### PERFORMANCE AGREEMENT

### MADE AND ENTERED INTO BY AND BETWEEN:

KOPANONG LOCAL MUNICIPALITY

AS REPRESENTED BY THE MUNICIPAL MANAGER

ME.LEBOHANG YVONNE MOLETSANE

AND

MR MOLAHLEHI JAN MEKHOE

\_\_\_\_\_\_

NAME OF EMPLOYEE

FOR THE

FINANCIAL YEAR: 1 JULY 2012 - 30 JUNE 2013

### PERFORMANCE AGREEMENT

### ENTERED INTO AND BETWEEN:

| The Municipality of TOPANONCY herein represent by Ms. LEBOHANG CLOONE MA  |
|---|
| (full name) in his /her capacity as Ilwaice Navice thereinafter referred to as the  |
| Employer or Reporting Officer)  |
| Employer or Reporting Officer)  and Lopacity Lean Municipality of the Municipality of |

### WHEREBY IT IS AGREED AS FOLLOWS:

### 1. INTRODUCTION

- The Employer has entered into a contract of employment with the Employee in terms of section 57(1) (a) of the Local Government: Municipal Systems Acts 32 of 2000 ("the System Act"). The Employer and the Employee are hereinafter referred to as "the Parties".
- Section 57(1) (b) of the Systems Act, read with the Contract of Employment concluded between the parties to conclude an annual performance Agreement.
- The parties wish to ensure that they are clear about the goals to be achieved, and secure the commitment of the Employee to a set of outcomes that will secure local government policy goals.
- The parties wish to ensure that there is compliance with Sections 57(4A), 57(4B) and 57(5) of the Systems Act.

### 2. PURPOSE OF THIS AGREEMENT

The Purpose of this Agreement is to -

Comply with the provisions of Section 57(1) (b),4(A),(4B) and (5)of the Systems Acts as well as the Contract of Employment entered into between the parties;

Page 2 of 12

±XAı

7V

- 2.2 Specify objectives and targets established for the Employee and to communicate to the Employee the Employer's expectations of the Employee's performance expectations and accountabilities:
- 2.3 Specify accountabilities as set out in the Performance Plan (Annexure A);
- 2.4 Monitor and measure performance against set targeted outputs;
- 2.5 Use the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan as the basis for assessing the suitability of the Employee for permanent employment and /or to assess whether the Employee has met the performance expectations applicable to his/her job;
- 2.6 Appropriately reward the Employee in accordance with the Employer's performance management policy in the event of outstanding performance; and
- 2.7 Give effect to the Employer's commitment to a performance-orientated relationship with the Employee in attaining equitable and improved service delivery.

### 3. COMMENCEMENT AND DURATION

- 3.1 This Agreement will commence on the Olympia (date) and will remain in force until 51 (date) whereafter a new Performance Agreement, Performance Plan and Personal Development Plan shall be concluded between the parties for the next financial year or any portion thereof.
- The parties will review the provisions of this Agreement during June each year. The parties will conclude a new Performance Agreement and Performance Plan that replaces this Agreement at least once a year by not later than the beginning of each successive financial year.
- 3.3 This Agreement will terminate on the termination of the Employee's contract of employment for any reason.
- 3.4 The content of this Agreement may be revised at anytime during the abovementioned period to determine the applicability of the matters agreed upon.

Page 3

Page 3 of 12

3.5 If at any time during the validity of this Agreement the work environment alters (whether as a result of government or council decisions or otherwise) to the extent that the contents of this Agreement are no longer appropriate, the contents shall immediately be revised.

### 4. Performance Objectives

- 4.1 The Performance Plan (Annexure A) sets out-
  - 4.1.1 The performance objectives and targets that must be met the Employee; and
  - 4.1.2 The time frames within which those performance objectives and targets must be met.
- The performance objectives and targets reflected in Annexure A are set by the Employer in consultation with the Employee and based on the Integrated Development Plan and the Budget of the Employer, and shall include key objectives; key performance indicators; target dates and weighting
- 4.3 The key objectives describe the main tasks that need to be done. The key performance indicators provide the details of the evidence that must be provided to show that a key objective has been achieved. The target dates describe the timeframe in which the work must be achieved. The weightings show the relative importance of the key objectives to each other.
- The Employee's performance will, in addition, be measured in Terms of contributions to the goals and strategies set out in the Employer's Integrated Development Plan.

### 5 PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

- 5.1 The Employee agrees to participate in the Performance Management System that the Employer adopts or introduces for the Employer, management, and municipal staff of the Employer.
- 5.2 The Employee accepts that the purpose of the Performance Management System will be to provide a comprehensive system with specific performance standards to assist the Employer, management, and municipal staff to perform to the standards required.
- 5.3 The Employer will consult the Employee about the specific performance standard that will be included in the Performance Management System as applicable to the Employee.

Ph

Page 4 of 12

- The Employee agrees to participate in the Performance Management and Development System that the Employer adopts.
- 6.1 The Employee undertakes to actively focus towards the promotion and implementation of KPA's (including special projects relevant to the employee's responsibilities) within the local government framework.
- The criteria upon which the performance of the Employee shall be assessed shall consist of two components, both of which shall be contained in the Performance Agreement.
  - 6.2.1 The Employee must be assessed against both components, with a weighting of 80:20 allocated to the Key Performance Areas (KPA's) and the Core Managerial Competencies (CMC's) respectively.
  - 6.2.2 Each area of assessment will be weighted and will contribute a specific part to the total score.
  - 6.2.3 KPA's covering the main areas of work will account for 80% and CMC's will account 20% of the final assessment.
- The Employee's assessment will be based on his/her performance in terms of the outputs / outcomes (performance indicators) identified as per attached Performance Plan (Annexure A), which are linked to the KPA's, and will constitute 80% of the overall assessment result as per the weightings agreed to between the Employer and Employee.

|               | KEY PERFORMÂNCE AREAS (KPA'S)           | WEIGHTING |
|---------------|---|-----------|
| Basic Service | ce Delivery                             |           |
| Municipal Ins | nstitute Development and Transformation |           |
| Local Econor  | omic Development (LED)                  |           |
| Municipal Fir | inancial Viability and Management       |           |
| Good Govern   | rnance and Public Participation         |           |
| Community 8   | & Social Development Services           |           |
| Total         |   | 100%      |

The CMCs will make the other 20% of the Employee's assessment score. CMC's that are deemed to be most critical for the Employee's specific job should be selected from the list below as agreed to between the Employer and Employee:

7.5 Ly &

Page 5 of 12

|                         | CORE COMPETENCY REQUIREMENTS FOR EMPL  | OYEES  |   |          |
|-------------------------|--|--------|---|----------|
|                         | CORE MANAGEMENT CRITERIA (CMC)   |        | 1 | WEIGHT % |
| 1.                      | Strategic Capability & Leadership  | t      |   |          |
| 2.                      | Programme & Project Management   |        |   |          |
| 3.                      | Financial Management (Compulsory)  |        |   |          |
| 4.                      | Change Management  |        |   |          |
| 5.                      | Knowledge Management   |        |   |          |
| 6.                      | Service Delivery Innovation  |        |   |          |
| 7.                      | Problem Solving & Analysis   |        |   |          |
| 8.                      | People Management & Empowerment (Compulsory)   |        |   |          |
| 9.                      | Client Orientation & Customer Focus (Compulsory)   |        |   |          |
| 10.                     | Communication  |        |   |          |
| 11.                     | Honesty & Integrity  |        | - | 100%     |
|                         | CORE OCCUPATIONAL COMPETENCY (COC)   |        | J | WEIGHT   |
| 1 /                     |  | e = 1, | V | %        |
| ĺ                       | Competence in Self Management  |        | V | %        |
| ĺ                       |  |        |   | %        |
| 2.                      | Competence in Self Management Interpretation of and implementation within the legislation and national policy  |        |   | %        |
| 2.                      | Interpretation of and implementation within the legislation and national policy framework  |        |   | %        |
| 2.<br>3.                | Interpretation of and implementation within the legislation and national policy framework  Knowledge of developmental local government   |        |   | %        |
| 2.<br>4.<br>5.          | Interpretation of and implementation within the legislation and national policy framework  Knowledge of developmental local government  Knowledge of Performance Management & Reporting  Knowledge of global & South African specific political, social and economic contexts  |        |   | %        |
| 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.          | Interpretation of and implementation within the legislation and national policy framework  Knowledge of developmental local government  Knowledge of Performance Management & Reporting  Knowledge of global & South African specific political, social and economic contexts  Competency on policy conceptualisation, analysis and implementation   |        |   | %        |
| 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.          | Interpretation of and implementation within the legislation and national policy framework  Knowledge of developmental local government  Knowledge of Performance Management & Reporting  Knowledge of global & South African specific political, social and economic contexts  |        |   | %        |
| 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.       | Interpretation of and implementation within the legislation and national policy framework  Knowledge of developmental local government  Knowledge of Performance Management & Reporting  Knowledge of global & South African specific political, social and economic contexts  Competency on policy conceptualisation, analysis and implementation   |        |   | %        |
| 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 3.    | Interpretation of and implementation within the legislation and national policy framework  Knowledge of developmental local government  Knowledge of Performance Management & Reporting  Knowledge of global & South African specific political, social and economic contexts  Competency on policy conceptualisation, analysis and implementation  Knowledge of more than one functional municipal fields/discipline  |        |   | %        |
| 2. 3. 4. 5. 7. 3. 3. 3. | Interpretation of and implementation within the legislation and national policy framework  Knowledge of developmental local government  Knowledge of Performance Management & Reporting  Knowledge of global & South African specific political, social and economic contexts  Competency on policy conceptualisation, analysis and implementation  Knowledge of more than one functional municipal fields/discipline  Skills in mediation                       |        |   | %        |
| 2. 3. 4. 5. 7. 3. 3. 3. | Interpretation of and implementation within the legislation and national policy framework  Knowledge of developmental local government  Knowledge of Performance Management & Reporting  Knowledge of global & South African specific political, social and economic contexts  Competency on policy conceptualisation, analysis and implementation  Knowledge of more than one functional municipal fields/discipline  Skills in mediation  Skills in governance |        |   | %        |

T.S W

Page 6 of 12

### 7. EVALUATING PERFORMANCE

- 7.1 The Performance Plan (Annexure A) to this Agreement sets out-
  - 7.1.1 The standards and procedures for evaluating Employee's performance; and
  - 7.1.2 The intervals for the evaluation of the Employee's performance.
- 7.2 Despite the establishment of intervals for evaluation, the Employer may in addition review the Employee's performance at any stage while the contract of employment remains in force.
- 7.3 Personal growth and development needs identified during any performance review discussion must be documented in a Personal Development Plan as well as the actions agreed to and implementation must take place within set time frames.
- 7.4 The Employee's performance will be measured in terms of contributions to the goals and strategies set out in the Employer's IDP.
- 7.5 The annual performance appraisal will involve:
  - 7.5.1 Assessment of the achievement of results as outlined in the performance plan:
    - (a) Each KPA should be assessed according to the extent to which the specified standards or performance indicators have been met and with due regard to ad hoc tasks that had to be performed under the KPA.
    - (b) An indicative rating on the five-point scale should be provided for each KPA.
    - (c) The applicable assessment rating calculator (refer to Paragraph 6.5.3 below) must then be used to add the scores and calculate a final KPA score.

### 7.5.2 Assessment of the CMC's

- (a) Each CMC should be assessed according to the extent to which the specified standards have been met.
- (b) An indicative rating on the five-point scale should be provided for each CMC.
- (c) The applicable assessment rating calculator (refer to Paragraph 6.5.1) must then be used to add the scores and calculate a final CMC score.

### 7.5.3 Overall Rating

An overall rating is calculated by using the applicable assessment-rating calculator. Such overall rating represents the outcomes of the performance appraisal.

7.6 The assessment of the performance of the Employee will be based on the following rating scale for KPA's, CMC's and COC's:

| Outstanding Performance  Performance far exceeds the standard expected of an employee at this level. The appraisal indicate that the Employee has achieved above fully effective results against all performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan and maintained this in all areas of responsibility throughout the year.  Performance significantly above expectations  Performance is significantly higher than the standard expected in the job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has achieved above fully effective results against more than half of the performance criteria and indicators and fully achieved all others throughout the year.  Performance fully meets the standards expected in all areas of the job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has fully achieved effective results against all significant performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan.  Not fully effective  Performance is below the standard required for the job in key areas. Performance meets some of the standards expected for the job. The review / assessment indicate that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against more than half the key performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Plan.  Performance does not meet the standard expected for the job. The review / assessment indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan.  Performance does not meet the standard expected for the job. The review / assessment indicates that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against almost all of the performance Criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan. The employee has failed to demonstrate the commitment or ability | LEVEL    | TERMINOLOGY                     | DESCRIPTION  | RATING |
|--|----------|---------------------------------|--|--------|
| Employee has achieved above fully effective results against all performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan and maintained this in all areas of responsibility throughout the year.  4 Performance significantly above expectations  Performance is significantly higher than the standard expected in the job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has achieved above fully effective results against more than half of the performance criteria and indicators and fully achieved all others throughout the year.  3 Fully effective  Performance fully meets the standards expected in all areas of the job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has fully achieved effective results against all significant performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan.  2 Not fully effective  Performance is below the standard required for the job in key areas. Performance meets some of the standards expected for the job. The review / assessment indicate that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against more than half the key performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Plan.  1 Unacceptable Performance  Performance does not meet the standard expected for the job. The review / assessment indicates that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against and the performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan. The employee has failed to demonstrate the commitment or ability  | 5        | Outstanding Performance         | Performance far exceeds the standard expected of an              |        |
| all performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan and maintained this in all areas of responsibility throughout the year.  Performance significantly above expected in the job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has achieved above fully effective results against more than half of the performance criteria and indicators and fully achieved all others throughout the year.  Performance fully meets the standards expected in all areas of the job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has fully achieved effective results against all significant performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan.  Not fully effective  Performance is below the standard required for the job in key areas. Performance meets some of the standards expected for the job. The review / assessment indicate that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against more than half the key performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Plan.  Unacceptable Performance  Performance does not meet the standard expected for the job. The review / assessment indicate that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against almost all of the performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Plan. The employee has failed to demonstrate the commitment or ability  |          |                                 | employee at this level. The appraisal indicate that the          |        |
| Performance Agreement and Performance Plan and maintained this in all areas of responsibility throughout the year.  Performance significantly above expectations  Performance is significantly higher than the standard expected in the job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has achieved above fully effective results against more than half of the performance criteria and indicators and fully achieved all others throughout the year.  Performance fully meets the standards expected in all areas of the job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has fully achieved effective results against all significant performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan.  Not fully effective  Performance is below the standard required for the job in key areas. Performance meets some of the standards expected for the job. The review / assessment indicate that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against more than half the key performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Plan.  Performance does not meet the standard expected for the job. The review / assessment indicates that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against almost all of the performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Plan .The employee has failed to demonstrate the commitment or ability   |          |                                 | Employee has achieved above fully effective results against      |        |
| maintained this in all areas of responsibility throughout the year.  Performance significantly above expectations  Performance is significantly higher than the standard expected in the job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has achieved above fully effective results against more than half of the performance criteria and indicators and fully achieved all others throughout the year.  Performance fully meets the standards expected in all areas of the job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has fully achieved effective results against all significant performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan.  Not fully effective  Performance is significantly higher than the standard expected in all areas of the performance results against all significant performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Plan.  Performance meets some of the standards expected for the job. The review / assessment indicate that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against more than half the key performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan.  Unacceptable Performance  Performance does not meet the standard expected for the job. The review / assessment indicates that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against almost all of the performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan .The employee has failed to demonstrate the commitment or ability   | †<br>;   |                                 | all performance criteria and indicators as specified in the      |        |
| year.  Performance significantly above expectations  Performance is significantly higher than the standard expected in the job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has achieved above fully effective results against more than half of the performance criteria and indicators and fully achieved all others throughout the year.  Performance fully meets the standards expected in all areas of the job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has fully achieved effective results against all significant performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan.  Performance is below the standard required for the job in key areas. Performance meets some of the standards expected for the job. The review / assessment indicate that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against more than half the key performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan.  1 Unacceptable Performance  Performance does not meet the standard expected for the job. The review / assessment indicates that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against almost all of the performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Plan. The employee has failed to demonstrate the commitment or ability   | 1        |                                 | Performance Agreement and Performance Plan and                   |        |
| Performance significantly above expectations  Performance is significantly higher than the standard expected in the job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has achieved above fully effective results against more than half of the performance criteria and indicators and fully achieved all others throughout the year.  Performance fully meets the standards expected in all areas of the job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has fully achieved effective results against all significant performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan.  Not fully effective  Performance is below the standard required for the job in key areas. Performance meets some of the standards expected for the job. The review / assessment indicate that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against more than half the key performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan.  Unacceptable Performance  Performance does not meet the standard expected for the job. The review / assessment indicates that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against almost all of the performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan . The employee has failed to demonstrate the commitment or ability  | <b>!</b> |                                 | maintained this in all areas of responsibility throughout the    |        |
| expected in the job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has achieved above fully effective results against more than half of the performance criteria and indicators and fully achieved all others throughout the year.  Performance fully meets the standards expected in all areas of the job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has fully achieved effective results against all significant performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan.  Not fully effective  Performance is below the standard required for the job in key areas. Performance meets some of the standards expected for the job. The review / assessment indicate that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against more than half the key performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan.  Performance does not meet the standard expected for the job. The review / assessment indicates that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against almost all of the performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan. The employee has failed to demonstrate the commitment or ability   |          |                                 | year.  |        |
| has achieved above fully effective results against more than half of the performance criteria and indicators and fully achieved all others throughout the year.  Performance fully meets the standards expected in all areas of the job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has fully achieved effective results against all significant performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan.  Performance is below the standard required for the job in key areas. Performance meets some of the standards expected for the job. The review / assessment indicate that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against more than half the key performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan.  Performance does not meet the standard expected for the job. The review / assessment indicates that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against almost all of the performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance almost all of the performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan .The employee has failed to demonstrate the commitment or ability   | 4        | Performance significantly above | Performance is significantly higher than the standard            |        |
| half of the performance criteria and indicators and fully achieved all others throughout the year.  Performance fully meets the standards expected in all areas of the job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has fully achieved effective results against all significant performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan.  Not fully effective  Performance is below the standard required for the job in key areas. Performance meets some of the standards expected for the job. The review / assessment indicate that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against more than half the key performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan.  Unacceptable Performance  Performance does not meet the standard expected for the job. The review / assessment indicates that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against almost all of the performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan . The employee has failed to demonstrate the commitment or ability  | !        | expectations                    | expected in the job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee   |        |
| achieved all others throughout the year.  Performance fully meets the standards expected in all areas of the job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has fully achieved effective results against all significant performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan.  Not fully effective  Performance is below the standard required for the job in key areas. Performance meets some of the standards expected for the job. The review / assessment indicate that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against more than half the key performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan.  Unacceptable Performance  Performance does not meet the standard expected for the job. The review / assessment indicates that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against almost all of the performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan . The employee has failed to demonstrate the commitment or ability  |          |                                 | has achieved above fully effective results against more than     |        |
| Performance fully meets the standards expected in all areas of the job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has fully achieved effective results against all significant performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan.  Not fully effective  Performance is below the standard required for the job in key areas. Performance meets some of the standards expected for the job. The review / assessment indicate that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against more than half the key performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan.  Unacceptable Performance  Performance does not meet the standard expected for the job. The review / assessment indicates that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against almost all of the performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Plan .The employee has failed to demonstrate the commitment or ability   |          |                                 | half of the performance criteria and indicators and fully        |        |
| of the job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has fully achieved effective results against all significant performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan.  Performance is below the standard required for the job in key areas. Performance meets some of the standards expected for the job. The review / assessment indicate that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against more than half the key performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan.  Unacceptable Performance  Performance does not meet the standard expected for the job. The review / assessment indicates that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against almost all of the performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan . The employee has failed to demonstrate the commitment or ability   |          |                                 | achieved all others throughout the year.                         |        |
| achieved effective results against all significant performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan.  2 Not fully effective Performance is below the standard required for the job in key areas. Performance meets some of the standards expected for the job. The review / assessment indicate that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against more than half the key performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan.  1 Unacceptable Performance  Performance does not meet the standard expected for the job. The review / assessment indicates that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against almost all of the performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan . The employee has failed to demonstrate the commitment or ability   | 3        | Fully effective                 | Performance fully meets the standards expected in all areas      |        |
| criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan.  Performance is below the standard required for the job in key areas. Performance meets some of the standards expected for the job. The review / assessment indicate that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against more than half the key performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan.  Unacceptable Performance  Performance does not meet the standard expected for the job. The review / assessment indicates that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against almost all of the performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan .The employee has failed to demonstrate the commitment or ability   |          |                                 | of the job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has fully  |        |
| Agreement and Performance Plan.  Performance is below the standard required for the job in key areas. Performance meets some of the standards expected for the job. The review / assessment indicate that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against more than half the key performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan.  Performance does not meet the standard expected for the job. The review / assessment indicates that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against almost all of the performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan .The employee has failed to demonstrate the commitment or ability   |          |                                 | achieved effective results against all significant performance   |        |
| Performance is below the standard required for the job in key areas. Performance meets some of the standards expected for the job. The review / assessment indicate that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against more than half the key performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan.  1 Unacceptable Performance  Performance does not meet the standard expected for the job. The review / assessment indicates that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against almost all of the performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan .The employee has failed to demonstrate the commitment or ability  |          |                                 | criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance          |        |
| areas. Performance meets some of the standards expected for the job. The review / assessment indicate that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against more than half the key performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan.  1 Unacceptable Performance  Performance does not meet the standard expected for the job. The review / assessment indicates that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against almost all of the performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan . The employee has failed to demonstrate the commitment or ability   |          |                                 | Agreement and Performance Plan.                                  |        |
| for the job. The review / assessment indicate that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against more than half the key performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan.  1 Unacceptable Performance  Performance does not meet the standard expected for the job. The review / assessment indicates that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against almost all of the performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan .The employee has failed to demonstrate the commitment or ability  | 2        | Not fully effective             | Performance is below the standard required for the job in key    |        |
| employee has achieved below fully effective results against more than half the key performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan.  1 Unacceptable Performance  Performance does not meet the standard expected for the job. The review / assessment indicates that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against almost all of the performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan. The employee has failed to demonstrate the commitment or ability   |          |                                 | areas. Performance meets some of the standards expected          |        |
| more than half the key performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan.  1 Unacceptable Performance  Performance does not meet the standard expected for the job. The review / assessment indicates that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against almost all of the performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan .The employee has failed to demonstrate the commitment or ability   |          |                                 | for the job. The review / assessment indicate that the           |        |
| specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan.  1 Unacceptable Performance Performance does not meet the standard expected for the job. The review / assessment indicates that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against almost all of the performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan. The employee has failed to demonstrate the commitment or ability  |          |                                 | employee has achieved below fully effective results against      |        |
| Plan.  1 Unacceptable Performance  Performance does not meet the standard expected for the job.  The review / assessment indicates that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against almost all of the performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan .The employee has failed to demonstrate the commitment or ability   |          |                                 | more than half the key performance criteria and indicators as    |        |
| 1 Unacceptable Performance  Performance does not meet the standard expected for the job.  The review / assessment indicates that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against almost all of the performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan. The employee has failed to demonstrate the commitment or ability  |          |                                 | specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance           |        |
| The review / assessment indicates that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against almost all of the performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan. The employee has failed to demonstrate the commitment or ability  |          |                                 | Plan.  |        |
| achieved below fully effective results against almost all of the performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan .The employee has failed to demonstrate the commitment or ability  | 1        | Unacceptable Performance        | Performance does not meet the standard expected for the job.     |        |
| performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan .The employee has failed to demonstrate the commitment or ability   |          |                                 | The review / assessment indicates that the employee has          |        |
| Performance Agreement and Performance Plan The employee has failed to demonstrate the commitment or ability  |          |                                 | achieved below fully effective results against almost all of the |        |
| employee has failed to demonstrate the commitment or ability   |          |                                 | performance criteria and indicators as specified in the          |        |
|  |          |                                 | Performance Agreement and Performance Plan .The                  |        |
|  |          |                                 | employee has failed to demonstrate the commitment or ability     |        |
| to bring performance up to the level expected in the job   |          |                                 | to bring performance up to the level expected in the job         |        |
| despite management efforts to encourage improvement.   |          |                                 | despite management efforts to encourage improvement.             |        |

S iy

- 7.7 For purpose of evaluating the performance of the Employee, an evaluation panel constituted by the following persons will be established-
  - 7.7.1 Executive Mayor / Mayor;
  - 7.7.2 Chairperson of the Audit Committee;
  - 7.7.3 Ward Committee Member (on a rotational basis), where applicable;
  - 7.7.4 Member of the Mayoral Committee; and
  - 7.7.5 Mayor and/ or Municipal Manager from another Municipality.

### 8. SCHEDULE FOR PERFORMANCE REVIEWS

8.1 The performance of each Employee in relation to his/her performance agreement shall be reviewed on the following dates with the understanding that reviews in the first and third quarter may be verbal if performance is satisfactory:

First quarter

: July – September (year)

Second quarter

: October – December (year)

Third quarter

: January - March (year)

Fourth quarter

: April - June (year)

- 8.2 The Employer shall keep a record of the mid-year review and annual assessment meetings.
- 8.3 Performance feedback shall be based on the Employer's assessment of the Employee's performance.
- 8.4 The Employer will be entitled to review and make reasonable changes to the provisions of Annexure 'A' from time to time for operational reasons. The Employee will be fully consulted before any such change is made.
- 8.5 The Employer may amend the provisions of Annexure A whenever the Performance Management System is adopted, implemented, and /or amended as the case may be. In that case the Employee will be fully consulted before any such change is made.

Page 9 of 12

S L

### 9. DEVELOPMENTAL REQUIREMENTS

The Personal Development Plan (PDP) for addressing development gaps is attached as Annexure A.

### 10. OBLIGATIONS OF THE EMPLOYER

### 10.1 The Employer shall:

- 10.1.1 Create an enabling environment to facilitate effective performance by the Employee;
- 10.1.2 Provide access to skills development and capacity building opportunities;
- 10.1.3 Work collaboratively with the Employee to solve problems and generate solutions to common problems that may impact on the performance of the Employee;
- 10.1.4 On the request of the Employee delegates such powers reasonably required by the Employee to enable him/ her to meet the performance objectives and targets established in term of this Agreement; and
- 10.1.5 Make available to the Employee such resources as the Employee may reasonably require from time to time to assist him/her to meet the performance objectives and targets established in terms of this Agreement.

### 11. CONSULTATION

- 11.1 The Employer agrees to consult the Employee timeously where the exercising of the powers will have amongst others-
  - 11.1.1 A direct effect on the performance of any of the Employee's functions;
  - 11.1.2 Commit the Employee to implement or to give effect to a decision made by the Employer; and
  - 11.1.4 A substantial financial effect on the Employer.
- 11.2 The employer agrees to inform the Employee of the outcome of any decisions taken pursuant to the exercise of powers contemplated in 11.1 as soon as is practicable to enable the Employee to take any necessary action without delay.

Page 10 of 12

55 10


PV CI

### 12 MANAGEMENT OF EVALUATION OUTCOMES

- 12.1 The evaluation of the Employee's performance will form the basis for rewarding outstanding performance or correcting unacceptable performance.
- 12.2 A performance bonus of 5% to 14% of inclusive annual remuneration package may be paid to the Employee in recognition of outstanding performance.
- 12.3 The Employee will be eligible for progression to the next higher remuneration package, within the relevant remuneration band, after completion of least twelve months (12) service at current remuneration package 30 June (end of financial year) subject to a fully effective assessment.
- 12.4 In the case of unacceptable performance, the Employer shall-
- 12.4.1 Provide systematic remedial of development support to assist the Employee to improve his or her performance; and
- 12.4.2 After appropriate performance and counselling and having provided the necessary guidance and/ or support as well as reasonable time for improvement in performance, the Employer may consider steps to terminate the contract of employment of the Employee on grounds of unfitness or incapacity to carry out his or her duties.

### 13 DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 13.1 Any disputes about the nature of the Employee's performance agreement, whether it relates to key responsibilities, priorities, methods of assessment and/ or any other matter provided for, shall be mediated by
  - 13.1.1 The MEC for Local Government and the Province within thirty (30) days of receipt of a formal dispute from the Employee; or
  - 13.1.2 Any other person appointed by the MEC.
  - 13.1.3 In the event that the mediation process contemplated above fails, clause 19.3 of the Contract of Employment shall apply.



### 14. GENERAL

- 14.1 The contents of this agreement and the outcome of any review conducted in terms of Annexure A may be available to the public by the Employer.
- Nothing in this agreement diminishes the obligations, duties, or accountabilities of the Employee in terms of his/ her contract of employment, or the effects of existing or new regulations, circulars, policies, directives, or other instruments.

Thus done and signed at IROMPSBURGon this the Ay. day of ... O.T... (Month) 2012 (Year)

**EMPLOYEE** 

| AS WITNESSES:   | jiil/ |
|-----------------|-------|
| BUT .           |       |
| 1. <u>dance</u> | THE   |

2. Aprisano

AS WITNESSES:

1. Juneave

EMPLOYER

2. PVIshileane



**ANNEXURE A** 

# PERFORMANCE PLAN

### FOR

DIRECTOR: FINANCIAL SERVICES

### Purpose

The performance plan defines the Council's expectations of the Director: Financial Services' performance agreement to which this document is attached and Section 57 (5) of the Municipal Systems Act, which provides that performance objectives and targets must be based on the key performance indicators as set in the Municipality's Integrated Development Plan (IDP) and as reviewed annually

# Key responsibilities

The following objects of local government will inform the Director: Financial Services' performance against set performance indicators:

- Provide democratic and accountable government for local communities.
- Ensure the provision of services to communities in a sustainable manner.
  - Promote social and economic development.
- Promote a safe and healthy environment.
- ō Encourage the involvement of communities and community organisations in the matters government

## **Key Performance Areas** က

The following Key Performance Areas (KPAs) as outlined in the Local Government: Municipal Planning and Performance Management Regulations (2001) inform the strategic objectives listed in the table below:

- Municipal Transformation and Organisational Development.
- Basic Service Delivery 3.2 3.3
- Local Economic Development (LED).
- Municipal Financial Viability and Management
  - Good Governance and Public Participation.

| ΚĒ | KEY PERFORMANCE AREA: M               | AREA: MUNICIPAL TRANSFORMATION AND ORGANISATION DEVELOPMENT | TION AND  | DRGANISATION DEVELO | OPMENT |
|----|---------------------------------------|---|-----------|---------------------|--------|
| å  | Key performance                       | <b>Evidence of</b>  | Target    | Rating              |        |
|    | indicator                             | performance/  | (Date)    | (1 – 5)             |        |
|    | (Objective/planned result)            | achievement   |           |                     | ~~~    |
| _  | The Municipality                      | Reports regarding the                                       | Quarterly |                     |        |
|    | consistently complies with            | implementation of the supply chain                          |           |                     |        |
|    | ⇔ the Municipal Supply                | management policy,  |           |                     |        |
|    | Chain Management                      | including "deviation  |           |                     |        |
|    | Regulations 2005                      | reports"  |           |                     |        |
|    | (Government Notice No                 |   |           |                     |        |
|    | 868 of 30 May 2005);                  |   |           |                     |        |
| ·  | and                                   |   |           |                     |        |
|    | tis supply chain     tis supply chain |   |           |                     |        |
|    | management policy                     |   |           |                     |        |
| 2  | Current skills of employees           | Skills assessment report                                    | 30 Jun    |                     |        |
|    | assigned to the BTO are               | and personal  | 13        |                     |        |
|    | evaluated against the                 | development plan for  |           |                     |        |
|    | prescripts of the Municipal           | each employee assigned                                      |           |                     |        |
|    | Regulations on Minimum                | to the BTO submitted to                                     |           |                     |        |
|    | Competency Levels, 2007               | relevant committee of the                                   |           |                     |        |
|    | (Government Notice No                 | Council   |           |                     | 4      |
|    | R.493 of 15 June 2007),               | .8  |           |                     |        |
|    | and a personal                        |   |           |                     |        |
|    | development plan compiled             |   |           |                     |        |
|    | for each employee to                  |   |           |                     |        |



|  | Quarterly  | Monthly  | Monthly  | or KPA                       |  |
|--|--|--|--|------------------------------|--|
|  | Training report  | Routine departmental report of the Department submitted to the Municipal Manager   | Routine departmental report of the Department submitted to the Municipal Manager | Total score achieved for KPA |  |
| vant skills                              | s assigned to the nt undergo accordance with                                     | d committee<br>relating to the<br>it are executed<br>vorking days of<br>of the relevant                                  | correspondence<br>ries regarding the<br>nt are attended<br>working days          | 25                           |  |
| acquire the relevant skills she/he lacks | Employees assigned to the Department undergo training in accordance with the WSP | Council and committee resolutions relating to the Department are executed within 10 working days of the relevant meeting | S E E  | ght                          |  |
| ,  | က  | 4  | သ  | Weight                       |  |

| _                       |
|-------------------------|
| 'n                      |
| DELIVERY                |
| ≥                       |
| ئب                      |
| 河                       |
| X                       |
| 兴                       |
| $\overline{\mathbf{c}}$ |
| ≳                       |
| SERVICE-L               |
| S                       |
|                         |
| BASIC                   |
| ď                       |
| BASIC                   |
|                         |
| Ā                       |
|                         |
| 뿠                       |
| ARE/                    |
| ARE                     |
| CE ARE                  |
| NCE ARE                 |
| ANCE ARE                |
| MANCE ARE               |
| RMANCE ARE              |
| ORMANCE ARE             |
| FORMANCE AR             |
| ERFORMANCE ARI          |
| FORMANCE AR             |
| / PERFORMANCE ARI       |
| ERFORMANCE ARI          |

| *   |   |   | 41.<br>12.                 |   |         |                              | n |
|---|---|---|----------------------------|---|---------|------------------------------|---|
| Rating<br>1-5   |   |   |                            |   |         |                              |   |
| Target  | 31 Jan<br>`13                                   |   | Monthly                    | e (e)<br>Table                                      |         | r KPA                        |   |
| Evidence of performance achievement                   | Multi-year plan for the maintenance, repair and | refurbishment of assets assigned to the BTO   | Routine departmental       | report of the Department submitted to the Municipal | Manager | Total score achieved for KPA |   |
| Key performance indicator (Objective /planned result) | An approved asset utilization maintenance plan  | is implemented for assets assigned to the BTO | Debtor enquiries regarding | debtor accounts are resolved within 5 working       | days    | ght 10                       |   |
|   | ~   |   |                            |   | ļ       | Weight                       | - |

# KEY PERFORMANCE AREA: LOCAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

| T | Key performance                        | Evidence of performance                | larger   | Rating |
|---|--|--|----------|--------|
| - | indicator                              |  |          | (1-5)  |
|   | Specifications for                     | Bid specification for capital projects | On going |        |
| • | construction contracts-                |  |          |        |
|   | <ul> <li>are biased toward</li> </ul>  |  |          |        |
|   | labour intensive                       |  |          |        |
| • | construction                           |  |          |        |
|   | methodologies                          |  |          |        |
|   | <ul> <li>require employment</li> </ul> |  |          |        |
|   | of local labour                        |  |          |        |
|   | <ul> <li>prefer local sub-</li> </ul>  |  |          |        |
|   | contractors being                      |  |          |        |
|   | engaged                                |  |          |        |
|   |  |  |          |        |
|   | Weight 10                              | Total score achieved for KPA           |          |        |

Ţ

# KEY PERFORMANCE AREA: FINANCIAL VIABILITY AND MANAGEMENT

|   |   |   |   |  |  |   | ,   |   |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|---|---|---|
| Rating (1 – 5)  |   |   |   | -  |  |   |   |   |
| Target<br>(date)  | Monthly   | Monthly   | Monthly                                       | Quarterly  | Quarterly  | 30 Jun<br>13                                    | 30 Jun<br>'13                               |   |
| Evidence of<br>performance/<br>achievement                    | Monthly budget<br>statement <sup>1</sup>  | Monthly budget statement                                      | Monthly budget statement                      | Mayor's quarterly report in terms of s 52(d) of the MFMA | Mayor's quarterly report in terms of s 52(d) of the MFMA | Annual financial statements (AFS) for 2011/2012 | AFS for 2011/2012                           | AFS for 2011/2012                             |
| Key performance<br>indicator<br>(Objective/planned<br>result) | Difference between cash flow projections and actual income and expenditure does not exceed 5% | Trade creditors are paid within 30 days of receipt of invoice | No budget vote is under spent by more than 5% | The liquidity ratio consistently exceeds 1               | The solvency ratio consistently is 1 or more             | The leave reserve is fully cash backed          | The bad debt provision is fully cash backed | Accumulated deficit is reduced by R 3,000,000 |
| °Z  | _   | 2   | 3   | 4  | က  | ဖ   | 7   | ω   |

<sup>1</sup> See section 71 of the Local Government: Municipal Finance Management Act 2003 (Act No 56 of 2003)("the MFMA").



|  | 4: ;  |   |  |   |
|--|---|---|--|---|
| Monthly  | Quarterly   | 31 Jan<br>'13   | 30 Jun<br>'13  | On-<br>going  |
| Monthly budget statement   | Monthly budget statement  | Annual report for<br>2011/2012  | AFS for 2011/2012  | Contracts concluded with suppliers  |
| Budgeted revenue from interest raised on overdue debtors are collected | Money owing to creditors for more than 30 days are reduced by R 3,178,000 | Responses to issues raised in the audit report for 2011/2012 is —  • submitted to the audit committee; and  • incorporated in the annual report for 2011/2012 | The Municipality keeps separate financial statements, including a balance sheet of the waste services provided in terms of section 9(2)(f) of the National Environmental Management: Waste Act 2008 (Act No 59 of 2008). | Performance standards are set for suppliers engaged by the Department and compliance therewith enforced |
| თ  | 10  | <del>-</del>  | 12   | 13  |




|       |                            |          |                              | •      |   | _  |
|-------|----------------------------|----------|------------------------------|--------|---|----|
|       | comprehensively            |          | suppliers                    | going  |   |    |
|       | documented, approved by    | t by     |                              |        |   |    |
| _     | the person who awarded     | pa<br>pa |                              |        |   | ٠. |
|       | the principal contract and | 힏        |                              |        | 3 |    |
|       | annexed to the principal   | <br>     |                              |        |   |    |
|       | contract                   |          |                              |        |   |    |
| Weigh | ght                        | 40       | Total score achieved for KPA | or KPA |   |    |

# KEY PERFORMANCE AREA: GOOD GOVERNANCE AND PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

| ŝ      | No Key performance indicator   Evidence of performance | Evidence of performance/     | Target        | Target Rating |
|--------|--|------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
|        | (Objective/planned result)                             | achievement                  | (date)        | (1 - 5)       |
| _      | The Municipality has bylaws                            | Bylaws promulgated in the    | 31 May        |               |
|        | to give effect to its                                  | Provincial Gazette in terms  | <u>;</u><br>6 |               |
|        | ⇔ tariff policy;                                       | of s 13 of the Local         |               |               |
|        | ा rates policy; and                                    | Government: Municipal        |               |               |
|        | □ credit control and debt                              | Systems Act 2000 (Act No     |               |               |
|        | collection policy                                      | 32 of 2000)                  |               |               |
| 7      | The Municipality                                       | Reports as prescribed in     | o<br>-uO      |               |
|        | consistently complies with                             | the Regulations              | going         |               |
|        | the Municipal Budget and                               |                              |               |               |
|        | Reporting Regulations 2008                             |                              |               |               |
|        | (Government Notice 393 of                              | :                            |               |               |
|        | 17 April 2009)   |                              |               |               |
| Weight | ght 15   | Total score achieved for KPA | r KPA         |               |

| MENTS           |  |
|-----------------|--|
| REQUIRE         |  |
| <b>MPETENCY</b> |  |
| CORE CON        |  |



|   |  | Rating (1 – 5)               |  |  |  |   |                                      |  |                |                                     |                                       |  |                                    |  |                                      |                                       |                                   |                          |
|---|--|------------------------------|--|--|--|---|--------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Displays and builds the rilghest standards of ethical and moral conduct | Total score achieved for managerial competencies | ption/definition             | Knowledge of the legislation and policies applicable to local government | and the position and the ability to apply such legislation and policies to the work situation. | The ability to draft and implement a policy due to the arriving at a concept | or a generalization as a result of seeing | informed of something as well as the | ability to analyse, comprehend and implement a policy drafted by | somebody else. | The ability to assist two (or more) | disputation in readility an agreement | Consistent application of the principles | integrity, openness, transparency, | performance orientation, accountability, | mutual respect and commitment to the | Municipality) in management practice, | policies, processes and decision- | Total score achieved for |
| 5   | 80   | Weight                       | 5  |  | 5  |   |                                      |  |                | 5                                   | •                                     | 2  |                                    |  |                                      |                                       |                                   | 20                       |
| Honesty and Integrity   | Total weighting                                  | Core occupational competency | Interpretation of and implementation of                                  | legislation  | Competence in policy   | conceptualization,                        | analysis and<br>implementation       |  |                | Skills in                           | resolution                            | Skills in governance                     |                                    |  |                                      |                                       |                                   | Total weighting          |
| 7   | Tota   | 0<br>N                       | ω  |  | 6  |   |                                      |  |                | 10                                  |                                       | 11                                       |                                    |  |                                      |                                       |                                   | Tota                     |



Signed by: The Municipal Manager on behalf of the Council Name: LEBOHANG MOLETSANE Date: 08.07. 2013. AGREEMENT ON PERFORMANCE PLAN FOR THE PERIOD 2012 TO 2013 Signed and accepted by: Director: Financial Services Name: MOLAHUEHI THH Date: 03. 07. 2012.



PERSONAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN

MADE AND ENTERED INTO BY AND BETWEEN:

KOPANONG LOCAL MUNICIPALITY

AS REPRESENTED BY THE MUNICIPAL MANAGER

LEBOHANG YVONNE MOLETSANE

FULL NAMES

AND

MOLARLETT Jas VEKHE

THE EMPLOYEE OF THE MUNICIPALITY

FOR THE

FINANCIAL YEAR: 1 JULY 2012-30 JUNE 2013

Compiled on: July 2012......

| 1.Skill/Perform ance Gap( in order of priority)      | 2.Outcomes Expected (measurable indicators:quantity,q uality and time frames)   | 3.Suggested training and/or development activity  | 4.Suggested<br>mode of<br>delivery   | 5.Suggested time frames | 6.Work opportunity created to practice skill/develop ment area | 7.Support person            |
|--|---|---|--|-------------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| E.g.<br>1.<br>Appraise<br>Performance of<br>Managers | The manager will be able to enter into performance agreements with all managers reporting to him/her, appraise them against set criteria, within relevant time frames | A course containing theoretical and practical application with coaching in the workplace following relevant unit standard | External provider, in line with identified unit standard and not exceeding | March                   | Appraisal of<br>managers<br>reporting to<br>him/her            | Senior Manager:<br>Training |
| 2  |   | MBT   |  |                         |  |                             |
| 3  |   |   |  |                         |  |                             |

EMPLOYEE SIGNATURE

SUPERVISOR'S SIGNATURE\_\_\_\_

## LEARNING AREA

| ž        | Learning Area  |
|----------|--|
| τi       | 1. Language, Literacy and Communication                        |
| 2.       | 2. Mathematical Literacy, Mathematics and Mathematical Science |
| <i>ب</i> | 3. Human and Social Sciences                                   |
| 4.       | 4. Natural Sciences  |
| 5.       | 5. Technology  |
| 6.       | 6. Arts and Culture  |
| 7.       | Economic and Management Sciences                               |

## • DEFINITIONS:

"Higher, further and General Education and Training" refers to:

| CATES                                    |               | and dispersion and  | Doctorates       | Further Research Degrees | Higher Degrees | Professional Qualifications | First Degrees | Higher Diplomas | Diplomas | Occupational Certificates | FURTHER EDUCATION AND TRAINING CERTIFICATES | School/College/Training Certificates | Mix of units from all | School/College/Training Certificates |  |
|--|---------------|---|------------------|--------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|---------------|-----------------|----------|---------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| TYPES OF QUALIFICATIONS AND CERTIFICATES |               | 7100 \$100 \$44 \$100 \$44 \$44 \$45 \$45 \$45 \$45 \$45 \$45 \$45 \$45 | <u>م</u>         | Further R                | High           | Profession                  | Firs          | Highe           |          | Occupation                | FURTHER EDUCATION                           | School/College                       | Mix of                | School/College                       |  |
| Levels                                   |               |   | HIGHER EDUCATION |                          |                |                             |               |                 |          |                           |   |                                      |                       |                                      |  |
| National                                 | Qualification | Framework level   | ∞                |                          | 7              |                             | 9             |                 | 2        |                           |   | 4                                    |                       | 3                                    |  |

| Mix of units from all | School/College/Training Certificates | Mix of units from all |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|
|                       |                                      |                       |

| S   | ABET level 4 |               | ABET level 3       | ABET level 2     | <br>ABEI IEVEI I |  |
|---|--------------|---------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------|--|
| GENERAL EDUCATION AND TRAINING CERTIFICATES | Senior Phase |               | Intermediate Phase | Foundation Phase |                  |  |
| GENERALI                                    | GENERAL      | EDUCATION AND | TRAINING           |                  |                  |  |
|   |              |               |                    |                  |                  |  |