



Kopanong Local Municipality

Political IGR Report

04 APRIL, 2013

VENUE: GARIEP DAM

No.	Priority Turn Around Focal Areas	Questions relating to challenges identified	Response to questions (Current situation / Baseline as at Dec 2012)	(a)Detailed explanation of the challenges experienced and (b) municipal actions taken to address the challenge	Proposed solution or intervention to address challenges experienced (including unblocking action needed from municipal and other Spheres and Agencies)
1.1	Access to water	<p>a) The level of basic service delivery in terms of the Municipality's target (the number of households in municipality with access and percentage of access), community expectations and the National norms.</p>	<p>Out of 17630 households then 17411 Households (98%) have access to water,(formalised site)</p> <p>Implementation of Bulk Water Project in Jagersfontein and Fauresmith</p>	<p>A. Challenges:219 households without water onsite: Bethulie-11, Trompsburg-131 Faurismith- 77</p> <p>There some areas where we experience low water pressure due to network capacity which cannot withstand the current population growth.</p> <p>B. Municipal Actions: to install water connections to all 219 formalised site.</p> <p>To upgrade the current network capacity to can withstand the current and future population growth.</p> <p>Appointment of Contractors to implement the Bulk Water Projects.</p>	<p>The technical Reports have been submitted to DWA for funding for the upgrading of the water network.</p> <p>DWA has also assisted the Municipality with Municipal Water Infrastructure Grant (MWIG) funding, starting next financial year, 2013-2014.</p> <p>Three Contractors are on site, Construction of a Pipeline, Construction of a Reservoir in Fauresmith, Construction of Mechanical Works and Treatment Works Jagersfontein.</p>
		<p>b) Also indicating whether there are unfinished/stalled projects in respect of each basic service per Municipality per ward, with such additional information as the name of the service provider concerned, whether such project was paid for and if such a service provider is rendering a service to the same Municipality or other government entity.</p>	<p>The following projects are pending like water connections,</p> <p>Bethulie= 11(RDP houses)</p> <p>Trompsburg=131(Phetogo Contractor, was paid in full but the project is not completed.)</p> <p>Faurismith= 77 (Still outstanding no contractor appointed)</p>	<p>A. Municipal Actions: to install water connections to all 219 formalised site.</p> <p>B. The municipality must invite quotations to purchase water pipes and connectors.</p>	<p>The municipality will implement the project once the procurement of water pipes and connectors is done by August 2013</p> <p>By May 2013, Trompsburg 131 connections, construction will start.</p>

No.	Priority Turn Around Focal Areas	Questions relating to challenges identified	Response to questions (Current situation / Baseline as at Dec 2012)	(a)Detailed explanation of the challenges experienced and (b) municipal actions taken to address the challenge	Proposed solution or intervention to address challenges experienced (including unblocking action needed from municipal and other Spheres and Agencies)
		c) Is the extent of backlogs with regard to water determined?	Yes, is been determined and only 219 formalised sites with basic infrastructure without water connections.	<p>A.Challenges is that 219 households without water on site.</p> <p>B. The municipality must invite quotations to purchase water pipes and connectors.</p> <p>Municipality has already installed communal tapes</p>	The municipality must invite quotations to purchase water pipes and connectors.
		d) If yes, what are the backlogs relating to water?	<p>The whole backlogs is 1 418 formalised sites without basic infrastructure.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bethulie- 260 • Gariep dam- 314 • Phillipolis- 200 • Reddersburg- 76 • Springfontein- 568 	<p>A. All sites are surveyed but there is no basic infrastructure like water & sanitation.</p> <p>B. The Technical Reports & Business plans for the provision of water & sanitation are already been prepared and submitted to DWA.</p>	Approval of the business plan and funding by the Department of Human Settlement & DWA.

		e) If no, what support does the municipality need in this regard?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		f) Are the projects and costs to eliminate the backlogs determined?			
		g) Identify challenges being experienced with regard to operations and maintenance of water infrastructure?	Water leakages, pipe burst	<p>A. Ageing infrastructure and Old asbestos pipe.</p> <p>Small water pipe size to can withstand water pressure.</p> <p>B. Replacement of ageing and asbestos water pipes with PVC pipes.</p> <p>Prepare technical reports and submit business plans for the replacement of pipes.</p>	Municipality must secure funding from Cogta and DWA.
1.2	Access to sanitation	a) The level of basic service delivery in terms of the Municipality's target (the number of households in municipality with access and percentage of access), community expectations and the National norms,	Out of 17630 households then 17411 Households (98%) have access to sanitation,(formalised site)	<p>A.Challenges:219 households without water onsite: Bethulie-11,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Trompsburg-131</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Faurismith- 77</p> <p>There some areas where we experience low water pressure due to network capacity which cannot withstand the current population growth.</p> <p>B. Municipal Actions: to install water connections to all 219 formalised site.</p> <p>To upgrade the current network capacity to can withstand the current and future population growth</p>	<p>To prepare technical report and submit business plans for funding, to Cogta, DWA, for the upgrading of the network.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">4</p>

		<p>b) Also indicating whether there are unfinished/stalled projects in respect of each basic service per Municipality per ward, with such additional information as the name of the service provider concerned, whether such project was paid for and if such a service provider is rendering a service to the same Municipality or other government entity.</p>	<p>The following projects are pending like water connections,</p> <p>Bethulie= 11(RDP houses)</p> <p>Trompsburg=131(Phetogo Contractor, was paid in full but the project is not completed.)</p> <p>Faurismith= 77 (Still outstanding no contractor appointed)</p>	<p>A. Municipal Actions: to install water connections to all 219 formalised site.</p> <p>B. The municipality must invite quotations to purchase water pipes and connectors.</p>	<p>The municipality will implement the project once the procurement of water pipes and connectors is done by march 2013</p>
		<p>c) Is the extent of backlogs with regard to sanitation determined?</p>	<p>Yes, is been determined and only 219 formalised sites with basic infrastructure without water connections.</p>	<p>A.Challenges is that 219 households without water on site.</p> <p>B. The municipality must invite quotations to purchase water pipes and connectors.</p> <p>Municipality has already installed communal tapes</p>	<p>The municipality must invite quotations to purchase water pipes and connectors.</p>
		<p>d) If yes, what are the backlogs relating to sanitation?</p>	<p>The whole backlogs is 1 418 formalised sites without basic infrastructure.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bethulie- 260 • Gariep dam- 314 • Phillipolis- 200 • Reddersburg- 76 • Springfontein- 568 	<p>A .All sites are surveyed but there is no basic infrastructure like water & sanitation.</p> <p>Prepare technical reports & submit business plans for the provision of water & sanitation.</p>	<p>Approval of the business plan and funding by Cogta & DWA.</p>

	e) If no, what support does the municipality need in this regard?	N/A	N/A	N/A
--	---	-----	-----	-----

	f) Are the projects and costs to eliminate the backlogs determined?			
--	---	--	--	--

	g) Have all buckets been eradicated in the municipal area? If not what are the reasons and how many remain?	<p>No, total backlogs on buckets is 592</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 219 formalised site, without sewer connections, namely: Bethulie- 11, Trompsburg- 131 & Faurismith- 77 • 373 informal settlements; Trompsburg -13, Springfontein-15, Reddersburg-320 & Fauresmith 25. • Reasons in the formal settlement there is basic infrastructure but no household connections. • Secondly, informal settlement is because of illegal occupations. 	<p>The municipality must invite quotations to purchase sewer pipes for connections to 219 formalised sites</p> <p>Municipality must relocate the 373 households to formalised vacant sites with basic infrastructure services</p> <p>Municipality must identify land available to formalised into site and install basic infrastructure</p>	<p>Municipality must prepare technical report and submit business plans for provision of water and sanitation to Cogta and DWA.</p>
--	---	--	---	---

		<p>a) Identify challenges being experienced with regard to operations and maintenance of sanitation infrastructure?</p>	<p>No, infrastructure maintenance plan</p> <p>Ageing infrastructure</p> <p>The capacity of current sewer network and pumps can no longer withstand the current population growth.</p> <p>16 process controllers are already trained and draft services delivery implementation plan has been developed</p>	<p>A. No proper maintenance of infrastructure</p> <p>B. Foreign material entering and damages sewer pumps</p> <p>C. Constant blockages lines which results in sewer overflow and spillages</p> <p>Municipality has already appointed service provider to develop maintenance plan.</p> <p>A. Request funding for the upgrading of sewer network system, pump stations and installations of grids in all pump stations.</p> <p>B. Service provider for routine maintenance has been appointed for a contract of 12 months</p>	<p>Technical report and business plan has already submitted to Cogta and DWA for Bethulie and the others will follow once completed for funding.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

service delivery in terms of the Municipality's target (the number of households in municipality with access and percentage of access), community expectations and the National norms,

access to electricity which is 91.1%.

do not have access to electricity.

- Bethulie- 411
- Edenburg- 52
- Gariep Dam- 67
- Fauresmith- 49
- Jagersfontein- 92
- Phillipolis- 146
- Springfontein- 250
- Trompsburg- 500

Action: application has been submitted to the DOE for funding of which only 400 has been approved. Namely:

- Trompsburg- 262
- Bethulie- 39
- Fauresmith- 49
- Jagersfontein- 50

Centlec to fast-track the completion of the current electrification project

		b) Also indicating whether there are unfinished / stalled projects in respect of each basic service per Municipality per ward, with such additional information as the name of the service provider concerned, whether such project was paid for and if such a service provider is rendering a service to the same Municipality or other government entity.	None	None	None
		c) Is the extent of backlogs with regard to electricity determined?	Yes, the backlog of 1167 includes newly developed areas and old ones.	Municipality must source funding to can implement the project. B. An application for the electrification of the 1167 households has been submitted to DOE for the 2014/2015 financial year	The DOE must approve funding to can address backlogs.
		d) If yes, what are the backlogs relating to electricity?	Same as above		
		e) If no, what support does the municipality need in this regard?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		f) Are the projects and costs to eliminate the backlogs determined?	Yes, the amount is 11' 670 000 based on the 11/12 financial year unit cost per household of which is R10 000 per household		

		g) Identify challenges being experienced with regard to operations and maintenance of water infrastructure?		
1.4	Refuse removal and solid waste disposal	a) The level of basic service delivery in terms of the Municipality's target (the number of households in municipality with access and percentage of access), community expectations and the National norms	17 630 Households have access to refuse removal	a) Fleet is beyond its expected life span and retard daily operations executed due to constant breakdowns. b. There programmes developed by Community Services Department refuse removal
		b) Also indicating whether there are unfinished / stalled projects in respect of each basic service per Municipality per ward, with such additional information as the name of the service provider concerned, whether such project was paid for and if such a service provider is rendering a service to the same Municipality or other government entity.	None	None
		c) Are all landfill sites licensed?	All the Landfill Sites are not registered. The condition of the landfill sites does not meet the required standards.	a. Registration of the nine (9) landfill sites. Rehabilitation of the nine (9) landfill sites. a. Appointment of the service provider Application of funding.
1.5	Access to municipal roads		What is the current situation with regard to municipal roads?	

		<p>c) Are all landfill sites licensed?</p>	<p>All the Landfill Sites are not registered.</p> <p>The condition of the landfill sites does not meet the required standards.</p>	<p>a. Registration of the nine (9) landfill sites.</p> <p>Rehabilitation of the nine (9) landfill sites.</p> <p>a. Appointment of the service provider</p> <p>Application of funding.</p>	
1.5	Access to municipal roads	What is the current situation with regard to municipal roads?	<p>Most of the internal streets are not accessible, especially during raining seasons.</p> <p>There are no proper streets/ roads in some of the newly developed areas</p> <p>There is no road maintenance equipment.</p> <p>Tarred streets / tarred roads have potholes.</p> <p>There is no storm proper water drainage system</p>	<p>a. All the internal streets / road need to be graded / bladed/ re-gravelled.</p> <p>Construction of streets / roads in all newly developed areas.</p> <p>b. Construction of 3.5 Km Paved Access Road with Water Channels in Bethulie</p> <p>Construction of 2 Km Paved Access Road with Water Channels in Edenburg</p> <p>Construction of 2 Km Paved Access Road with Water Channels in Philippolis.</p>	<p>Submission of Technical Reports for funding in Upgrading the Internal Streets.</p> <p>Request the Department of Roads and Transport in assisting the Municipality in Blading the Internal Streets.</p>

1.6	Formalisation of informal settlements	a) Is your municipality targeted in the National Upgrading Support Programme (NUSP)?	Total number of 5 660 housing is needed Bethulie = 1,300 Edenburg = 650 Gariiep dam = 250 Fauresmith = 550 Jagersfontein =700 Philippolis = 550 Reddersburg = 560 Springfontein = 500 Trompsburg = 600	a. There is slow progress on allocation of houses and subsidy approvals. b. Engage with the Department of Human Settlement to allocate housing projects according to the demand and speed up the approval of subsidy.	An allocation of 500 sites to be formalised in Reddersburg.
		b) Are you receiving any additional grants from National Treasury to assist with providing services to informal settlements?			
		c) Does your SDF / IDP plan provide for any formalization processes for informal settlements in you municipal area?	Yes, Municipal SDF is under review.	A. Is to incorporated all informal settlement in our SDF including new development areas B. Municipality held a meeting with MISA to assist with the review of SDF.	Municipality need assistant from Cogta and MISA
1.7	Human Settlements	d) Whether your municipality has been accredited as a housing delivery agent, and if information exists regarding the funding for executing such functions, the actual projects and lessons learned?	No, municipality only monitor the performance of contractors on site on behalf of Provincial department.	A. Municipality don't have human capital to can implement such projects. B. Municipality especially housing unit is understaffed with 1 person for the whole municipality.	Cogta, Human settlement
1.8	Service delivery protests	Service delivery challenges, indicating whether any service delivery protest took place in your Municipality since	Yes, service delivery protest did take place in Reddersburg, Phillipolis and Edenburg. Issues raised are incomplete	A. The problem is those incomplete houses and unpaid contractors. B. The department of	Department of Roads & transport to assist municipality 12

1.9	Indigent policy and register	(a) Has the municipality developed an Indigent Policy to deal with categories of people who qualify in terms of the National poverty alleviation mechanisms?	Yes, the policy has been developed and is been approved by council		
		b) To the extent possible, does your municipality have an indigent register, what is the total number of beneficiaries and what is the frequency of status review?	Yes. The register is in place and total number of is 2260. The register is reviewed on a monthly basis.	A. Updating of indigent register is done through door to door operation patala. B. the register is reviewed monthly	Ward councillors must report or encourage community to report to the municipal offices to can register
1.10	Planning	a) Is your municipality currently using the simplified IDP framework to draft your own simplified IDP?	YES, Municipality has been using the model from the last financial year.	A. Training is needed to can understand the model. B. Municipality is engaging with Cogta and Xhariep District to assist or render support.	Cogta/ District must assist
		b) Is your municipality using consultants for the drafting of the IDP?	NO, is done in-house since the last financial year.	A. Development of sector plans and the financial constraints B. Municipality seek financial assistant from other sector like human settlement for housing sector plan, WSP, IWMP.	Cogta and district.

		c) Is the simplified IDP accompanied by simplified sector specific plans?	Municipality don't have simplified version for sector plans.		Cogta must provide municipality with template on simplified sector plans
		d) Are National and Provincial commitments reflected in the IDPs of municipalities? Do municipalities receive transfers for the implementation of these plans or do national and provincial departments implement these directly, e.g. are schools and clinics reflected in your IDPs?	Yes they do reflect but the National & Provincial departments implement them directly municipality only monitor the implementation of projects.		
		e) Did the municipality adopt the process plan to guide the development of the IDP?	Yes, in August 2012	A. The meetings were conducted according to the scheduled B. Lack of participation from sector department .	
		f) Has the IDP been posted on the municipal website?	Yes,	A. But not the latest one.	
		g) Does the municipality adhere to the IDP processes or not?	Yes, it does although sometimes due to number of meeting or other commitment municipality do postpone some meeting or councillors don't attend meetings.		The matter has been raised with the Speaker and the Mayor to intervene

		h) Are priority programmes for service delivery talking to the priorities identified by the people in your wards?	Yes, during our IDP Representative Forum all priorities are identified and form part of IDP needs.	<p>A. Representatives of Stakeholders in the following towns; Edenburg, Reddersburg, and Phillipolis don't attend the forum reason been that they are outstanding matters to be resolved by the Municipality.</p> <p>B. IDP unit wrote a report to the Mayor and MM to inform them about the situation.</p>	The parties involved like; KLM, Cogta, Human Settlement.
		i) Is the municipal SDBIP and the budget aligned to the IDP?	2012/2013 was partially aligned but 2013/2014 will be 100% aligned to IDP document.	<p>A. Some of the indicators within the SDBIP are not clear or realistic.</p> <p>B. During the Budget review the SDBIP will also be reviewed to align it.</p>	Municipality will need assistance from Cogta and District
		j) Is the IDP aligned to the district, provincial and national priorities to ensure integrated development and service delivery?	In terms of 5 KPA's yes, but some of the sector department & district programmes were not included or aligned.	<p>A. Municipality must have all priorities of sector departments in time to can include or align them.</p> <p>B. Municipality has invited sector department in the REP Forum to present their priorities including the District</p>	KLM, District and Sector department

		<p>k) Whether your municipality has incorporated the “Strategic Integrated Projects (SIPs)” in your respective areas, into your IDP, as per the decision of the Presidential Infrastructure Coordination Commission (PICC), and if detailed information is available, per SIP per municipality?</p>	<p>Yes, like the Xhariep Energy Solar hub in Bethulie</p>		
1.11	Execution	<p>a) Is the municipality in a position to execute the plans as developed and contained in the IDP? If not, why not?</p>	<p>Yes, but some of the plans the municipality will need assistance from Cogta & Human settlement including the District to can implement like housing plan, environmental plan, etc.</p>	<p>A. Community need houses and sites.</p> <p>B. Human settlement must assist with approval of land to can allocate sites as per request.</p>	
		<p>b) Has a public satisfaction survey been conducted by your municipality and what did it indicate with regard to the delivery of programmes?</p>	<p>Not ,yet</p>		
		<p>c) Do you have any mechanisms to obtain feedback on the IDP based on performance of the municipality?</p>	<p>Yes, through the SDBIP quarterly report, Sec.80 committee and MTAS reporting</p>		
1.12	Multi stakeholder Coordination	<p>a) Does your municipality demonstrate the ability to pull other stakeholders and sector departments together to deal with questions of service delivery?</p>	<p>Yes, during our IGR meeting is where municipality report and request assistance from other departments and stakeholders like Bloem water, Eskom to come on board.</p>		

		b) If yes, how?			
		c) If no, what support does your municipality require?			
1.13	Project Consolidate	Whether your Municipality was enlisted as a priority Municipality in terms of "Project Consolidate" and how has Project Consolidate assisted to implement interventions required.	Yes	MISA has developed an Integrated Support Plan which was not presented to the management before tabling to council	Cogta to assist in making sure that the Municipality received the full time Engineer from MISA
1.14	Legislation impeding service delivery	a) Which pieces of legislation are impeding service delivery in your municipal area?	None	N/a	N/a
		b) How are these pieces of legislation impeding service delivery?	N/a	N/a	N/a
1.15	Disaster Management	a) Does your municipality have an integrated Disaster Management Plan and is it incorporated into the IDP?	Yes, the plan is there was adopted by Council in October 2012 it will form part of the 2013/2014 IDP Review.		
		b) Are there any disaster response and recovery projects in the IDP? If yes, please indicate which projects?			

		c) Are there any disaster management education, public awareness and research projects in the IDP? If yes, please indicate which projects?	NO awareness campaign		
		d) Did your municipality budget for disaster management? If yes, what amount?	No.		
		e) Are any disaster risk reduction considerations integrated in sectoral programmes and projects as contained in the IDP of the municipality and budgeted for?	No but once the forum like Fire control are in place then, municipality will able to can engage with the forum and allocate something.		
		f) Does your municipality have a fire prevention and protection plan?	No		
		g) Does your municipality have an operational disaster management centre?	No, but the municipality has donate a site to the district for the construction of XDM Centre.		
		h) Does your municipality have an operational fire services station?	No, the municipality is depending on farmers union for assistance.		

ENHANCING GOOD GOVERNANCE

- **Challenges** (as identified in the 108 LGTAS municipalities):
- Vacant posts/delays in filling critical vacant posts
- Lack of capacity to review by-laws
- Slow progress in establishing performance management systems/poor or no performance management
- Municipalities need to be assisted in reviewing their organograms and ensure it is in line with the IDP.
- Intergovernmental relations in some municipalities not effective.
- Little or no effective Corporate Governance of ICTs.
- In some municipalities no proper communication and role clarification between traditional leaders and municipalities.

No.	Priority Turn Around Focal Areas	Questions relating to challenges identified	Response to questions (Current situation / Baseline as at Dec 2012)	(a)Detailed explanation of the challenge experienced and (b) municipal actions taken to address the challenge	Proposed solution or intervention to address challenges experienced (i.e. unblocking action needed from other Spheres and Agencies
2.1	Public Participation				
2.1.1	Functionality of Ward Committees	a) Are all ward committees established and meet regularly?	yes	Some members leave to find jobs/we have reserve list to fill gaps	There is reserve list to fill the gaps
		b) Have participatory ward operational plans / ward level service improvement plans been developed for each ward?	no	Ward Committee's not well trained/We engaged COGTA to assist with training of Ward Committee	Ward Committee to be trained for them to develop their own Ward plan
		c) Is there a dedicated budget to support ward committee operations?	Yes	We need to train Ward Committee's so that they can utilize funds effectively	Financial management training
		d) Have all ward committee members been trained?	no	COGTA and other service providers already engage, we are waiting COGTA with a date.	
		e) Are there any plans in place for the training of ward committee members?	Yes	Brilliant Business Solution has already made presentation to the Speaker for training on 22 March 2013	Still to be confirmed when to start with training.
		a) Did the Municipality experience a situation where each Ward Councillor held ward meetings since the last Local Government elections and if information is available, such should include dates of such meetings, issues discussed and outcomes of such discussions?	Yes	All Ward Councillors' held their Ward meeting regularly	All Ward Councillors report Monthly to the office of the Speaker and the Speaker report to Council quarterly

2.1.2	Broader public participation policies and plans	a)	Is there a public participation policy in place?	Yes, the policy is in place.		
		b)	What initiatives does the municipality have / plan in this regard?	Mayor's Imbizo's,	None	
2.1.3	Public Communication Mechanisms	a)	What mechanisms are in place to give feedback and communicate with the public?	Media by radios, the Newspaper	None	
		b)	If no public communication mechanisms are in place what support is required in this regard?	None	None	None
		c)	How does your municipality deal with petitions?	Task team was established to address the petitions.	Lack of cooperation from other parties like community concern group.	None
		d)	How many petitions have not been dealt with for a year or longer?	None	The challenges are that not all issues has been addressed by sector department .E.g. Cogta HS	Cogta- HS & BloemWater, Dept. of police, roads & transport
2.1.4	Complaints Management System	a)	Has the municipality developed service standards?	Complain register is in place	None	None
		b)	Has the municipality communicated the service standards to the community?	Yes, customer care policy	None	None
		c)	Is a citizen services and complaints register in place?	Yes, the register	None	None

2.1.5	Front Desk Interface	a) Does the municipality have capacity to provide Front Desk Interface?	Yes, through customer care office		
		b) If no, what support is needed by your municipality in this regard?	None	None	
2.1.6	Appointment of CDWs vs. number of wards	a) How many CDWs appointed vis-à-vis the number of wards?	Seven CDWs appointed and KLM is made up of eight wards	Phillippolis does not have an appointed CDW but the Municipality wrote a letter to the DG in the Premier's office requesting two additional CDWs for which an approval was granted, still awaiting the Premier's office to advertise	Premier's office
		b) Is there a municipal mechanism through which CDW work is reported to the municipality?	Not yet	CDWs are supposed to functionally report to the office of the Speaker but it is not yet happening due to the fact that there was a confusion as to where they are supposed to report, the confusion was between the Office of the Premier and Cogta	Premier's Office and Cogta
2.2	Governance				
	Political Management and Oversight				
2.2.1	Stability of Councils	Are you satisfied with the degree of compliance with the relevant legislation governing the conduct of councils?	yes		
2.2.2	Political support profile/ Political and administrative interface/	a) Whether any political component of each Municipality, internally or externally, operated in a manner obstructing the normal functioning of each Municipality at individual or group level, and, to the extent possible, more information to be provided in this regard.	None		

		b) What areas of leadership need to be strengthened in your municipality to attain the objectives and key IDP priorities of the municipality?	None		
2.2.3	Training of Councillors	a) % of new councillors provided training / development?	None (The Municipality had planned to send Councillors to formal institutions for further studies and also provide them with computer training)	The MFMA was raised by the Finance Department as being against capacitation of Councillors as it would be perceived as offering them with loans	SALGA and Cogta FS
		b) % of councillors capacitated to adequately perform their roles?	None (The Municipality had planned to send Councillors to formal institutions for further studies and also provide them with computer training)	The MFMA was raised by the Finance Department as being against capacitation of Councillors as it would be perceived as offering them with loans	SALGA and Cogta FS
		c) List the training/ development programmes provided to councillors in 2012/2013?	MFMP attended by one Councillor during the 2011/2012 financial year		
2.2.4	Councillor resignation, dismissal, etc.	Did your municipality experience a situation since the last Local Government elections, where a Councillor was demoted, resigned, dismissed, suspended, voted out by Council or left the Municipality for other reasons, and if there are detailed reasons in respect of each?	No		
2.2.5	Oversight report for the 2010/11 FY	Has the oversight report in terms of the MFMA for the 2010/11 FY been adopted by Council?	Yes	None	None
2.2.6	Council oversight in ICT matters	Does the Council exercise oversight on a quarterly basis in respect of ICTs in municipalities?			

2.3	Administration				
2.3.1	Organisational design	Have overall organizational design norms and standards been developed and has the Municipality a compliant Organizational structure engaged in terms of the functional decomposition, span of control, aligned job description and responsive job grading.	The Municipality has an Organisational structure, developed job descriptions and also has responsive job grading's	The challenge is still the outstanding issue of job evaluation which the Municipality receives queries on from Office of the Auditor-General annually	SALGA
2.3.2	Staff establishment	a) Does the municipality have an approved staff establishment? If not, what support is required in this regard?	Yes		
		b) Does the staff establishment provide for permanent and fixed term posts?	Yes		
		c) If yes, provide details of the approved senior manager posts (permanent and fixed posts)?	The Municipal Manager and all Section 56 Managers as well as different Departments' Managers and Accountants		
		d) Was the staff establishment consulted with the MEC before approval by council?	Not before the amendment of the Municipal Systems Act		
		e) Were the recommendations of the MEC incorporated into the approved structure?	Not before the amendment of the Municipal Systems Act		

2.3.3	Recruitment, selection and suspension of employees	a)	Is an approved Human Resource (HR) Plan (recruitment strategy) in place?			
		b)	Is there a retention practice/policy in the municipality that ensures deployment stability in the filling of posts?	No	The Corporate Services Department is currently working on the retention policy/strategy	SALGA and Cogta FS
		c)	What was the percentage turnover in staff: section 57 Managers and other staff?			
2.3.4	Vacancies – critical posts	a)	Are the Municipal Manager and all section 57 posts filled?	No	The post for Director Community Services is still vacant but a re-advertisement was posted in newspapers as the Municipality could not find a suitable candidate during the first round and the closing date was, February 28, 2013	SALGA and Cogta FS
		b)	Did the Municipality appoint all categories of managers since the last Local Government elections and if information in this regard is available, indicate the names per category, qualifications, considered merits and state of performance?	Yes		
		c)	Were the above posts filled in compliance with competency requirements? (MSA Amendment Act of 2011 & MFMA regulations)	Yes		
		d)	Are there critical skills gaps and vacancies for professional and technical positions (engineers, technicians, registered planners, chartered accountants, technologists)? Please be specific.	Yes	In future the council need to appoint a registered town planner	

2.3.5	Senior manager suspended, resigned, dismissed, etc.	Did the Municipality experience the situation where any manager appointed after the last local government elections or whose contract was renewed during the period under review was suspended, resigned, dismissed, transferred or left the employment of Council for other reasons, and if information is available per category per person, with detailed reasons in respect of each.	None		
2.3.6	Vacancies in other levels	What is the overall vacancy rate (number and %) out of the total number of posts in the municipality.			
2.3.7	Performance Agreements	Has the senior managers (section 57 manager) concluded the performance agreement within the stipulated timeframe?	Yes	Late submission of Performance agreements	None
2.3.8	Organisational Performance Management System	Has an Organizational Performance Management System been developed and approved? If not, why not?	None	The municipality does not have the OPMS in place only the framework is in place.	The Municipality should appoint a consultant to develop OPMS
2.3.9	IDP adopted by Council	Is the IDP adopted by Council? If not, why not?	Yes	None	None
2.3.10	SDBIP adopted by Council	Is the SDBIP adopted by Council? If not, why not?	Yes	The SDBIP was signed by the Mayor	None
2.3.11	Section 46 report for 2011/12	Has the section 46 report for 2011/12 been adopted by Council? If not, why not?	Yes	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The new template was not user friendly 2. Lack of Information to compile the report 	Cogta was consulted to assist with the compilation of the new template
2.3.12	Mid-year assessment report for 2012/13 adopted by Council	Is the mid-year assessment report being developed for adoption by Council as required by section 72 of the MFMA?	Yes	None	None

2.3.13	Targeted capacity building interventions	Whether your Municipality has received targeted capacity-building interventions through LGTAS, Treasury or other agents and the impact of such interventions.			
2.3.14	Skills Audit conducted for all employees	Have Personal Development Plans been updated for all employees on GAPSILL or a related system in 2012/2013?	Not yet still in the process of conducting skills audit for the current year and also struggling to get password from cogta in order to use the system	Still awaiting password from Cogta	Cogta
2.3.15	Skills development for employees	a) Is there a budget earmarked for skills development and in line with legislation?	Yes	The Municipality cannot fully implement the WSP and all trainings identified due to financial constraints	
		b) Do LGSETA grants get used for skills development?	Yes		
		c) Is there a committee consolidating / ensuring integration between all individual, institutional and environmental capacity initiatives provided to the municipality?	Yes, the Municipality has a training committee in place		
2.4	Labour relations				
2.4.1	LLF established (equity in representation)	Is the LLF established and is there equity in representation? If not, why not?	Yes		
2.4.2	Functionality of LLF	Is the LLF functional (i.e. does it meet regularly, are matters quickly resolved?)	Yes		
2.4.3	Declaration of dispute	Labour-relations related profile, indicating whether any dispute has been declared in your Municipality, and to the extent available, further details in this regard.	No		

2.5	Functionality of oversight structures	a)	Has the MPAC been established and duly capacitated to execute its mandate effectively?	None	MPAC to be established by the Municipality	Assistance from SALGA
		b)	If yes, did the municipality take due consideration of the requisite competencies required and how each member so appointed meets such competencies).	None	N/a	N/a
		c)	What is required to capacitate the MPAC?	Not yet	To establish the committee and assist with training	SALGA to provide training to MPAC
		d)	Does the MPAC meets as scheduled at least once per quarter?	Not yet	MPAC to be established	
		e)	Is the Audit Committee constituted by relevant/skilled people and meets as scheduled at least once per quarter?	Yes	None	None
		f)	Is the internal Audit unit with suitably qualified staff (and how many) in place and meets as scheduled? If not, why not and what support is required?	Yes qualified staff 3 Officials in Internal Audit Unit	None	None
		g)	What is required to capacitate the Audit Committee if it is indeed composed of relevant/skilled people?	Yes, the Audit & performance Committee is capacitated with suitably qualified and skilled people	None	None

		h) Did your municipality (as reflected in the AG's Audit Outcomes Report for a three year cycle) for the period under review address the issues raised by the AG in the respective years of the cycle, and could your municipality be explained to be on the rise or the decline?			
2.6	Compliance with legislation	a) Is there non-compliance with sections 75 read with section 13 (tariff policy) of the Municipal Systems Act?	None		
		b) Is there non-compliance with sections 6 (rates policy) and 14(2) (resolution for levying rates) of the Municipal Property Rates Act?	Rates Policy By-Law is not part of the published By-Laws but Management will propose to Council that it forms part of the second phase but the Municipality did comply with the requirement for resolution for levying of rates		
		c) Which by-laws are supposed to be passed by all municipalities?	There are no specific By-Laws as Municipalities adopted By-Laws they regarded as urgent and much needed for governance	None	Cogta FS
		d) Have your municipality developed and adopted draft by laws?	Yes	Community involvement in certain units	Political Assistance
		e) If yes, specify which by-laws have been developed and adopted by your municipality?	Building regulations, commonage, credit control and Debt Collection, tariff Policy, impoundment of animals, Water Services and Dumping and Littering	None	
		f) Did your Municipality developed by-laws to regulate the governance aspects in the area, and if information could be provided regarding the title of the by-law	Yes, all the above		

2.8	IGR	a) Is the District IGR Forum functional and well attended by municipalities?	Yes		
		b) What kind of support do District Forums require from national and provincial government?	None		
2.9	Governance of information communication technology	a) Has the Municipality developed a broader ICT architecture?	Yes		
		b) Does the Municipality has a localized compliant ICT Policy, institutional arrangements and roll-out plans as well as performance profile, if any, and whether such is used for the management of the Billing system and supply chain monitoring.	Yes		
		c) Are user access monitored regularly?	Yes		
		d) Has the segregation of duties been documented as required in terms of the Auditor-General recommendations?	Yes, the job description		
		e) Does the municipality have a disaster recovery and business continuity plan?	The municipality has developed the disaster plan and adopted by council		

2.10	Section 139.	a) Was the Municipality placed under section 139 for the <u>period 2006 to May 2011</u> ; provide the details thereof and status now?	N/a	N/a	N/a
		b) Whether any Municipality deserved to be placed under section 139 since the May 2011 elections, and if that was done?	None	None	None
2.11	MTAS adopted post 2011 elections	Did your Municipality adopt a localized LGTAS (MTAS) developed by Council during the period 2006-2011 as a strategic roadmap for their functioning post the 2011 elections?	Yes	None	None

3.PROMOTING SOUND FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

- **Challenges** (as identified in the 108 LGTAS municipalities)
- Poor SCM compliance/have flawed SCM policies
- Lack of capacity to implement GRAP/not compliant with GRAP 17 leading to negative audit opinions
- Low revenue generation in municipalities.
- In some municipalities there are no Internal Audit Units and audit committees and Risk Management Controls.
- Poor audit opinions in municipalities
- Poor MIG expenditure

No.	Priority Turn Around Focal Areas	Questions relating to challenges identified	Response to questions (Current situation / Baseline as at Dec 2012)	(a)Detailed explanation of the challenge experienced and (b) municipal actions taken to address the challenge	Proposed solution or intervention to address challenges experienced (i.e. unblocking action needed from other Spheres and Agencies
3.1	Revenue Enhancement	a) Are the current debtors (30 days) as proportion of own revenue 12% and less? If not, why not and what support is needed?	Yes.	Wrong addresses that leads to accounts coming back to us. No go areas that cannot be disconnected. Farms owners not providing postal addresses	Advertisement in the local newspaper for consumers to come and give correct addresses. Database of all farm owners details from rural development department
		b) Is the average monthly collection on billing (excluding arrears) at 90% and above? If not, why not and what support is needed?	No. Need support from politicians and training for staff is needed.	Broken meters that inhibits full collection as disconnections cannot be done. Non-recoverability using electricity purchases	Buying of new meters and maintenance of water meters. Ward committees members be trained around revenue enhancement.
		c) What are the current revenue instruments available to the Municipality?	Daily disconnection of accounts and handing over accounts to lawyers. Issuing of monthly accounts. Mayor's community meetings	Broken meters that inhibits full collection as disconnections cannot be done. Non-recoverability using electricity purchases. Ignorance of the municipal accounts by consumers.	Buying of new meters and maintenance of water meters. Repairing of water leakage beyond municipality point.
		d) How is the municipality addressing the financial challenges it is facing?	Daily disconnection of accounts and handing over accounts to lawyers. Mayor's community meetings	Broken meters that inhibits full collection as disconnections cannot be done. Non-disconnection of electricity. Ignorance of the municipal accounts by consumers.	Buying of new meters and maintenance of water meters.
		e) Has a revenue enhancement strategy been approved by Council? If not, why not and what support is needed?	Yes	Financial recovery plan has been approved by council.	Financial recovery plan has been approved by council.
		f) Is your municipality being owed any amount of money for the rendering of services, and if so, is there detailed information of the debtors and amount involved as	Yes. The debtors age analysis and the monthly fiche accounts that the customers receives.	Monthly accounts and letters of demands that the customers receive from the lawyers.	Treasury must help the municipality with people who are able and are competent to can do debt collection effectively not on paper.

		g) Is your municipality indebted to any amount of money to a service provider or a recipient for a service / procurement not rendered, and is there information regarding the name of the service provider / recipient concerned, the amount paid and the reasons indicated for such a payment in official document?	n/a		
		h) Has your municipality paid for any services/goods, any amount of money more than the value of such services/goods, and is information available regarding the amount paid per item/service/goods, the comparative value of the item/service/goods, the dates of payment and the recipient of the payment?	n/a		
3.2	Debtors Management	a) How has the municipality reduced its debt in the last three financial years?	The debt has escalated instead of going down.	Over-indebted debtors were not written off.	A draft debt write-off policy must be approved by council.
		b) Is the municipality in a position to settle its debt with bulk service providers (such as Water Boards and Eskom) and other service providers? If not, why not?	The Municipality is engaging with BloemWater to settle its debt	The Municipality water debt is increasing and resulting to millions of interest	BloemWater service level agreement needs to be reviewed
		c) What is the collection rate and how is the municipality's debtor's book comparing with its creditors?	Collection rate stands at 48%. The Debtors book is at R 92 million and creditors are at R 54 thousand	Continuous billing and collection from all businesses and government institutions	
		d) Is the municipality able to meet its financial obligations?	No. Expenditure exceeds revenue	Lack of expenditure control.	Proper budget monitoring.

3.3	Clean Audit	a) Are the audited financial statements tabled on time and do they comply with AG requirements? If not, what plans are in place and what support is required?	Yes on the 31 August 2012		
		b) Has an audit remedial plan been developed to address the 2010/11 and 2011/12 audit outcomes? If not what plans are in place and what support is required?	Yes, The Plan has been developed for 2011/2012		
3.4	Asset management	Are appropriate policies and processes on asset management, in place and implemented accordingly? If not, why not and what support is required?	Policies do exist but they are not updated yearly.	Policies are under review and submitted to the Council for approval	
3.5	Supply Chain Management and procurement	a) Are appropriate policies on Supply Chain Management and procurement in place and implemented accordingly? If not, why not and what support is required?	Yes, the SCM Policy is in place and reviewed and approved by the Council on the 28/03/2013		
		a) Are appropriate processes on Supply Chain Management in place (e.g. all three Supply Chain Management Committees). How is the work of these SCM committees monitored for the elimination of corrupt related tendencies?	Yes, the SCM Policy processes are in place		

3.6	CFO	Is the CFO post filled according to competency requirements (MFMA regulations)? If yes, please provide detailed information as to the qualifications, merit considered, training interventions and experience in related fields. If not, why not?	In progress (B.compt Degree , CPMD and relevant experience for the post)		
3.7	Financial delegations	Are appropriate financial delegations in place?	No Financial delegations are in place	Provincial Treasury to assist the Municipality to draft the delegations policy	
3.8	Budgeting and cash management	a) Are the budgets prepared and approved according to MFMA prescripts?	The Budgets are prepared and approved according to the MFMA prescripts		
		b) Are the cash balances positive?	No the municipality is having a 3million bank overdraft.	But the arrangement has been made with the Bank to reduce the overdraft to zero at the end of the financial year	
		c) Is the cash coverage at least 3 months and cash as % of operating revenue conforms to National Treasury guidelines?	No the cash does not cover at least 3 months and cash as a % of operating revenue does not conforms to National Treasury guidelines that the ratio needs to be 2:1		

3.9	Expenditure management:	Does expenditure management comply with the provisions as set out in the MFMA where:			
		a) Overspending on operational expenditure is in line with National Treasury norm which is not more than 5%?	The municipality is in line with overspendings norms .	All the overspending was corrected with adjustment budget during January 2013	
		a) Under-spending on capital expenditure is in line with National Treasury norms which is less than 10%?	The spending is 58% on capital projects.	This financial year the spending is currently slow due to projects started late. However the MIG projects will carry on until at the end of the year.	
		a) What was the % of expenditure on salaries and wages as a proportion of the operating budget?	The percentage is 31% which is well within the norm of 35%.		
3.10	Repairs and maintenance provision	Is spending on repairs and maintenance as a proportion of operational expenditure in line with percentage prescribed by NT (which is 10%)?	Repairs and maintenance is only 4.41%	Due to cash flow problems the municipality is underspending on repairs and maintenance. The municipality will develop a maintenance plan so that the municipal budget can be credible	
3.11	Local Economic Development				
3.11.1		Is an LED Strategy adopted by Council?	Yes in march		
3.11.2		Is the LED Plan aligned to the PGDS and adopted by Council?	Yes & all Cogta input are included		
3.11.3		Is a LED Manager appointed?	Yes in november		

3.12	Equitable Share	<p>a) Does your municipality have access to financial support from the National budget that matches the prescribed norm in terms of the Equitable Share Formula prior to the Census report released recently, and if such a situation necessitate any review in lieu of current population shifts, if any?</p>			
		<p>b) Does your municipality have a solid, sound and sustainable socio economic base to advance its governance obligations, and if related information can be provided in revenue projections for the last three financial years and the actual comparison with the respective budgets for the years under review?</p>			
3.13	<p>Conditional Grants for procurements/services (Also refer to item 3.5)</p>	<p>Is your municipality paid conditional grants for any specified procurements / service, and is there information in respect of your municipality, per category of grant disbursed, as far as the amount disbursed, the services / procurements the money was spend on and, in case of deviation, the authority for such virement?</p>			

4. FIGHTING CORRUPTION

- **Challenges** (as identified in the 108 LGTAS municipalities)
- Municipalities do not have dedicated anti-corruption units
- Municipalities need to be capacitated in the areas of fraud risk analysis, the design and implementation of interventions per identified risk and to conduct a fraud awareness campaign.
- No strategies in place in municipalities to fight corruption.
- Disciplinary processes in municipalities.

No.	Priority Turn Around Focal Areas	Questions relating to challenges identified	Response to questions (Current situation / Baseline as at Dec 2012)	(a)Detailed explanation of the challenge experienced and (b) municipal actions taken to address the challenge	Proposed solution or intervention to address challenges experienced (i.e. unblocking action needed from other Spheres and Agencies
4.1	Fighting Corruption/Allegations of corruption	a) Are there outstanding investigations on allegations of corruption as well as proclamations for the State Investigating Unit that are still pending in the municipality?	Fraud & Corruption policy is in place and has been adopted by the council.	None	None
		a) Whether there is any information provided through the web address reachtorich@cogta.gov.za , or any other sources, suggesting that any allegations of corruption exist in any of the municipalities, and as far as possible, information may be provided?	None	None	None

4.4	Fraud Prevention Plans	Has a Fraud Prevention Plan been developed by your Municipality? If no, when will it be developed and implemented?	Yes, the plan is in place and it was adopted by council	None	None
4.5	Ethics and Integrity Framework	Is your municipality aware of the Ethics and Integrity Framework Development and does information exist that there is general buy-in to the initiative, and has your municipality develop an enforcement mechanism for the Code of Conduct of Municipal Workers.	Yes, code of Conduct are in place	None	None
4.6	Declaration of interests	a) Whether each Manager in your municipality, who has interest in business, has declared such interests appropriately and if some of those Managers are directors in companies that are doing business with Government, in which case, more information should be provided as to the name of the manager, name of business, type of business, area of operation.	Yes , like guesthouses namely: Afro-Villa Co-owner Ms Panyane area of operation is Trompsburg.	None	None
		b) Whether in your municipality, there are Councilors who have interests in business and whether they are in such companies that do business in their own municipalities or other municipalities or Government Departments, Provincial or National or State-owned entities, in terms of which more information should be provided?	Clr Stuurman; guesthouse name Phumelela	None	none

		a) Whether in your municipality, there are Political Office-bearers, from Provinces or National who have business interests such that more information may be provided	None	None	None
--	--	--	------	------	------

5.FACILITATING SUSTAINABLE INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT

- **Challenges** (as identified in the 108 LGTAS municipalities)
- The water, sanitation and electricity infrastructure being old and decaying.
- A lack of funding for operations and maintenance of infrastructure.
- A severe lack of technical skills especially at engineering level (to develop, implement and monitor operations and maintenance plans).
- A lack of bulk water and bulk electricity supply infrastructure and municipalities need funding for bulk water infrastructure projects.

No.	Priority Turn Around Focal Areas	Questions relating to challenges identified	Response to questions (Current situation / Baseline as at Dec 2012)	(a)Detailed explanation of the challenge experienced and (b) municipal actions taken to address the challenge	Proposed solution or intervention to address challenges experienced (i.e. unblocking action needed from other Spheres and Agencies
5.1	MISA	What technical support is being provided to your municipality by MISA?	Currently there is no Technical Support provided by MISA	<p>a. The Municipality require MISA support in improving the Green and Blue Drop Status.</p> <p>Preparations of registration of all Waste Water Treatment Works</p> <p>Preparation of the Business Plan and Technical Report for Upgrading of infrastructure special Water and Sanitation as the infrastructure is aging cannot cope with the current demand.</p> <p>b. Technician has been appointed to load and update the system in improving the score pint von Green and Blue drop.</p> <p>The Technical reports have been submitted for funding to DWA in Upgrading the aging infrastructure for water and Sanitation.</p>	<p>DWA to approve the Technical and Business planes submitted in order to improve the aging infrastructure for water and sanitation.</p> <p>MISA to deployee full time the Technical Expect to assist the Municipality</p>

No.	Priority Turn Around Focal Areas	Questions relating to challenges identified	Response to questions (Current situation / Baseline as at Dec 2012)	(a)Detailed explanation of the challenge experienced and (b) municipal actions taken to address the challenge	Proposed solution or intervention to address challenges experienced (i.e. unblocking action needed from other Spheres and Agencies
5.2	MISA	If your municipality is targeted for MISA support has the Integrated Support Plan been approved by Council? If no, when will it be completed and tabled?	Not yet, MISA to present the Integrated Support Plan to the management before it can be submitted to council.	<p>a. MISA to deploy the full time Engineer to the Municipality.</p> <p>To present the Integrated Support Plan to Management as to understand the support there are providing.</p> <p>b. The Municipality has provided MISA with all the relevant information their require in order to have a proper Integrated Support Plan.</p>	CoGTA to assist in making sure that the Municipality received the full time Engineer from MISA.
5.3	Municipal Infrastructure Grant (MIG)	a) How ready is your municipality to spend the 2013/14 MIG allocations – if not ready what support is required?	The Municipality is read to implement the 2013/14 MIG allocation as the projects for the next financial year are already registered.	<p>a. The projects for this financial year 2012/2013 were registered late to MIG funding.</p> <p>The MIG MTEF Allocation has been cut due to decline population.</p> <p>The projects which were prioritised in the next financial year have to be moved to the 2014/2015 financial year.</p>	<p>a. National Treasury to consider in increasing the MIG allocation as the Municipality is dependent on the MIG Allocation in providing the Infrastructure Projects to its community.</p> <p>b. In the previous financial years the Municipality has experienced the community service delivery protest. The Municipality</p>

No.	Priority Turn Around Focal Areas	Questions relating to challenges identified	Response to questions (Current situation / Baseline as at Dec 2012)	(a)Detailed explanation of the challenge experienced and (b) municipal actions taken to address the challenge	Proposed solution or intervention to address challenges experienced (i.e. unblocking action needed from other Spheres and Agencies
		b) Have the relevant sector technical reports and project registrations in respect of the 2013/14 projects been done?	Yes, all the projects to be implemented in 2013/14 financial year are registered.	<p>a. The MIG Allocation does not meet the backlogs that the municipality have.</p> <p>b. Submission of Bulk Project to DWA for funding, as they cost more than the MIG Allocation per financial year.</p>	
		c) Has planning and supply chain management processes in respect of the 2013/14 projects been finalized?	Yes, all the projects which are currently implemented in 2012/13 financial year are overlapping to 2013/14.	<p>a. Delay in appointment of the service providers in time.</p> <p>b. The Municipal Manager has set up the new committees. As there are new management.</p>	The SCM policy was been revised. Which accommodate the current directors to set.

No.	Priority Turn Around Focal Areas	Questions relating to challenges identified	Response to questions (Current situation / Baseline as at Dec 2012)	(a)Detailed explanation of the challenge experienced and (b) municipal actions taken to address the challenge	Proposed solution or intervention to address challenges experienced (i.e. unblocking action needed from other Spheres and Agencies
5.4	Municipal Infrastructure	Whether your municipality has sufficient information concerning the municipal infrastructure for the delivery of water, energy (grid and renewable), sanitation, roads, and if there is available information regarding location, capacity, age, performance profile and maintenance plans in respect of each category of infrastructure, and whether there is any interventions in this regard and the source of such?	<p>The Municipality has engaged Aurecon in preparation of the Infrastructure Asset Management Plan (IAMP) for Water and Sanitation.</p> <p>Centlec: To submit following documents for Electricity;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Asset Management Plan. 2. The Operations and Maintenance Plan. 3. Electricity Infrastructure Master Plan. 4. Electricity Safety Plan. <p>Bloemwater: To submit following documents for Water;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Asset Management Plan. 2. The Operations and Maintenance Plan. 3. Water Infrastructure Master Plan. 4. Water Safety Plan. 	<p>a. This, requires a high technical expertise, services providers whom they understand and have expertise to develop the plans to all services provided by the Municipality.</p> <p>b. Engagement of the Service provider</p>	

5.5	Comprehensive Infrastructure Plan	Does your municipality have a comprehensive infrastructure plan? For what period is the Comprehensive Infrastructure Plan projected?	<p>No, It has to be developed and populated.</p> <p>There is a list of projects have Infrastructure project identified through the IDP projected?</p>		
5.6	Development and Maintenance of Infrastructure	Whether your municipality has the requisite capacity to develop and maintain the infrastructure needed for the provision of the services in your area of jurisdiction, and if detailed information is available regarding the names of such qualified individuals, their areas of qualification and the experience they command individually?	No, has capacity which is still need to be beef up		

5.7	Coordination between LGSETA & MISA	Whether there is coordination between the activities of the LGSETA and other related SETAs as well as the capacity-building initiatives of MISA, and what information is available from your municipality on the practical roll-out of the programme	Yes, LGSETA is providing the training for the young Electricians and Civil Engineering	The funding provided by LGSETA is not covering all the expertise to the training. There is a shortfall to the budget. b. The Municipality is negotiating the service providers appointed to cover the	
5.8	Water infrastructure	Whether your municipality is a license holder / authority for the delivery of any infrastructure and related activities in any of the infrastructure categories, and if details could be made available regarding the area, the quality of services provided and the value-for-money impact?	The Municipality is Water service provider	a. There some areas where we experience low water pressure due to network capacity which cannot withstand the current population growth. B. Municipal Actions: to install water connections to all 219 formalised site. To upgrade the current network capacity to can withstand the current and future population growth.	The technical Reports have been submitted to DWA for funding for the upgrading of the water network. DWA has also assisted the Municipality with Municipal Water Infrastructure Grant (MWIG) funding, starting next financial year, 2013-2014.
5.9	Electricity Infrastructure	Whether in the case of electricity distribution, where a municipality is not a license holder, there is information that some nonetheless incur costs in infrastructure installation and related activities and if so, what amount each municipality has contributed over the last three financial years and whether there is any recovery plan on this investment as well as the actual amount recovered for the period under review?	The Municipality is the Electricity license holder. The license has been ceded to Centlec to operate and maintain our electricity infrastructure.	Action: application has been submitted to the DOE for funding of which only 400 has been approved. Namely: • Trompsburg- 262 • Bethulie- 39 Fauresmith- 49 • Jagersfontein- 50	400 connections will be completed by end April 2013.

5.10	Electricity Distribution Industry (EDI)	Whether the suspension of the EDI restructuring is not depriving your municipality of a stable and deserved income and whether there are any plans to revive the talks?	N/A to our municipality		
------	---	---	-------------------------	--	--

THANK YOU