targets as set out in the Millennium Sustainable Development Goals

The eight development priorities were termed the Millennium Sustainable Development Goals, (MDGs). As a member state of the United Nations, South Africa is a signatory to this Agreement. The eight MDGs are in their numerical order and can be summarized as follows:

- 1. To eradicate extreme poverty and hunger.
- 2. To achieve universal primary education.
- 3. To promote gender equality and empower women.
- 4. To reduce child mortality.
- 5. To improve maternal health.
- 6. To combat HIV/AIDS, malaria and other diseases.
- 7. To ensure environmental sustainability.
- 8. To develop a global partnership for development.

The Millennium Sustainable Development Goals and targets come from the Millennium Declaration, signed by 189 countries, including 147 Heads of State and Government, in September 2000 and from further agreement by member states at the 2005 World Summit (Resolution adopted by the General Assembly).

The goals and targets are interrelated and should be seen as a whole. They enjoin the developed countries and the developing countries through a partnership that would be conducive to development and to the elimination of poverty.

#### LINKAGE BETWEEN NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT PLANS, MILLENNIUM DEVELOPMENTAL GOALS, THE DISTRICT STRATEGIC AND MUNICIPALITY OBJECTIVES

Having demonstrated at the strategy level how the eight MDGs are integral to the South African government's development priorities, it is important now to illustrate how, at the implementation level, these strategic policy intentions should or get to be translated into reality within a municipal context, the following table illustrates the linkages between these strategies

| National KPA | Link with NDP | Millennium Sustainable Development Goals | Municipal Implementation<br>Strategy | Supporting Sector Plans<br>/Standards |
|--------------|---------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
|              |               |  |                                      |                                       |
| National KPA  | Link with NDP   | Millennium Sustainable Development Goals        | Municipal Implementation<br>Strategy  | Supporting Sector Plans<br>/Standards                    |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| Service Delivery<br>and Infrastructure<br>Development | Ensure that all South Africans have access to clean running water in their homes.   | To develop a global partnership for development | <ol> <li>Conduct water quality<br/>checks of all local<br/>municipality as per the<br/>Green and Blue drop<br/>Standards</li> <li>Lobby for the<br/>formulation of WSP<br/>(Water Services Plan)</li> </ol> | WSP (Water Services Plan<br>Environmental Health By-Laws |
|   | Establish effective, safe and affordable public transport.  |   | <ol> <li>Implement Rural Roads<br/>Asset Management<br/>Project</li> <li>Lobby for the<br/>formulation of<br/>Integrated Transport<br/>Plan (ITP)</li> </ol>  | Integrated Transport Plan                                |
|   | Broaden ownership of assets to historically disadvantaged groups  |   | Partner with Housing<br>Development Agency (HDA) to<br>implement some redistribution<br>projects  | Housing Sector Plans                                     |
| Local Economic<br>Development and<br>Job Creation     | A strategy to address poverty and its<br>impacts by broadening access to<br>employment, strengthening the social wage,<br>improving public transport and raising rural<br>incomes.<br>Boost private investment in labour-intensive<br>areas, competitiveness and exports, with<br>adjustments to lower the risk of hiring | To eradicate extreme poverty and hunger         | Partner with different investment<br>corporations and Development<br>agencies to create and promote<br>SMMEs in the district  | Local Economic Development<br>Strategy                   |
|   | younger workers.<br>Increase employment from 13 million in<br>2010 to 24 million in 2030  |   | Implement the Expanded Public<br>Works Programme through<br>internal and External funded<br>programmes  |  |
|   | Play a leading role in continental development, economic integration and  | ]   | Form International Partnerships in programmes that will bring   | <u> </u>   |

| National KPA                                   | Link with NDP   | Millennium Sustainable Development Goals                | Municipal Implementation<br>Strategy   | Supporting Sector Plans<br>/Standards |
|--|---|---|--|---------------------------------------|
|  | human rights  |   | about global change and<br>development i.e the Xhariep<br>Solar Hub initiative in partnership<br>with Korean Development<br>Agency         |                                       |
| Social wellbeing<br>and Upliftment             | Provide affordable access to quality health care while promoting health and wellbeing.  | To reduce child mortality<br>To improve maternal health | Conduct different awareness and<br>educational programs through the<br>Special Programmes Office, and<br>Champion the effective running of |                                       |
|  | Entrench a social security system covering<br>all working people, with social protection for<br>the poor and other groups of need   | To combat HIV/AIDS, malaria and other diseases          | the KLM AIDS Council   |                                       |
| Municipal<br>Infrastructure and<br>Environment | Produce sufficient energy to support<br>industry at competitive prices, ensuring<br>access for poor Households, while reducing<br>carbon emissions per unit of power by about<br>one-third. | To develop a global partnership for development         | Champion the effective running of the District Energy Forum  |                                       |
|  | Make high-speed broadband internet universally available at competitive prices.   |   |  |                                       |
|  | Increase the quality of education so that all<br>children have at least two years of<br>preschool education and all children in<br>grade 3 can read and write.                              | To achieve universal primary education                  | Ensure that Early Childhood<br>Development centres are well<br>taken care of through the<br>environmental and Health Unit                  |                                       |
|  |   |   | Provide for Bursaries through the Mayoral Fund   |                                       |
| Community Safety                               | Realise a developmental, capable and ethical state that treats citizens with dignity.   | To ensure environmental sustainability                  | Implement instructional Fraud<br>and Corruption Strategy   |                                       |
|  | Ensure that all people live safely, with an independent and fair criminal justice system.   |   | Encourage Community<br>participation in Kopanong<br>Policing Forums  |                                       |

| National KPA                 | Link with NDP  | Millennium Sustainable Development Goals     | Municipal Implementation<br>Strategy  | Supporting Sector Plans<br>/Standards |
|------------------------------|--|--|---|---------------------------------------|
| Institutional<br>Development | Ensure that skilled, technical, professional<br>and Managerial posts better reflect the<br>country's racial gender and disability<br>makeup. | To promote gender equality and empower women | Implement and review the Work<br>Place skills development Plan<br>and the Human resource strategy<br>to encourage Gender and Equity<br>Plan |                                       |

#### Strategic Development Priorities

In terms of the development challenges and strategy, the following Strategic Development Priorities will serve as the drivers of the Xhariep development agenda:

- SP 1: LED and Job Creation
- SP 2: Social Upliftment
- SP 3: Municipal Infrastructure and Environment
- SP 4: Community Safety
- SP 5: Institutional Development

Strategic Objectives/ Key Performance Areas

Strategic objectives define what we want to achieve in terms of the development agenda.

The Strategic objectives are:

- SO 1: Sustainable and quality living environment with efficient infrastructure.
- SO 2: Economic prosperity based on a dynamic, diverse and shared economic base.
- SO 3: Improve quality of life and social well-being.
- SO 4: Efficient and financially viable municipality.
- SO 5: Democratic and accountable governance.
- SO 6: Institutional Excellence.

The Strategic Objectives (SO) is translated into Key Performance Areas (KPA) and it is important that these KPAs aligned to 5 National Key Performance Areas as articulated in the Department of Local Government five-year strategy. SOs and KPAs alignment are illustrated in the table below:

| [ | NATIONAL KPA's   | STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES (S0)   |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
|   | 1:Basic Service Delivery                                   | Sustainable and quality living environment with efficient<br>infrastructure and improve quality of life and social well being |  |  |  |  |
|   | 2: Municipal Transformation and Organisational Development | Institutional Excellence  |  |  |  |  |

| 3: Local Economic Development                   | Economic Prosperity based on a Dynamic, Diverse and Shared |
|---|--|
|   | Economic Base  |
| 4: Municipal Financial Viability and Management | Efficient and Financially Viable Municipality              |
| 5: Good Governance and Public Participation     | Democratic and Accountable Governance                      |

#### STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES AND STRATEGY ALIGNMENT

Service Delivery Budget and Implementation Plan (SDBIP) is a tool to facilitate the implementation of the Development Agenda. The SDBIP reflects Organizational Performance Objectives and highlight the alignment with strategies and plans from the other Spheres of Government, the associated cross cutting elements, and the integrated development programmes.

SDBIP outlines the high level plan of action with the following details:

- National Key Performance Areas;
- Municipal Key Performance Areas/ Strategic Objectives (SOs)
- The Key Performance Indicators (KPI's) which will monitor the performance of the organisation in addressing these challenges" i.e. the outcome or impact of the actions;
- The High Level Strategic Units of Measurements to fill the gaps in service standards or expectations; and;
- The Long-term Targets which will monitor the delivery of specific outputs and will serve as the basis for specific projects and associated budgets.

The Strategic Objectives, with respective Strategy Alignment and the Key Performance Indicators, are as follows:

Sustainable Living and Quality Environment with Efficient Infrastructure; and Improving Quality of Life and Social Wellbeing (N-KPA 1)

- Economic Prosperity (N-KPA 3)
- Financial Viability (N-KPA 4)
- Democratic and Accountable Governance (N-KPA 5)
- Institutional Excellence (N-KPA 2)

#### ALIGNMENT OF DEVELOPMENT PRIORITIES FOR ALL SPHERES OF GOVERNMENT

The Constitution of the Republic of South Africa call for the government is constituted as national, provincial and local spheres of government which are distinctive, interdependent and interrelated. It is in this guiding provision that Kopanong Municipality seeks the alignment of development priorities from all the spheres of government.

This is conducted to promote seamless governance and integrated service delivery from all spheres of government., the following able illustrate Reflections from the State of the Nation Address (SONA), State of the Province Address (SOPA), Strategic Priorities of the Kopanong Municipality as well as the Objectives of the Key Performance Areas of the local municipalities that were considered during the formulation of the IDP.

Free State Provincial Growth and Development Strategy (PGDS)

Develop and enhance infrastructure for economic growth and social development.

Kopanong Municipality – Strategic Objectives

Ensure provision of basic infrastructure services for the Kopanong Municipality as a whole and in so doing improve the quality of live for communities.

To ensure that all homes, including those of neighbouring farms have access to:

- Affordable and safe drinking water;
- Affordable and adequate sanitation systems;
- Affordable electricity as a source of power; and
- Safe waste management.

To ensure that all natural resources are well managed in a sustainable manner.

To ensure a safe and efficient system of roads linking the settlements and economic zones and which complements the system of public transport.

Strategic Objective 1: Sustainable Living and Quality Environment with Efficient Infrastructure; and Improving Quality of Life and Social Wellbeing: Linked to National KPA 1

#### Key Performance Area: Municipal Infrastructure and Basic Services Strategy Alignment

## Government Manifesto

Housing delivery

Improve housing delivery to ensure better quality houses located closer to economic opportunities and combat corruption in the administration of waiting lists.

Human development

- Provide skills and education economy needs and to find employment.
- Improve services at schools.
- Pool resources to build more and better schools.

#### Social Development

- Every citizen to progressively exercise their constitutional rights and enjoy the full dignity of freedom.
- Improve health.
- Improve services at hospitals and clinics.
- Address diseases such as HIV and AIDS, tuberculosis and diabetes.
- Pool resources to build more and better clinics.

#### Improve safety

- Reduce serious and priority crimes.
- Improve services at police stations.
- Improve road safety.

Social Welfare

Implement other special programmes in rural areas where the poorest people live, including assistance in setting up food gardens.

Local Government Strategic Priorities (KPA's)

A clean, safe and healthy municipality.

National Spatial Development Plan (NSDP)

Address social inequalities with focus on people and not places - Invest in areas with both high levels of poverty and development potential. At areas with low development potential, focus on social transfers, HR development and labour market intelligence.

Free State Provincial Growth and Development Strategy (PGDS)

- Reduce poverty through human and social development
- Develop and enhance infrastructure for economic growth and social development.
- Ensure a safe and secure environment for all people of the province

Kopanong Municipality – Strategic Objectives

Ensure provision of housing in rural areas of the Municipality and in so doing provide security of tenure.

- The eradication of housing backlog within the area of the district and in so doing provide security of tenure.
- Accelerate the provision of housing as a key strategy for poverty alleviation.
- Utilise the provision of housing as a major job creation strategy.
- Utilise housing as an instrument for the development of sustainable human settlements in support of spatial restructuring.

To create a safe environment for all the people in the whole of the Kopanong Municipal Area.

Disaster Management:

- Establishment of Disaster Management Unit.
- Compilation of a Disaster Management Plan.
- Compilation of a Disaster Management Framework.

#### Fire Fighting Services

- The co-ordination of Fire Fighting Services.
- The regulation of Fire Fighting Services.
- The standardization of Fire Fighting Services.
- The development and provision of Fire Fighting Training and Education.

To provide an integrated rural development programme that network, addresses priority needs and empowers rural communities through support to lay health workers and health and development committees.

- To implement programmes through community based organizations that target food security, HIV/AIDS, TB, substance abuse, and diseases of life style;
- To train and skill lay health workers and health and development committees within the Kopanong Municipality;
- To network communities with relevant agencies and government departments in the field of social development.

#### Kopanong Municipality – Strategic Objectives

- Skills development and education for all.
- Safe and secure district for all.

| Nat KPA                      | Municipal Key Priority<br>Area   | KPI Name  | Unit of Measurement                             | Annual Target 2017/ 2018 |
|------------------------------|--|---|---|--------------------------|
| Basic Service Delivery (BSD) | Sustainable and quality<br>living environment with<br>efficient infrastructure<br>and improve quality of<br>life and social well being | Excellent water quality                             | % water quality level as per blue drop standard | %                        |
| Basic Service Delivery (BSD) | Sustainable and quality<br>living environment with<br>efficient infrastructure<br>and improve quality of<br>life and social well being | Education Awareness<br>Campaigns                    | Number of campaigns conducted.                  | %                        |
|                              |  | 0   | Quarterly Report                                |                          |
| Basic Service Delivery       | Sustainable and quality living   | Improvement of water<br>purification infrastructure | % improvement based on meeting water standards  | %                        |

| Nat KPA                      | Municipal Key Priority Area  | KPI Name  | Unit of Measurement | Annual Target 2017/ 2018 |
|------------------------------|--|---|---------------------|--------------------------|
|                              |  |   |                     | -                        |
| Basic Service Delivery (BSD) | Sustainable and quality living<br>environment with efficient<br>infrastructure and improve<br>quality of life and social well<br>being | Annual Review of Integrated<br>Disaster Management<br>Framework/ Plan | Review Plan         |                          |
|                              |  | Attend to accident scenes where injuries occurred.                    |                     |                          |
|                              |  | Fire prevention inspections at business premises.                     |                     |                          |
|                              |  | Meet with stakeholders for disaster planning.                         |                     |                          |
|                              |  | Meet with schools for<br>Disaster Planning.                           |                     |                          |

|                              |  | First Aid and Basic fire<br>Extinguisher Course for<br>Council<br>Employees. |  |                          |
|------------------------------|--|--|--|--------------------------|
| Basic Service Delivery (BSD) | Sustainable and quality living<br>environment with efficient<br>infrastructure and improve<br>quality of life and social well<br>being | Quantum of free basic electricity received (indigent)                        | Kwh per month per household  | % units                  |
|                              |  | Participate in the District<br>Energy Forum                                  | Quarterly reports to management  |                          |
| Basic Service Delivery (BSD) | Sustainable and quality living<br>environment with efficient<br>infrastructure and improve<br>quality of life and social-well<br>being | New electricity connections  | % of new electricity connections applications                                      | %                        |
| Basic Service Delivery (BSD) | Sustainable and quality living<br>environment with efficient<br>infrastructure and improve<br>quality of life and social well<br>being | Review of the Spatial<br>Development Plan (SDF)                              | SDF to be updated once every 5 years. Update due 2012/2013. % of report completed. | 0%                       |
| Nat KPA                      | Municipal Key Priority Area  | KPI Name   | Unit of Measurement  | Annual Target 2014/ 2015 |
| Basic Service Delivery (BSD) | Sustainable and quality living<br>environment with efficient<br>infrastructure and improve<br>quality of life and social well<br>being | Effective capital spending (Institutional Projects)                          | % spent of approved municipal projects   | %                        |

#### H. SECTOR PLANS

- a. The following sector plans are in place Spatial Development Framework is been reviewed by Department of Rural development for the financial year 2015/2016 and it reflects all our new developments and all projects are mapped.
  b. Financial Management Plan is in place but the document s needs to be reviewed to align it with new tariffs.
  c. Disaster Management Plan was reviewed last year in 2015 assisted by COGTA- DM section and approved by council in 2015/2016 financial year. For more information find the attached
- documents.

| SECTOR | R PLANS                                     | IN PLACE     | REVIEWED     | TO BE REVIEWED/<br>DEVELOPED |
|--------|---|--------------|--------------|------------------------------|
| 1.     | Spatial Development Plan                    | In Place     | $\checkmark$ |                              |
| 2.     | Disaster Management Plan                    | In Place     | $\checkmark$ |                              |
| 3.     | Financial Management Plan                   | In Place     | $\checkmark$ |                              |
| 4.     | Water Service Plan                          | In Place     |              | $\checkmark$                 |
| 5.     | Housing Plan                                | In Place     |              | $\checkmark$                 |
| 6.     | Local Economic<br>Development Strategy      | In Place     | $\checkmark$ |                              |
| 7.     | Energy Master Plan                          | In Place     |              | $\checkmark$                 |
| 8.     | Operational and<br>Maintenance Plan         | Not in Place |              | $\checkmark$                 |
| 9.     | Environmental Management<br>Plan            | Not in Place |              | √                            |
| 10.    | Comprehensive<br>Infrastructure Master Plan | Not in Place |              | ✓                            |
| 11.    | Integrated Transport Plan                   | Not in Place |              | √                            |
| 12.    | Waste Management Plan                       | Not in Place |              | $\checkmark$                 |
| 13.    | Small Town Regeneration                     | Not in Place |              | $\checkmark$                 |

#### PROJECTS PHASE

 Quarterly Projections of Service Delivery Targets and Performance Indicators for Each Vote

 Development of Strategies, Programme, and Projects

 Service Delivery and infrastructure Development: Technical Services

 Strategic Objective: Eradicate backlogs in order to improve access to basic services and ensure proper operations and maintenance of the infrastructure.

 Intended Outcome:
 Sustainable delivery to improved services to all households

| IDP-objective/goal  | Strategies  | Key Performance<br>outcome | KPI   | Project/Programmes/<br>Unit of measure   | Target            | Budget        | Time -Frame |
|---|---|----------------------------|---|--|-------------------|---------------|-------------|
| WATER   |   |                            |   |  |                   |               |             |
| IDP Objective:<br>To provide access<br>to water in a<br>sustainable manner. | <ol> <li>To provide clean<br/>potable water to<br/>households.</li> <li>To Ensure the<br/>storage capacity of<br/>water supply.</li> <li>Increase water<br/>pressure.</li> <li>To provide clean<br/>potable water to<br/>households.</li> </ol> | Access to clean water      | 1.1. Construction of Concreted<br>3 MgL Reservoir and<br>distribution Pipeline in<br>Trompsburg by<br>30 September 2016 | 1.1 Site Visit Reports.<br>On Completion Practical<br>Certificate.   | 30 September 2016 | R15.7 Million | 2016-2017   |
|   |   | Access to clean water      | 1.2 Construction of Elevated<br>Tank and Bulk Pipe line in<br>Reddersburg by 30 June<br>2017                            | 1.2 Business Plan.<br>Advert<br>Appointment of Service<br>Provider.<br>Site Visit Report when<br>the Project is on<br>Construction | 30 June 2017      | R16 Million   | 2016-2017   |
|   |   | Access to clean water      | 1.3 Construction of Gravity 4<br>km water pipeline in<br>Jagersfontein by 30 June 2017                                  | 1.3       Business Plan<br>Quarterly Site Visits         Report on<br>Construction         Practical Certificate on<br>completion. | 30 June 2017      | R5 Million    | 2016- 2017  |

|  |  | Access to clean water   | 1.4 Construction of<br>Reclamation Dams at WTW in<br>Jagersfontein   | 1.4 Business Plan   | August 2017      | R5 million           | 2016-2017 |
|--|--|---|--|---|------------------|----------------------|-----------|
|  |  | Reducing Water<br>Leakages<br>Implementation of<br>Water Demand<br>Management | <ol> <li>1.5 Installation of Water<br/>Meters, Valves &amp; Fire Hydrant</li> <li>1. Bethulie</li> <li>2. Springfontein</li> <li>3. Gariep Dam</li> <li>4. Trompsburg</li> <li>5. Jagersfontein</li> </ol> | 1.5 Business Plan<br>MIG Approval<br>Adverts<br>Site Visit Reports on<br>Construction | June 2017        | R6.5 million         | 2016-2017 |
|  |  | Access to clean water   | 1.5 Monthly water samples are taken and analyzed   | Monthly water samples results   | Monthly          | Operational          | 2017-2018 |
|  |  | Access to clean water   | <b>1.6 47 Maintenance of bore</b><br><b>holes:</b><br>Reddersburg 7<br>Edenburg 5<br>Jagersfontein 2<br>Springfontein 6<br>Trompsburg 6<br>Fauresmith 6<br>Philippolis 7<br>Bethulie 8<br>Gariep dam 0     | Quarterly Progress<br>Report  | 4 Reports        | Operational          | 2016-2017 |
| SANITATION   |  |   |  |   |                  |                      |           |
| NDP Objective:<br>To provide<br>acceptable<br>Sanitation<br>Infrastructure | To provide decent<br>and acceptable<br>sanitation to<br>households | Access to Sanitation  | 1.6 Capacity of Bulk<br>Infrastructure development to<br>secure access to basic<br>sanitation.<br>Submission of<br>1. Upgrading of 3 Pump<br>Stations in Bethulie<br>by 30 November<br>2016                | MIG Registration<br>Tender Document<br>advert<br>Quarterly Site Visit<br>Reports      | 30 November 2016 | R2.4 Million         | 2016-2017 |
|  |  |   | 2. Construction of new<br>200mm UPVC pipe<br>over the length of<br>3km in Philippolis by<br>28 February 2017   | Site Visit Report   | 28 February 2017 | Funded by DWS<br>O&M | 2016-2017 |

|  |  |   | <ol> <li>Construction of new<br/>200mm Steel Sewer<br/>pipe over the length<br/>of 3km in Fauresmith<br/>by 28 February 2017</li> </ol>         | 1   | 28 February 2017              | Funded by DWS<br>O&M | 2016-2017 |
|--|--|---|---|---|-------------------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| NDP Objective:<br>To provide<br>acceptable<br>Sanitation   | To provide decent<br>and acceptable<br>sanitation to<br>households                     | Access to Sanitation  | Monthly water samples are taken and analyzed.   | Monthly water results<br>from the Laboratory  | 12                            | Operational          | 2017-2018 |
| Infrastructure   |  |   | Maintenance of 9 Pump station<br>in Kopanong Local<br>Municipality.   | Monitoring reports from<br>the service provider to<br>the technical manager<br>and Director.                | 12                            | R1,5 Million         | 2017-2018 |
| ELECTRICITY  |  |   |   |   |                               |                      |           |
| To ensure the<br>provision of<br>adequate and<br>sustainable<br>electricity services<br>to all customers | To ensure that all households have access to electricity.                              | Electrification of<br>household   | Construction of 18 High Mast<br>Lights in all Towns of<br>Kopanong – 2 per town by 30<br>June 2017.   | 1. Signed progress<br>report by Pmu Manager<br>and sign off by Director<br>Technical services.              | 30 November 2016              | R6.4 Million         | 2016-2017 |
|  |  |   | Connection of 411 new<br>Electricity to household in<br>Springfontein 100<br>Bethulie 277<br>Jagersfontein 42<br>Edenburg 40<br>by 30 June 2017 | DoE Approval<br>Quarterly Progress<br>Reports<br>Monthly progress report<br>Close out report by<br>Centlec. | 30 June 2017                  | DoE R5 Million       | 2016-2017 |
| SPORTS AND RECR  | EATION   |   |   |   |                               |                      |           |
| To Provision of the<br>sports grounds &<br>recreational<br>facilities.                                   | Provision of sports<br>facilities in<br>Kopanong Local<br>Municipality<br>communities. | Ensure proper<br>maintenance of sports<br>and recreational<br>facilities. | Construction of the new<br>Sport Facility in<br>Springfontein by 31<br>September 2016   | Quarterly Progress Reports  | Quarterly Progress<br>Reports | MIG R9.2 Million     | 2016-2017 |
|  | 1  |   |   |   | 1                             | 1                    |           |

| Housing Projects       Evaluate Human<br>Settlement Housing<br>Projects       evaluate Human<br>Settlement Housing<br>Projects       evaluate Human<br>Settlement Housing<br>Projects       houses in Bethulie.       housing officer and sign off<br>by Director technical<br>services.<br>Council Resolution       Human Settlement<br>Funding         3. Monitoring of 100 RDP<br>houses in Bethulie.       Signed progress report by<br>housing officer and sign off<br>by Director technical<br>services.       Quarterly       Department of<br>Human Settlement<br>Funding       2016-201 | Implementation of<br>Housing Projects | To monitor and<br>evaluate Human<br>Settlement Housing<br>Projects | To Monitor and<br>evaluate Human<br>Settlement Housing<br>Projects | 1. Construction 39 Houses<br>in Edenburg     | Signed quarterly progress<br>report by housing officer<br>and sign off by Director<br>technical services.             | Quarterly | Department of<br>Human Settlement<br>Funding | 2016-2017 |
|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|---|-----------|--|-----------|
| 4. Monitoring of 42 houses in Jagersfontein       Council Resolution       Quarterly       Department of Human Settlement       2016-201   |                                       | Evaluate Human<br>Settlement Housing                               | evaluate Human<br>Settlement Housing                               | houses in Bethulie. 3. Monitoring of 100 RDP | housing officer and sign off<br>by Director technical<br>services.<br>Council Resolution<br>Signed progress report by |           | Human Settlement<br>Funding<br>Department of | 2016-2017 |
|  |                                       |  |  | 4. Monitoring of 42 houses                   | by Director technical services.   | Quarterly | Funding<br>Department of<br>Human Settlement | 2016-2017 |
| 5. Monitoring of 129 RDP<br>Houses in Springfontein by       Signed quarterly progress<br>report by housing officer<br>and sign off by Director<br>technical services.       Quarterly       Department of<br>Human Settlement<br>Funding       2016-201   |                                       |  |  |  | report by housing officer<br>and sign off by Director   | Quarterly | Human Settlement                             | 2016-2017 |

| Provision of<br>registered landfill<br>sites refuse removal<br>and cleaning of<br>landfill sites. | Construction of two<br>new landfill sites.                                     | Provision of<br>acceptable and<br>complying landfill site<br>on Environment     | 1.Construction of the new<br>landfill site in Jagersfontein<br>by 30 August 2017  | Site visits report signed off<br>by the technician/pmu<br>manager and Director<br>Technical.<br>Practical completion<br>certificates. | 30 August 2017   | MIG R7.3 Million | 2017-2018 |
|---|--|---|---|---|------------------|------------------|-----------|
| Provision of<br>registered landfill<br>sites refuse removal<br>and cleaning of<br>landfill sites. | Construction of two<br>new landfill sites.                                     | Provision of<br>acceptable and<br>complying landfill site<br>on Environment     | 2. Construction of the new<br>landfill site Fauresmith by<br>30 June 2017   | Site visits report signed off<br>by the technician/pmu<br>manager and Director<br>Technical.<br>Practical completion<br>certificates. | 30 June 2017     | MIG R3.9 Million | 2016-2017 |
| EPWP  |  |   |   |   |                  |                  |           |
| Creation of<br>temporary jobs<br>through<br>implementation of<br>EPWP Projects                    | Creation of<br>temporary jobs<br>through<br>implementation of<br>EPWP Projects | Implementation of<br>EPWP Strategy and<br>complying to Public<br>Works policies | Cleaning of storm Water<br>channels, Grave yards and<br>landfill sites by 30 June<br>2017<br>1. Bethulie<br>2. Springfontein<br>3. Edenburg<br>4. Gariep Dam<br>5. Fauresmith | Quarterly Site Visit Reports<br>List of project Workers.  | 30 June 2017     | EPWP R600,000    | 2016-2017 |
|   |  |   | Fencing of Cemetery in<br>Bergmanshoogte in<br>Philippolis by 31 March<br>2017.   | Quarterly Site Visit Reports<br>List of project Workers   | 30 March 2017    | EPWP R350,000    | 2016-2017 |
|   |  |   | Fencing of cemetery<br>Jagersfontein by 30<br>November 2016   | Quarterly Site Visit Reports<br>List of project Workers   | 30 November 2016 | EPWP R350,000    | 2016-2017 |

# NSTITUTIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND TRANSFORMATION

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE: Improve organizational cohesion and effectiveness.

INTENDED OUTCOME: Improve organizational stability and sustainability

| IDP-Objective/Goal  | Strategies                          | Key Performance<br>outcome               | Key Performance<br>Indicator   | Project/Programme(s)<br>Unit of measure | Target            | Budget      | Time -Frame |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|---|-------------------|-------------|-------------|
| NDP Objective:<br>Fill posts with skilled,<br>committed and competent<br>individuals as and when the<br>need arises | Through<br>implementation of<br>WSP | Improved<br>productivity of<br>employees | 1 vacant Human<br>Resource Manager post<br>will be filled by 30 March<br>2017. | Recruitment process reports<br>r        | 30 March<br>2017. | Operational | 2016- 2017. |

52 | Page

| FSGDS Long-term:  | Develop an   | Healthy and                  | 2.Financial advisers to   | Attendance registers   | Quarterly | Operational | 2016-2017. |
|---|--|------------------------------|---|--|-----------|-------------|------------|
| Strengthen, build, retain &<br>develop human resources<br>for effective health services.<br>MTSF:<br>Ensure that appropriately<br>qualified & adequately<br>skilled staff is appointed. | inclusive long-term<br>recruitment &<br>retention strategy | productive<br>workforce      | held a workshop that<br>assist employees on<br>financial management<br>Stress, Abuse of drugs<br>and alcohol. | Correspondences  |           |             |            |
| <b>IDP Objective</b> : To enhance<br>the human capacity &<br>productivity within the<br>municipality through the<br>review of the organogram.   |  |                              |   |  |           |             |            |
| To create an efficient and<br>effective accountable<br>administration   | Implementation of WSP                                      | Trained skilled<br>workforce | Percentage of a<br>municipality's budget<br>actually spent on<br>implementing its<br>workplace skills plan.   | Expenditure reports<br>SDF reports submitted to<br>council & LLF | Quarterly | Operational | 2016-2017  |
|   |  |                              |   |  |           |             |            |

|  | Reviewing of HR<br>related policies<br>Reviewing of<br>organogram   | Conducive<br>working<br>environment   | <ol> <li>Conducting 4<br/>awareness<br/>campaign and<br/>workshops on<br/>leave, discipline<br/>benefits, and<br/>municipal<br/>policies</li> <li>by 30 June<br/>2017.</li> </ol> | Invitation<br>Program<br>Attendance register<br>Minutes  | Quarterly          | Operational | 2016-2017  |
|--|---|---|---|--|--------------------|-------------|------------|
|  | Implementation of<br>employment equity<br>plan  | Fair employment<br>of targeted group  | Number of people<br>employed in the highest<br>level of management in<br>compliance with EEP by<br>30 <sup>th</sup> June 2017.  | EEP report on number of people<br>employed in the 3 highest level<br>of management submitted to<br>LLF.<br>LLF minutes   | Quarterly          | Operational | 2016-2017  |
| To provide an effective and<br>efficient administrative<br>service to the organisation | To ensure that there<br>is effective ,efficient<br>administration and<br>adherence of<br>council schedules                                    | Effective<br>administration and<br>proper council<br>sitting  | 4. Sitting of 4 Ordinary<br>Council meetings per<br>financial year (2016-2017)  | 1Attendance register<br>2. Notice of Council meetings<br>sittings<br>3. Signed minutes by the MM<br>and Speaker<br>4. Acknowledgement of receipt<br>for Agendas. | Quarterly          | Operational | 2016-2017. |
| To ensure the efficient<br>utilization of human capital                                | Provision of relevant<br>training to personnel<br>based on the<br>Municipality's<br>financial muscle or<br>availability of<br>training budget | Utilization of the<br>training budget for<br>the purpose<br>intended and<br>productive<br>employees | 5. Submission of signed<br>WSP by the MM,<br>representative of the<br>training committee and<br>union representative to<br>LGSETA by 30th April<br>2017.                          | 1 Signed copy of the WSP by<br>relevant people<br>2. Acknowledgement of receipt<br>from LGSETA.  | 30th April<br>2017 | Operational | 2016-2017  |

# FINANCIAL VIABILITY AND ACCOUNTABILITY

Strategic objective: to improve overall financial management in the municipality by developing and implementing, appropriate financial policies, procedures and systems. Intended outcome: Improved financial management and accountability

| IDP-<br>Objective/goal   | Strategies  | Key Performance<br>outcome                                  | Key Performance Indicator(s).   | Project/Programme(s)<br>Unit of Measure                                     | Target          | Budget      | Time -<br>Frame |
|--|---|---|---|---|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|
| To improve financial management                                | Compliance with the MFMA                                | Improved<br>expenditure<br>management                       | 1. Tabling of quarterly section<br>52 expenditure reports to the<br>Council   | Section 52 Expenditure reports<br>Council resolution                        | 4               | Operational | 2016-<br>2017   |
| To improve financial management                                | Compliance with the MFMA                                | Improved revenue<br>Management                              | 2.Tabling of quarterly section<br>52 Income reports to the<br>Council   | Section 52 Income reports<br>Council resolution                             | 4               | Operational | 2016-<br>2017   |
| To improve financial management                                | To register the<br>Community for<br>indigents subsidy   | 2000 indigent<br>registered per<br>annum by 30 June<br>2017 | 4. To register 2000 indigent people by 30 June 2017.  | Completed indigent register.  | 500 per quarter | Operational | 2016-<br>2017   |
| To provide households<br>with access to Free<br>Basic Services | Registration of<br>Indigents                            | Updated Indigents<br>Register                               | 5. Percentage of households<br>earning less than R 1 500<br>with access to Free Basic<br>Service  | Monthly Reports   | %               | operational |                 |
| To improve financial<br>management                             | Compliance with<br>GRAP and other<br>relevant standards | Annual Financial<br>Statements                              | 3. Compilation of GRAP<br>compliant Annual Financial<br>Statement and submitted to<br>the Office of the Auditor<br>General by 31 August 2016. | AFS<br>Acknowledgement of receipt from<br>the Office of the Auditor General | 31 August 2016  | Operational | 2016-<br>2017   |
| To improve financial management                                | To improve the audit outcome by 2017.                   | Address AG Audit<br>Management<br>Report                    | 4. Implementation of Audit<br>Action Plan on Finance<br>related findings raised by<br>AGSA and tabled to the<br>Council by 31 March 2017.     | Corrected findings as raised by<br>AGSA.<br>Audit Action Plan               | 31 March 2017   | Operational | 2016-<br>2017   |

| To improve financial management   | To ensure effective<br>transparent and fair<br>supply chain<br>management<br>practices | To ensure effective<br>transparent and fair<br>supply chain<br>management<br>practices towards<br>Irregular and<br>Fruitless and<br>Wasteful<br>expenditure. | Submission to Council of<br>quarterly reports on the<br>following:<br>6.Quarterly Supply Chain<br>Management Checklist                     | Council resolution<br>Quarterly Supply Chain<br>Management Checklist.         | 4                       | Operational | 2016-<br>2017 |
|---|--|--|--|---|-------------------------|-------------|---------------|
|   |  |  | 7.Fruitless and Wasteful<br>Expenditure  | Council Resolution  | 4                       | Operational | 2016-<br>2017 |
|   |  |  | 8.Irregular Expenditure  | Council Resolution  | 4                       | Operational | 2016-<br>2017 |
|   |  |  | 9.Deviation register updated   | Council Resolution  | 4                       | Operational | 2016-<br>2017 |
|   |  |  | 10.Suppliers database<br>Awards above<br>R 100 000, 00 report.   | Council Resolution  | 4                       | Operational | 2016-<br>2017 |
| To safeguard and maintain assets  | To maintain asset<br>register  | GRAP compliant<br>assets register  | 11. To maintain, updated and safeguarding of assets on a quarterly basis.  | Quarterly updated inventory/asset<br>list<br>Map to identify office location. | Quarterly               | Operational | 2016-<br>2017 |
| To ensure financial<br>planning and ensure<br>that it is in line with the<br>IDP. | Compliance with the MFMA   | To prepare a Budget<br>as per prescripts of<br>the Act   | 12. Submission of Draft<br>Budget to Council by 31<br>March 2017.  | Council Resolution  | 31/03/2017              | Operational | 2016-<br>2017 |
| To ensure financial<br>planning and ensure<br>that it is in line with the<br>IDP. | Compliance with the MFMA and MSA   | To prepare a Budget<br>as per prescripts of<br>the Act   | 13. Submission of Final<br>Budget to Council by 31 May<br>2017.  | Council Resolution<br>Final Budget  | 30/05/2017              | Operational | 2016-<br>2017 |
|   |  | Compliant budget as<br>per MFMA sec.53<br>and MSA sec. 28  | Percentage of municipality<br>capital budget spent on<br>capital projects identified for a<br>particular year in terms of<br>municipal IDP | Monthly expenditure reports on capital projects                               | 12 monthly reports<br>% | operational | 2016-<br>2017 |
|   |  |  |  |   |                         |             |               |

| To adjust revenue and expenditure estimates | Compliance with the MFMA | To prepare a Budget<br>as per prescripts of<br>the Act | 14. Submission of Adjustment<br>Budget to Council by 28th<br>February 2017. | Adjustment Budget  | 28/02/2017 | Operational | 2015-<br>2016 |
|---|--------------------------|--|---|--------------------|------------|-------------|---------------|
|   |                          |  |   | Council Resolution |            |             |               |
|   |                          |  |   |                    |            |             |               |
|   |                          |  |   |                    |            |             |               |

# PUBLIC PARTICIPATION AND GOOD GOVERNANCE Strategic Objective: Promote a culture of participatory, democracy and good governance

Intended Outcome: Entrenched a culture of accountability and clean governance

| IDP-Objective/goal   | Strategies  | Key Performance<br>outcome       | KPI   | Project/Programmes/Unit of measure   | Target       | Budget      | Time -Frame |
|--|---|----------------------------------|---|--|--------------|-------------|-------------|
| NDP Objective<br>Staffs at all levels<br>have the authority,<br>experience<br>FSGDS:competence,<br>and support they<br>need to do their jobs | Ensure effective,<br>efficient, and<br>transparent system<br>of risk<br>management. | Implementation<br>of all systems | 1Reviewing of risk<br>register for<br>2017/2018 by 30<br>June 2017. | <ol> <li>Risk assessment</li> <li>Risk Management<br/>Committee report</li> <li>Attendance register</li> </ol> | 30 June 2017 | Operational | 2016-2017   |
| <b>IDP Objective</b> : To provide effective and  | Ensure effective,<br>efficient, and<br>transparent system<br>of risk<br>management. | Implementation<br>of all systems | 2. Monitoring of risk<br>register                                   | Attendance registers<br>Risk Monitoring tool   | Quarterly    | Operational | 2016-2017   |

| efficient government<br>administration                        | Ensure effective,<br>efficient, and<br>transparent system<br>of risk<br>management. | Implementation<br>of all systems   | 3. Conducting of risk<br>awareness campaign<br>by 30 June 2017.                             | Attendances register.  | 30 June 2017        | Operational | 2016-2017 |
|---|---|------------------------------------|---|--|---------------------|-------------|-----------|
|   | inanagement.  | Implementation<br>of all systems   | 4. Awareness/<br>workshop on Fraud<br>Prevention and<br>corruption.                         | Attendance register<br>Distribution list for fraud<br>awareness pamphlets<br>Fraud Survey. | 30 June 2017        | Operational | 2016-2017 |
| To develop<br>Integrated<br>Development<br>plan(IDP)          | Adoption of the<br>process plan 2016-<br>2017                                       | Adopted IDP by<br>Council          | 5. Submission of<br>final IDP 2017-2018<br>to Council for<br>adoption.                      | Copy of Final IDP 17/18<br>Council resolution  | 31 May 2017         | Operational | 2016-2017 |
| To develop<br>Integrated<br>Development<br>plan(IDP)          | Adoption of the<br>process plan 2017-<br>2018                                       | Adopted IDP by<br>Council          | 6. Submission of<br>Draft IDP 2017-2018<br>to Council for<br>adoption.                      | Copy of Draft IDP 17/18<br>Council resolution  | 31 May 2017         | Operational | 2016-2017 |
| To develop credible<br>Integrated<br>Development<br>plan(IDP) | Adoption of the<br>process plan 2016-<br>2017                                       | Adopted Credible<br>IDP by Council | 7. Conducting of 1<br>IDP steering<br>committee meeting.                                    | Attendance register<br>Agenda  | 30 November<br>2016 | Operational | 2016-2017 |
| To develop credible<br>Integrated<br>Development<br>plan(IDP) | Adoption of the<br>process plan 2016-<br>2017                                       | Adopted Credible<br>IDP by Council | 8. Conducting of 1<br>representative<br>forums on IDP and<br>Budget by 28<br>February 2017. | Attendance register<br>Agenda  | 28 Feb 2017         | Operational | 2016-2017 |

| To develop credible<br>Integrated<br>Development<br>plan(IDP)                      | Adoption of the<br>process plan 2016-<br>2017                             | Adopted Credible<br>IDP by Council | 9. Conducting of 1<br>representative<br>forums on IDP and<br>Budget by 30 April<br>2017  | Attendance register<br>Agenda   | 30th April<br>2017 | Operational  | 2016/2017 |
|--|---|------------------------------------|--|---|--------------------|--------------|-----------|
| To improve the<br>effectiveness and<br>efficiency of internal<br>controls systems. | Development and<br>implementation of<br>policies and audit<br>action plan | Improved audit<br>report           | 10. Formulating<br>corrective actions for<br>Audit Action plan on<br>issues raised by<br>Auditor General in<br>2015/16financial<br>year. | Submission of Audit Action Plan<br>to Council.<br>Council Resolution  | 31 Jan 2017        | Operational. | 2016-2017 |
| To improve the<br>effectiveness and<br>efficiency of internal<br>controls systems. | Development and<br>implementation of<br>policies and audit<br>action plan | Improved audit<br>report           | 11. Monitoring of<br>Audit Action plan on<br>issues raised by AG<br>for 2015/16 financial<br>year.                                       | Monitoring of AAP by the Internal<br>Audit<br>Report to Audit Committee by<br>Internal Audit<br>Audit Committee report to<br>Council. | Quarterly          | Operational  | 2016/17   |
| To develop an<br>SDBIP   | As per MFMA<br>Circular 13 of<br>National Treasury                        | 2017-2018 SDBIP                    | 12. Signed SDBIP<br>2017/18 by the<br>Mayor within 28 days<br>after approval of the<br>IDP/Budget for<br>2017/2018.                      | Signed SDBIP by the Mayor.  | 30th June<br>2017  | Operational  | 2016-2017 |

| To develop an<br>SDBIP                                  | As per MFMA<br>Circular 13 of<br>National Treasury | 2017-2018 SDBIP  | 13.Publication of<br>SDBIP 17/18 on the<br>municipal website,<br>units and libraries<br>within 14 days after<br>the approval by the<br>Mayor | <ol> <li>Screen dump for publication<br/>from the website.</li> <li>Acknowledgement of receipt<br/>from the units and libraries,</li> </ol> | 30th June<br>2017        | Operational | 2016-2017 |
|---|--|--|--|---|--------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| To develop and<br>customise<br>performance<br>agreement | As per legislation<br>MSA Chapter 6                | Signed performance<br>agreement of<br>Section 54 (A) and<br>Section 56<br>managers | 14. Submission of<br>signed performance<br>agreements for<br>2016/2017 of Section<br>54 (a) and 56<br>managers to Council.                   | Council resolution<br>Signed performance agreements   | 31<br>September<br>2016. | Operational | 2016-2017 |
| To develop and<br>customise<br>performance<br>agreement | As per legislation<br>MSA Chapter 6                | Signed performance<br>agreement of<br>Section 54 (A) and<br>Section 56<br>managers | 15. Submission of<br>signed performance<br>agreements for<br>2016/2017 of Section<br>54 (a) and 56<br>managers to<br>COGTA.                  | 2. Acknowledgement of receipt<br>from Cogta.  | 31 July 2016             | Operational | 2016-2017 |
| To develop and<br>customise<br>performance<br>agreement | As per legislation<br>MSA Chapter 6                | Submission of<br>performance reports<br>to internal audit for<br>review            | 16. Review of the performance reports by internal audit.   | Performance reports<br>Acknowledgement of receipt   | Quarterly                | Operational | 2016-2017 |

| To ensure<br>effectiveness and<br>transparent system<br>of internal control<br>towards performance<br>information. | As per legislation<br>MSA Chapter 6  | Submission of<br>performance reports<br>to internal audit<br>committee. | 17.Submission of<br>internal audit reports<br>on performance<br>information to Audit<br>Committee   | Internal Audit reports on performance.  | Quarterly           | Operational | 2016/2017 |
|--|--|---|---|---|---------------------|-------------|-----------|
| To develop the mid-<br>year budget and<br>performance<br>assessment report   | As per Schedule C<br>from Treasury, non-<br>Financial and<br>Financial<br>information. | Mid- year budget<br>and performance<br>assessment report                | 18. Submission of<br>mid- year budget and<br>performance<br>assessment report<br>(Section 72 report)<br>for July-December<br>2016 to Council by<br>25 January 2017. | <ol> <li>Copy of the Mid- Year Budget<br/>and performance assessment<br/>report.</li> <li>Council resolution</li> </ol> | 25 January<br>2017. | Operational | 2016-2017 |
| To develop the<br>Annual Report  | Through Circular 63<br>of MFMA<br>Compliance with<br>Section 46 of MSA<br>and MFMA 121 | Draft Annual<br>Report.   | 19. Submission of<br>draft annual report<br>2015/16 to Auditor<br>General by 31<br>August 2016.   | Acknowledgement of receipt<br>from Office of the Auditor<br>General.  | 31 August<br>2016.  | Operational | 2016-2017 |

# LOCAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

Strategic Objective: Create an environment that promotes the development of the local economy and facilitate job creation

### Intended Outcome: Improved municipal economic viability

| IDP-Objective/goal  | Strategies  | Key Performance<br>outcome  | Key Performance Indicator  | Unit of measure.   | Target              | Budget      | Time -<br>Frame |
|---|---|---|--|--|---------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| To implement and review the LED strategy  | Promote local<br>economic<br>development and<br>create job<br>opportunities   | Creation a conducive<br>environment for<br>economic growth and<br>job opportunities.  | 200 jobs on EPWP were<br>created by 30 June 2017   | Employment contract  | 30 June 2017        | R 1,300 000 | 2016-2017       |
| To implement and review the LED strategy  | Registration of co-<br>operatives and<br>SMME`s   | Registered co-<br>operatives and training   | Monitoring the development of Youth cooperative mall.  | Attendance register<br>Minutes<br>Invitations<br>Progress report | 30 June 2017.       | 800.000     | 2016-2017       |
| AGRICULTURE:<br>IDP Objective<br>To promote & encourage<br>agricultural initiative<br>NDP Objective<br>Increase investment in new<br>agricultural technologies,   | Facilitate,<br>encourage and<br>support public &<br>private initiatives to<br>promote agricultural<br>extension programmes  | Provide emerging<br>farmers with<br>commonage land<br>including facilitation of<br>access to land for<br>emerging commercial                                  | Reviewing of commonage<br>policy by 31 December<br>2017                                      | Council Resolution<br>Reviewed<br>commonage policy.              | 31 December<br>2017 | Operational | 2017-2018       |
| research & protection of rural<br>livelihoods<br>FSGDS Long-term<br>Expand & diversify<br>sustainable agriculture<br>production & food security.<br>MTSF Priorities<br>Develop under-utilized land in<br>communal areas & land<br>reform projects for | including of agricultural products  | farm<br>Edenburg Ostrich Farm   | Consultation with<br>emerging farmers on the<br>reviewed commonage<br>policy by 30 June 2017 | Attendance registers<br>Minutes<br>Notices                       | 30 June 2017        | Operational | 2016-2017       |
| production.   | Facilitate,<br>encourage and<br>support public &<br>private initiatives to<br>promote agricultural<br>extension programmes<br>including of agricultural<br>products | Provide emerging<br>farmers with<br>commonage land<br>including facilitation of<br>access to land for<br>emerging commercial<br>farm<br>Edenburg Ostrich Farm | Implementation of<br>commonage by-laws<br>through EHP and SAPS.                              | Minutes<br>Attendance registers<br>Quarterly progress<br>reports | Quarterly           | Operational | 2016-2017       |

| Consultation with<br>emerging farmers<br>on the reviewed<br>commonage policy<br>by 30 June 2017. | Attendance registers<br>Minutes<br>Notices | 30 June 2017                     | Operational | 2016-2017 |
|--|--|----------------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Implementation of<br>commonage by laws<br>through EHP and<br>SAPS.                               | Minutes<br>Attendance registers            | Quarterly<br>progress<br>reports | Operational | 2016-2017 |

### REPRESENTATIVE FORUMS AND PUBLIC PARTICIPATION 2017-2018 FINANCIAL YEAR

| DESCRIPTION – REP MEETINGS | VENUE            | TIME       | DATE       |
|----------------------------|------------------|------------|------------|
| WARD 1                     | TOWN HALL-       | 15:00 NOON | 27/02/2017 |
|                            | MATOPORONG       |            |            |
| WARD 2                     | TOWN HALL-       | 12:00 NOON | 27/02/2017 |
|                            | MADIKGETLA       |            |            |
| WARD 3                     | TOWN HALL-LEPHOI | 11:00 AM   | 28/02/2017 |
| WARD 4                     | TOWN HALL-GARIEP | 14:00 NOON | 28/02/2017 |
|                            | DAM              |            |            |
| WARD 4                     | TOWN HALL –      | 11:00 AM   | 01/03/2017 |
|                            | PHILLIPOLIS      |            |            |
| WARD 5                     | TOWN HALL-       | 15:00 NOON | 01/03/2017 |
|                            | SPRINGFONTEIN    |            |            |
| WARD 6                     | TOWN HALL-       | 11:00 AM   | 02/03/2017 |
|                            | FAURESMITH       |            |            |
| WARD 7                     | TOWN HALL-       | 14:00 NOON | 02/03/2017 |
|                            | JAGERSFONTEIN    |            |            |
| WARD 8                     | TOWN HALL-       | 12:00 NOON | 03/03/2017 |
|                            | EDENBURG         |            |            |











#### FINANCIAL STRATEGY INTEGRATION PHASE AND SECTORAL PROJECTS MTEF

| IDP REFERENCE<br>NUMBER | PROJECT NAME (PROJECT<br>DESCRIPTION)  | PROJECT BUDGET /<br>TOTAL VALUE | MIG FUNDING ALLOCATION FORECAST |               |               |               |               |  |  |
|-------------------------|--|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--|--|
|                         |  |                                 | 2016/2017                       | 2017/2018     | 2018/2019     | 2019/2020     | 2020/2021     |  |  |
| MIG/FS1119/S/16/16      | Bethulie/Lephoi: Upgrading of sewer pump stations                              | R2,450,000.00                   | R2,450,000.00                   |               |               |               | R2,450,000.00 |  |  |
| MIG/FS0946/SW/12/14     | Fauresmith: Rehabilitation of landfill site                                    | R3,969,555.61                   | R1,826,390.05                   | R160,000.00   |               |               |               |  |  |
| MIG/FS0948/S/12/13      | Gariep Dam: Upgrading of waste water treatment works                           | R 9,911,015.00                  | R117,261.43                     |               |               |               |               |  |  |
| KLM/GAR/LFS/03/2015     | Gariep Dam: Rehabilitation of landfill site                                    | R5,200,000.00                   |                                 | R550,000.00   | R3,501,869.28 | R1,148,130.72 |               |  |  |
| MIG/FS0947/SW/12/14     | Jagersfontein: Rehabilitation of landfill site                                 | R8,626,910.00                   | R74,182.71                      | R4,400,000.00 | R285,000.00   |               |               |  |  |
| MIG/FS0945/SW/12/14     | Reddersburg: Rehabilitation of landfill site                                   | R6,701,537.00                   | R80,086.04                      |               |               |               |               |  |  |
| MIG/FS1019/CF/14/14     | Reddersburg: Recreational / sports<br>facility                                 | R8,803,187.00                   | R343,073.34                     |               |               |               |               |  |  |
| KLM/SPR/SPT/03/2015     | Springfontein: Recreational / sports facility                                  | R 9,000,000.00                  | R825,068.28                     | R7,774,931.72 | R400,000.00   |               |               |  |  |
| KLM/SPR/LFS/03/2015     | Springfontein: Rehabilitation of landfill site                                 | R5,200,000.00                   | R460,000.00                     | R1,500,000.00 | R3,240,000.00 |               |               |  |  |
| KLM/TRP/LFS/03/2015     | Trompsburg: Rehabilitation of landfill site                                    | R8,000,000.00                   |                                 | R1,600,000    | R6,000,000.00 | R400,000.00   |               |  |  |
| MIG/FS1052/CL/14/16     | Kopanong: Installation of 16 high mast<br>lights in various towns (MIS:228090) | R5,406,336.00                   | R300,000.00                     |               |               |               |               |  |  |
| MIG/FS1074/CL/16/16     | Kopanong: Installation of 20 high mast<br>lights in various towns              | R7,579,348.00                   | R2,164,925.83                   |               |               |               |               |  |  |

| IDP REFERENCE<br>NUMBER | PROJECT NAME (PROJECT<br>DESCRIPTION)  | PROJECT BUDGET /<br>TOTAL VALUE | MIG FUNDING ALLOCATION FORECAST |               |               |               |            |  |  |
|-------------------------|--|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|------------|--|--|
| NOMBER                  |  |                                 | 2016/2017                       | 2017/2018     | 2018/2019     | 2019/2020     | 2020/2021  |  |  |
| MIG/FS1136/W/16/17      | Edenburg/Harasebei: Installation of water meters and valves (MIS:252246)                             | R1,703,394.00                   | R1,703,394.00                   |               |               |               |            |  |  |
| MIG/FS1137/W/16/17      | Springfontein/Maphodi: Installation of water meters and valves (MIS:242775)                          | R1,199,668.00                   | R1,199,668.00                   |               |               |               |            |  |  |
| MIG/FS1138/W/16/17      | Jagersfontein/Itumeleng: Installation of water meters and valves (MIS:243006)                        | R1,509,229.00                   | R1,509,229.00                   |               |               |               |            |  |  |
| MIG/FS1139/W/16/17      | Trompsburg/Madikgetla: Installation of water meters and valves (MIS:242931)                          | R1,558,186.00                   | R1,558,186.00                   |               |               |               |            |  |  |
| MIG/FS1140/W/16/17      | Bethulie/Lephoi: Installation of water meters and valves (MIS:242996)                                | R1,710,274.00                   | R1,710,274.00                   |               |               |               |            |  |  |
| MIG/FS1141/W/16/17      | Gariep Dam: Installation of water<br>meters and valves (MIS:242759)                                  | R900,288.00                     | R900,288.00                     |               |               |               |            |  |  |
| MIG/FS1142/R,ST/17/19   | Bethulie/Lephoi: Construction of 600m<br>paved road and storm water channels<br>(MIS:250010)         | R6,486,972.00                   |                                 |               | R450,000.00   | R6,036,972.00 |            |  |  |
| MIG/FS1134/R,ST/17/19   | Springfontein: Construction 1.5km<br>paved road phase 1 and storm water<br>channels (MIS:249961)     | R14,217,435.00                  | R2,738,523.32                   | R9,761,613.92 | R1,717,297.76 |               |            |  |  |
| KLM/PHILP/WV/03/2018    | Phillipolis: Installation of water meters and valves   | R1,900,000.00                   |                                 |               |               | R1,900,000.00 |            |  |  |
| KLM/FAU/WV/03/2018      | Fauresmith: Installation of water meters and valves  | R1,900,000.00                   |                                 |               |               | R1,900,000.00 |            |  |  |
| KLM/RED/WV/03/2018      | Reddersburg: Installation of water meters and valves   | R2,200,000.00                   |                                 |               |               | R2,200,000.00 |            |  |  |
| KLM/PHILP/LFS/03/2018   | Phllipolis: Closure of existing solid waste<br>site and construction of a waste transfer<br>facility | R5,500,000.00                   |                                 |               |               | R800,000      | R4,700,000 |  |  |

| IDP REFERENCE<br>NUMBER | PROJECT NAME (PROJECT<br>DESCRIPTION)                                    | PROJECT BUDGET /<br>TOTAL VALUE | MIG FUNDING ALLOCATION FORECAST |              |            |             |            |  |
|-------------------------|--|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|------------|-------------|------------|--|
|                         |  |                                 | 2016/2017                       | 2017/2018    | 2018/2019  | 2019/2020   | 2020/2021  |  |
| KLM/FAU/RD/03/2018      | Fauresmith: Construction 2km paved road phase 1 and storm water channels | R19,500,000.00                  |                                 |              | R4,000,000 | R14,000,000 | R1,500,000 |  |
|                         | PROJECT NAME (PROJECT<br>DESCRIPTION)                                    | PROJECT BUDGET /<br>TOTAL VALUE |                                 | URE FORECAST |            |             |            |  |
|                         | DESCRIPTION  | TOTAL VALUE                     | 2016/2017                       | 2017/2018    | 2018/2019  | 2019/2020   | 2020/2021  |  |
| KLM/02/2012/R           | Construction of 2.3MgL reinforced<br>Concrete Reservoir                  | R11,792,958.45                  | R2,154,299.20                   |              |            |             |            |  |
| KLM/02/2012/M           | Supply and Installation of Mechanical<br>and Electrical Equipment        | R5, 927,239.13                  | R5,334,515.22                   | R592,723.91  |            |             |            |  |

| IDP REFERENCE<br>NUMBER | PROJECT NAME (PROJECT<br>DESCRIPTION)  | PROJECT BUDGET /<br>TOTAL VALUE                   | MIG FUNDING ALLOCATION FORECAST |                |               |           |           |  |  |
|-------------------------|--|---|---------------------------------|----------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|--|--|
|                         |  |   | 2016/2017                       | 2017/2018      | 2018/2019     | 2019/2020 | 2020/2021 |  |  |
|                         | PROJECT NAME (PROJECT<br>DESCRIPTION)  | PROJECT BUDGET /<br>TOTAL VALUE                   | WSIG EXPENDITURE FORECAST       |                |               |           |           |  |  |
|                         |  |   | 2016/2017                       | 2017/2018      | 2018/2019     | 2019/2020 | 2020/2021 |  |  |
| BW/207/SF/14            | Trompsburg: Upgrade of pipeline that<br>feeds from BloemWater reservoir to<br>town | R16,000,000.00<br>(Bloemwater is<br>implementing) | R7,000,000                      |                |               |           |           |  |  |
| KLM/JAGR/RCL/09/2014    | JAGERSFONTEIN WTW- BACKWASH<br>WATER RECLAMATION                                   | R16,957,898.51                                    |                                 | R13,000,000    | R3,957,898.51 |           |           |  |  |
| KLM/JAGR/BW/05/2015     | Jagersfontein Bulk Pipe-line   | R4,699,349.95                                     |                                 | R4,000,000     | R699,349.95   |           |           |  |  |
| KLM/SPR/WWTW/10/2016    | SPRINGFONTEIN WASTE WATER<br>TREATMENT WORKS                                       | R18,127,830.00                                    |                                 | R17,127,830    | R1,000,000    |           |           |  |  |
| KLM/bet/wt/11/2014      | Upgrade of Bulk water Steel Pipeline   | R6,000,000  |                                 | R5,500,00      | R500,000      |           |           |  |  |
| KLM/phill/wwtp/09/2016  | Phillipolis:Upgrade of WASTE Water<br>Pipeline                                     | R13,315,120.00                                    |                                 | R12,315,120.00 | R1,000,000    |           |           |  |  |
| KLM/phill/wtp/09/2016   | Phillipolis:Upgrade of Bulk Distribution<br>Water Pipeline                         | R3,000,000  |                                 | R2,700,000     | R300,000      |           |           |  |  |
| EPWP PROJECTS:          |  |   |                                 |                |               |           |           |  |  |
|                         | JAGERSFONTEIN T/S REVIT  |   | R 7000                          | R7000          | R7000         | R7000     |           |  |  |
## INFRASTRUCTURE BUDGET LEKGOTLA 28 FEB – 01 MARCH 2017

#### COMPLETED PROJECTS FOR THE 2017/2018 FINANCIAL YEAR

| NEW HOSPITALS  | R'000  |
|--|--------|
| ALBERT NZULA DISTRICT HOSPITAL (FINAL PAYMENT ACCOUNT) | 5 500  |
| NEW FACILITIES   |        |
| XHARIEP DISTRICT NEW OFFICES                           | 5 000  |
| NON -INFRASTRUTURE ITEMS:                              |        |
| TROMPSBURG HOSPITAL – HEALTH TECHNOLOGY                | 18 000 |

# **DESTEA OPPORTUNITY PER SECTORS**

| DISTRICT | OVERVIEW  | OPPURTUNITY   | SUGGESTED PROJECTS |
|----------|---|---|--------------------|
| XHARIEP  | Crops such as potatoes,<br>are produced in the<br>Northern parts of the<br>district | Establishment of an Agri-<br>park in Springfontein.<br>which presents<br>opportunities in |                    |
|          | Sheep farming<br>predominates in the<br>South.                                      | agro-processing which<br>includes manufacturing of<br>chips and wine production           |                    |
|          | Ostrich farming.  | Marketing   |                    |
|          | Trompsburg has the second-biggest sheep-<br>shearing barn in the country.           | Training and extension services   |                    |
|          | Jacobsdal is an important grape producing town.                                     | <ul> <li>logistics<br/>Aqua-culture</li> </ul>  |                    |
|          |   | Production of venison<br>Agro-tourism   |                    |

## **DEPARTMENT OF POLICE ROADS AND TRANSPORT**

| PROJECT NAME     | STARTING DATE | FINISH DATE                 | BUDGET |
|------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|--------|
| TROMPSBURG       | 1-JULY 2016   | 31 <sup>ST</sup> MARCH 2019 | 15 000 |
| TRANSPORT CENTRE |               |                             |        |
|                  |               |                             |        |

## THE UNFUNDED PROJECTS OF KOPANONG MUNICIPALITY

| IDP REFERENCE NUMBER                             | PROJECT NAME<br>(PROJECT<br>DESCRIPTION)   | PROJECT BUDGET<br>/ TOTAL VALUE | FUNDING ALLOCATION FORECAST |           |           |         |
|--|--|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|
|  |  |                                 | 2016/2017                   | 2017/2018 | 2018/2019 | 2019/20 |
| KLM/ALL/ROADS&                                   | Construction of roads and  | R552,018,785                    | -                           | -         | -         |         |
| STORMWATER/07/2015                               | storm water in all nine<br>towns of Kopanong   |                                 |                             |           |           |         |
| KLM/ALL/WATER&<br>SANITATION/NETWORK/07/201<br>5 | Upgrading of Water and<br>Sewer network in all town<br>of Kopanong Local<br>Municipality | R250,000,000.00                 | -                           | -         | -         |         |
| KLM/ALL/WWTW/07/2015                             | Upgrading of Waste Water<br>Treatment Works in   | R200,000,000.00                 | -                           | -         | -         |         |

| IDP REFERENCE NUMBER | PROJECT NAME<br>(PROJECT<br>DESCRIPTION)  | PROJECT BUDGET / TOTAL VALUE | FUNDING ALLOCATION FORECAST |           |               |             |
|----------------------|---|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|---------------|-------------|
|                      |   |                              | 2016/2017                   | 2017/2018 | 2018/2019     | 2019/20     |
|                      | Kopanong  |                              |                             |           |               |             |
| KLM/ALL/WDM/07/2015  | Water Conservation,<br>Demand Management and<br>Retrofitting  | R30,000,000.00               | -                           | -         | -             |             |
| KLM/PHI/WDM/03/2015  | Philippolis: Philippolis         Water Conservation,         Demand Management and         Retrofitting | R4,115,000.00                |                             |           | R4,115,000.00 |             |
| KLM/TPR/WDM/03/2015  | <b>Trompsburg:</b> Water<br>Conservation, Demand<br>Management and<br>Retrofitting                      | R4,115,000.00                |                             |           | R4,115,000.00 |             |
| KLM/PHI/WDM/03/2015  | Philippolis:<br>Refurbishment of Bulk<br>water Steel Pipeline,<br>Reservoir and WDM                     | R11,615,000                  |                             |           |               | R11,615,000 |
| KLM/GAR/WDM/03/2015  | Gariep-dam:<br>Refurbishment of Bulk<br>water asbestos Pipeline,  | R8,500,000                   |                             |           |               | R8,500,000  |

| IDP REFERENCE NUMBER | PROJECT NAME<br>(PROJECT<br>DESCRIPTION)  | PROJECT BUDGET<br>/ TOTAL VALUE | FUNDING ALLOCATION FORECAST           2016/2017         2017/2018         2018/2019 |  | 2019/20 |             |
|----------------------|---|---------------------------------|---|--|---------|-------------|
| KLM/PHI/WDM/03/2015  | WTW and WDM<br><b>Philippolis:</b><br>Refurbishment of Bulk<br>water Steel Pipeline,<br>Reservoir and WDM | R11,615,000                     |   |  |         | R11,615,000 |

## THE SUCCESS STORY/ PROJECTS COMPLETED WITHIN THE 5 YEAR PERIOD FROM 2011-2016

| PROJECT NAME PER WARD  | AMOUNT          | COMPLETETION<br>DATE | JOB<br>OPPORTUNITIES<br>CREATED | PROGRESS        | CHALLENGES                                 |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 1.Rehabilitation of landfill site (Edenburg)   | R 4,383,106.16  | 2015/07/31           | 14                              | Completed       | N/A  |
| 2.Rehabilitation of landfill site (Reddersburg)  | R 6,701,537.11  | 2015/11/30           | 7                               | 80% Completed   | Procurement of lining and<br>installation  |
| 3.Upgrading of Waste Water<br>Treatment  | R 9,912,779.51  | 2015/09/30           | 14                              | Completed       | N/A  |
| 4.Recreational Sports facility<br>(Fauresmith)   | R 5,751,784.00  | 2015/07/31           | 20                              | Completed       | N/A  |
| 5.Recreational Sports Facility<br>(Reddersburg)  | R 7,987,601.00  | 2015/10/31           | 25                              | 90% Completed   | Finishing and Snagslist                    |
| 6.Water Treatment Works<br>Jagersfontein Civil Works   | R 13,335,111.34 | 2014/11/30           | 14                              | Completed       | N/A  |
| 7.Water Treatment Works<br>Mechanical & Electrical Works<br>Jagersfontein                      | R 5,927,239.13  | 2015/02/28           | 0                               | 90% Completed   | Delay in delivery of materials             |
| 8.2.3 ML Reservoir Fauresmith  | R 11,794.600.70 | 2015/09/30           | 25                              | 90% Completed   | Putting of roof on the reservoir           |
| 9.Construction of New pipeline<br>from Jagersfontein to<br>Fauresmith                          | R16,899,421.82  | 2014/11/30           | 41                              | Completed       | N/A  |
| 10. Construction of pipeline and booster pumpstation. (Phillipolis)                            | R5,974,635.30   | 2016/01/30           | 14                              | 95% Completion  | Project is at Practical Stage              |
| 11.Upgrading of pipeline that<br>feeds from Bloemwater<br>Reservoir to Town<br>(Springfontein) | R4,297,567.60   | 2016/02/28           | 35                              | 95% Completion  | Hard rock excavations                      |
| Drilling and Equipping of<br>boreholes(Bethany)  | R426,743.73     | 2016/03/31           | 0                               | 100% Completion | First Phase of the Project is<br>Completed |
| Construction of 3 MGI concrete<br>Reservoir and pipeline in<br>Trompsburg                      | R 20,479,451.10 | 2016/04/29           | 5                               | 50% Completion  | Project is on progress                     |

| Gariep Dam Fish Hatchery                         | ?????     | 2013/04/30 | 122 | 100% completion | N/A |
|--|-----------|------------|-----|-----------------|-----|
| <b>BA A BA</b>                                   |           |            |     |                 |     |
| ROADS  |           |            |     |                 |     |
| Paving of access road in                         |           |            |     | Completed       | N/A |
| Bethulie 8KM                                     |           |            |     |                 |     |
| Paving of access road in                         |           |            |     | Completed       | N/A |
| Edenburg 2 KM<br>Paving of access road in        |           |            |     | Completed       | N/A |
| Jagersfontein 4 KM                               |           |            |     | Completed       | N/A |
| Paving of access road in                         |           |            |     | Completed       |     |
| Philippolis 2 Km                                 |           |            |     | Completed       | N/A |
| Paving of access road in                         |           |            |     | Completed       | N/A |
| Reddersburg 3.8 KM                               |           |            |     | Completed       |     |
| Paving of access road in                         |           |            |     | Completed       | N/A |
| Springfontein 3 KM                               |           |            |     |                 |     |
| Paving of access road in                         |           |            |     | Completed       | N/A |
| Trompsburg 1.8 KM                                |           |            |     |                 |     |
| EPWP   |           |            |     |                 |     |
| Cleaning of Storm-Water                          | R100 000  | 2014/08/31 | 10  | Completed       | N/A |
| channels (Edenburg)                              |           |            |     |                 |     |
| Fencing of a Community Hall                      | R250 000  | 2014/08/31 | 10  | Completed       | N/A |
| (Trompsburg)                                     |           |            |     |                 |     |
| Fencing of cemeteries in                         | R 50 000  | 2014/09/30 | 10  | Completed       | N/A |
| (Philippolis)                                    | R100 000  | 0045/05/00 | 10  | O a man la ta d | N/A |
| Renovation of Community hall<br>in (Philippolis) | R100 000  | 2015/05/30 | 10  | Completed       | N/A |
| Fencing of cemeteries in                         | R250 000  | 2014/10/31 | 10  | Completed       | N/A |
| (Trompsburg)                                     | 11200 000 | 2014/10/31 | 10  | Completed       |     |
| Cleaning of landfill site in                     | R150 000  | 2014/12/31 | 12  | Completed       | N/A |
| (Springfontein)                                  |           |            |     |                 |     |
| Cleaning of landfill site in                     | R100 000  | 2014/12/31 | 10  | Completed       | N/A |
| (Trompsburg)                                     |           |            |     |                 |     |
| Updating of Indigent register                    | R232 325  | 2015/06/30 | 45  | Completed       | N/A |
| and title deeds in all Towns                     |           |            |     |                 |     |
| Fencing of Cemeteries in                         | R235 675  | 2015/11/30 | 20  | Completed       | N/A |
| Fauresmith                                       |           |            |     |                 |     |
| Cleaning of Storm-water                          | R110 000  | 2015/10/30 | 10  | Completed       | N/A |

| channels, cemeteries and      |          |            |                |               |                       |
|-------------------------------|----------|------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| landfill sites in Philippolis |          |            |                |               |                       |
| Cleaning of Storm-water       | R110 000 | 2015/10/30 | 10             | Completed     | N/A                   |
| channels, cemeteries and      |          |            |                |               |                       |
| landfill sites in Trompsburg  |          |            |                |               |                       |
| Cleaning of Storm-water       | R110 000 | 2015/10/30 | 10             | Completed     | N/A                   |
| channels, cemeteries and      |          |            |                |               |                       |
| landfill sites in Reddersburg |          |            |                |               |                       |
| Fencing of cemeteries in      | R345 000 | 2016/04/30 | 20 anticipated | To start soon | Waiting for materials |
| Jagersfontein                 |          |            |                |               |                       |

# **Organizational Performance Framework**



#### Introduction

This framework is a policy document that Kopanong Local Municipality ("KLM") has embarked on a comprehensive review, and is to serve as a formal Performance Management Framework. Although chapter six of the Local Government: Municipal Systems Act, 2000 (Act No. 32 of 2000) provides no

definition of Performance Management System ("PMS"), the Municipal Planning and Performance Management Regulations of 2001 define the PMS as a framework that describes and represents how the municipality's cycle and processes of performance planning, monitoring, measurement, review, reporting and improvement will be conducted, organised and managed, including determination of roles of the different role-players.

Furthermore, this policy-framework sets out the requirements that the Kopanong Municipality's PMS will fulfil, the principles that informed its development and subsequent implementation, the preferred performance model of the Municipality, the process by which the system will work and the delegation of responsibilities to different role-players in the process and an implementation plan for the system.

The legislative framework for performance management

KLM PMS framework is largely influenced by policy instruments such as Chapter 6 of Municipal Systems Act and Regulations 32 of 2000, and the Municipal Planning and Performance Regulations, 2001 provides that,

"Involving communities in developing some municipal key performance indicators increases the accountability of the municipality. Some communities may prioritise the amount of time it takes a municipality to answer a query, others will prioritise the cleanliness of an area or the provision of water to a certain number of households. Whatever the priorities, by involving communities in setting key performance indicators and reporting back to communities on performance, accountability is increased and public trust in the local government system enhanced"

The above-quoted White Paper is also supported by Batho Pele principles, which policies were given legal stature through the enactment of the Local Government: Municipal Systems Act 2000 (Act 32 of 2000). The said Act requires all municipalities to:

- Develop a performance management system
- Set key performance indicators and performance targets for each of the development priorities and objectives as contained in Integrated Development Plan (IDP)
- monitor and review the performance of the Municipality against the key performance indicators and targets, as well as the performance management system itself;
- Publish an annual performance report on the performance of the Municipality as part of its annual report required by the Local Government: Municipal Finance Management Act 2003 (Act No 56 of 2003) (MFMA);
- Incorporate and report on a set of national key performance indicators as prescribed by the Minister responsible for local government;
- Conduct, on a continuous basis, an internal audit of all performance measures;
- Have their annual performance report audited by the Auditor-General;
- Involve the community in setting indicators and targets and reviewing municipal performance.

The then Minister of Provincial and Local Government published the Municipal Planning and Performance Management Regulations (2001) in terms of the Municipal Systems Act setting out in detail the requirements for performance management. The Regulations also contain the general indicators prescribed by the Minister.

The (MFMA) contains various important provisions related to municipal performance management. It requires that a municipality must, together with its annual budget, approve measurable performance objectives for revenue from each revenue source and for each vote in the budget, taking into account the municipality's IDP. It further requires the mayor to ensure that she/he approves a service delivery and budget implementation plan (SDBIP) within 28 days after the council adopted its budget. An SDBIP must include service delivery targets and performance indicators for each quarter. In terms of section 72 of the MFMA, the municipal manager must not later than 25 January each year assess the performance of the municipality during the first half of the financial year and submit a report thereon to the mayor and the National and Provincial Treasuries. The mayor must submit the mid-year assessment report to the council not later than 30 January. The Municipality must lastly compile an annual report, which must include the Municipality's performance report compiled in terms of the Municipal Systems Act.

#### 3. KLM's approach to performance management

KLM's performance management approach must be part of a broader system of strategic management. This strategic management process must ensure that the Municipality is managed and directed through the integrated development planning, budgeting and performance management processes. The figure below shows how the performance management process both mirrors and integrates with the planning process.



Figure 1: Relationship between the performance management and the planning process

The performance management process then unfolds at a number of different levels, each aligned to the next.

Performance management can be applied to various levels within any organisation. The legislative framework as set out above provides for performance management at various levels in a municipality including strategic (sometimes also referred to as organisational, institutional or corporate) level, operational (also referred to as services, departmental or section/team) level and lastly, individual level.

At strategic level, the five-year IDP of a municipality forms the basis for performance management, whilst at operational level the annual SDBIP forms the basis. The IDP is a long-term plan and by its nature the performance measures associated with it will have a long-term focus, measuring whether a municipality is achieving its IDP objectives. The SDBIP (both for the municipality as a whole and that of a department) is more short-term in nature and the measures set in terms of the SDBIP, reviewing the progress made with implementing the current budget and achieving annual service delivery targets.

The key performance indicators and performance targets set for the Kopanong Municipality at strategic level are captured in organisational scorecard. The organisational scorecard has been divided into the following key performance areas:

- Financial Viability and Management
- Democracy and Governance
- Infrastructure and Services
- Institutional Transformation & Development
- Social and Economic development

A separate scorecard was also developed for reporting on the general key performance indicators set by the Minister of Provincial and Local Government.

By cascading performance measures from strategic to operational level, both the IDP and the SDBIP, form the link to individual performance management. This ensures that performance management at the various levels relate to one another as required by the Municipal Planning and Performance Regulations. Once the municipality has finalised the SDBIP it should be integrated/included with the performance management system to ensure the cascading of performance measures into the performance agreements of the Municipal Manager and managers directly accountable to her.

The MFMA specifically requires that the annual performance agreements of senior managers must be linked to the SDBIP and the measurable performance objectives approved with the budget.

The Municipality's performance management system should be both dynamic and evolving. It is premised on principles of continuous need for improvement. In ensuring continuous improvement to the Municipality's performance management system, a number of initiatives should be undertaken to nurture and harness the system's capability at all three levels:

- Cascading of the performance management to individuals within the Municipality is the cornerstone of the system. The performance management system at the individual level is aimed at clearly identifying what it takes to achieve the strategic agenda and political priorities;
- Ensuring that management and staff understand what they are responsible for in achieving the Municipality's goals. The following initiatives should be undertaken to ensure that accountability for performance is constantly assigned and well understood:
- Managers and strategic support officials, once an appropriate and user-friendly system is adopted, need to be capacitated on the operationalisation and utilisation of the appropriate system to simplify performance management and performance reporting;
- Performance agreements of all section 57 employees must be concluded within one month after the beginning of the municipal financial year;
- New scorecards (2013/2014) must outline both the annual as well as quarterly targets to accommodate the performance tracking system as shall be developed by the Municipality;

All employees must be encouraged to develop individual development plans in order to acquire competencies necessary to ensure higher levels of performance on their respective key performance areas.

Objectives of Performance Management System

As indicated above the Municipality's PMS is the primary mechanism to monitor, review and improve the implementation of its IDP and to gauge the progress made in achieving the objectives as set out in the IDP. The PMS should seek to achieve the following objectives:

#### Facilitate increased accountability

The PMS should provide a mechanism for ensuring increased accountability of employees to the Council and councillors to local communities and other external stakeholders

Facilitate learning and improvement

The PMS should facilitate learning in order to enable the Municipality to improve service delivery.

Provide early warning signals

It is important that the system ensure decision-makers are timeously informed of performance related risks, so that they can facilitate intervention, if and when necessary.

#### Facilitate decision-making

The PMS should provide appropriate management information that will allow efficient, effective and informed decision-making, particularly on the allocation of resources.

Principles governing the PMS of the Municipality

The process of developing a PMS for the Municipality was guided by the planning framework, which includes the principles that informed the development of the Municipality's PMS. The said principles are the following:

- simplicity so as the facilitate implementation given any current capacity constraints,
- politically acceptable to all political role-players
- administratively managed in terms of its day-to-day implementation,
- implementable within any current resource constraints,
- transparency and accountability both in terms of developing and implementing the system,
- efficient and sustainable in terms of the on-going implementation and application of the system,
- public participation in terms of granting citizens their constitutional right to participate in the process,
- integration of the PMS with the other strategic management processes within the Municipality including the IDP,
- objectivity based on credible information and lastly,
- Reliability of the information provided on the progress in achieving the objectives as set out in its IDP.

Preferred performance management model

A performance management model can be defined as the grouping together of performance indicators, sometimes based on the type of indicator, into logical categories or groups (often called perspectives), as a means to enhance the ability of an organisation to manage and analyse its performance. As such a model provides a common framework for what aspects of performance are going to be measured and managed. It further ensures that a balanced set of measures are employed that are not relying on only one facet of performance and therefore not presenting a holistic assessment of the performance of an organisation.

## Tabling of 1st Draft Integrated Development Plan and Budget 2017-2022 Financial Year

- The Council of Kopanong Local Municipality will sit on Tuesday, 28th March 2017 for tabling of,
- The Draft Integrated Development Plan and Budget.

#### **Bi-lateral Engagement**

• MAY 2017